

Clerk's Files

' A. 11

Originator's

SEP 2 3 2009

DATE:

September 16, 2009

TO:

Chair and Members of Budget Committee

Meeting Date: September 23, 2009

FROM:

Brenda R. Breault, CMA MBA

Commissioner of Corporate Services and City Treasurer

SUBJECT:

Request for Information on Citizen Value Measurement (CVM)

Survey

RECOMMENDATION:

That the report dated September 16, 2009 on the Citizen Value

Measurement Survey from the Commissioner of Corporate Services

and City Treasurer be received for information.

BACKGROUND:

At the Budget Committee meeting of June 9th, the Communications

Division was asked to provide additional information with respect to

the City's annual Citizen Value Measurement survey.

In 2008, following a Request for Proposal (RFP) process, Pollara Research was selected as the successful market research firm to redesign the City's service satisfaction survey. Previous to this time, the City had purchased an annual subscription with Environics Research, which allowed us to participate in four Omnibus surveys per year (Focus Ontario) and an enhanced survey of Mississauga residents every second year. Omnibus Surveys are research in which multiple clients contribute questions to the same survey. The Environics survey provided satisfaction scores for a list of City services as well as some public opinion information on various topics.

- 2 -

Pollara's proposal involved the creation of a customized research tool called the Citizen Value Measurement survey or CVM. The CVM survey provides city-wide research information on:

- resident satisfaction with the value that they receive from City services
- 2. the importance that residents place on City services; and
- 3. the aspects of each service (or attributes) that drive citizens' satisfaction. This information may be the most important benefit of the CVM survey, since it can assist staff and Council with making resource allocation decisions that are part of the Business Plan and Budgeting process.

In addition to these service measures, the survey also identifies:

- 4. resident satisfaction with their quality of life in the City
- 5. residents' perceptions of the top issues facing the City
- 6. satisfaction with the City's progress in achieving the Strategic Plan priorities; and
- citizens' preferences for funding the City's budget (tax increases, versus user fee increases versus service level reductions)

In addition to providing richer and significantly more actionable data than previous surveys, the cost of CVM is very comparable to the previous Environics surveys (See Appendix 1).

CVM surveys are used by some of Canada's largest corporations and agencies: Bell Canada, Aliant and Telus, the Bank of Montreal, Canadian Tire and others. In terms of public sector users, Pollara has indicated that the City is a leader in adopting this approach, which is drawing considerable interest from other municipalities as well as the Province of Ontario.

PRESENT STATUS:

The contract with Pollara Research covers the years 2008 to 2010, inclusive, and is based on a 3-year pricing schedule with years 2 and 3 of the contract subject to budget funding approval.

As is noted in the table below, the survey cost dropped significantly (by 42%) after year 1, since the initial costs of developing the survey

are no longer required. The bulk of Year 2 and 3 costs deal with survey administration, participant recruitment, data analysis, and reporting of the results.

Year 1 (2008)	Year 2 (2009)	Year 3 (2010)	
Completed	In Progress	Subject To Budget	
_		Funding Approval	
Development and design			
of new survey	N/A	N/A	
questionnaire			
Stakeholder meetings			
(internal) and focus	N/A	N/A	
groups (2) with external			
stakeholders		The state of the s	
	Review of	Review of	
N/A	questionnaire if	questionnaire if	
	required	required	
Survey administration	Survey administration	Survey administration	
and fieldwork	and fieldwork	and fieldwork	
 telephone and 	- telephone and	- telephone and	
online with goal	online with	online with	
of 1,100	goal of 1,100	goal of 1,100	
complete	complete	complete	
Data processing	Data processing	Data processing	
Analysis and ·	Analysis and	Analysis and	
interpretation	interpretation	interpretation	
Research Reports and	Research Reports and	Research Reports and	
Presentation	Presentation	Presentation	
Total: \$87,748	\$52,311	\$52,311	
includes GST	includes GST	includes GST	
Survey in field: August	Survey in field:	Survey in field:	
2008	June, 2009	May, 2010	

COMMENTS:

Communications staff are not yet in a position to conduct the CVM survey in house due to our limited ability to access online survey respondents. However, this option could be explored for 2011 and beyond. Conducting the survey with 'in-house' resources would still require both hard costs (related to survey software and citizen

-4-

recruitment) as well as soft costs (staff time estimated at approximately 175 hours to conduct the survey, analyze the results and communicate the results). However, the overall budget impact for the CVM survey would be reduced by using this approach.

Only minimal cost reductions could be further achieved by reducing the number of surveys that we conduct (participant recruitment costs are estimated at between \$15-\$25 /person to access research panel participants). However, this option would not be recommended due to the reduced accuracy that would result with our survey results. The long-term value of the Citizen Value Measurement survey is that it provides information that will enable us: to quantifiably track our service performance over time; to make informed resource allocation decisions about our services; to assess changes in citizens' attitudes and views; and it will enable us to determine the impact that targeted service changes may have on resident satisfaction.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:

The Citizen Value Measurement survey has been budgeted for 2009 in the amount of \$53,000. A similar amount is being included in the proposed 2010 Budget.

CONCLUSION:

The Citizen Value Measurement survey provides useful input to business plan priority setting and strategic plan progress reporting. Staff propose that the City proceed with the current agreement to have Pollara Research conduct the survey in 2010 while exploring the feasibility of conducting the survey in house, at a reduced cost, starting in 2011.

ATTACHMENTS:

Appendix 1: Appendix 1: CVM versus Environics Cost Comparison

R Bredelt

Brenda R. Breault, CMA MBA

Commissioner, Corporate Services and Treasurer

Prepared By: Paul Damaso, Manager Corporate Marketing and Promotions

SEP 2 3 2009

Appendix 1: CVM versus Environics Cost Comparison

YEAR	VENDOR	BUDGET	ACTUALS
2006	Environics	\$50,100	\$74,000
(Enhanced Survey Year)			
2007	Environics	\$47,100	\$0
			(Environics Contract was
handware in	-		not renewed pending the
			CVM RFP)
2008	Pollara	\$90,900	\$83,750
(Year1-CVM)			
2009	Pollara	\$53,000	\$52,311
(Year2 – CVM)			(To be invoiced)
2010	Pollara	\$53,000	\$52,311
(Year 3 - CVM)		(Request)	(Estimated)