AGENDA

SESSION 5

THE COUNCIL OF

THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF MISSISSAUGA
(www.mississauga.ca)

WEDNESDAY, March 27, 2013 – 9:00 A.M.

COUNCIL CHAMBER
300 CITY CENTRE DRIVE
MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO L5B 3C1

Contact: Carmela Radice, Legislative Coordinator, Office of the City Clerk
Telephone: 905-615-3200, ext. 5426; carmela.radice@mississauga.ca
1. **CALL TO ORDER**

2. **DISCLOSURES OF DIRECT OR INDIRECT PECUNIARY INTEREST**

3. **MINUTES OF PREVIOUS COUNCIL MEETINGS**
   (a) March 6, 2013

4. **APPROVAL OF AGENDA**

5. **PRESENTATIONS**
   (a) Canada’s Best Employers for New Canadians Award

   Janice Baker, City Manager will present the award to Mayor McCallion and Sharon Willock, Director of Human Resources, Suzane Noga, Acting Manager of Strategic Talent Management and Vicky Mangos Staffing and Development Consultant acknowledging the City’s efforts to assist new Canadians in making the transition to a new workplace and demonstrating the City’s commitment through participation in mentoring and internship programs aimed at new Canadians.

6. **DEPUTATIONS**
   (a) Tax Adjustments

   There may be persons in attendance who wish to address Council re: Tax Adjustments pursuant to Sections 357 and 358.

   Corporate Report R-1

   (b) 2014 OFSAA Track and Field Championship

   Angelo Di Francesco and Dave Ropret, OFSAA Co Directors and Tom Wisnicki, Principal at St. Marcellinus Secondary School will provide details of the 2014 OFSAA Track and Field Championship event that will take place on June 5 – June 7, 2014.

   (c) Vehicle Damage

   Susan Matheson will discuss her denial of claim from the City regarding vehicle damage on Tomken Road.
(d) Mississauga Earth Days 2013

Sonja Banic, Manager of Public Affairs and Jessica Kukac, Waste Reduction Coordinator from Ecosource will be presenting to Council the event details for the 2013 Earth Days.

(e) Mississauga Public Library Board

Brad Hitchinson, Chair of the Mississauga Public Library Board will present the semi-annual Library Board report.

7. PUBLIC QUESTION PERIOD – 15 Minute Limit

(In accordance with Section 36 of the City of Mississauga Procedure By-law 0412-2003, as amended, Council may grant permission to a person who is present at Council and wishes to address Council on a matter on the Agenda. Persons addressing Council with a question should limit preamble to a maximum of two statements sufficient to establish the context for the question. Leave must be granted by Council to deal with any matter not on the Agenda.)

8. CORPORATE REPORTS

R-1 Report dated March 6, 2013, from Commissioner of Corporate Services and Treasurer re: Tax Adjustments Pursuant to Sections 357 and 358.

Recommendation

That the tax adjustments outlined in Appendix 1 attached to the report dated March 6, 2013 from the Commissioner of Corporate Services and Treasurer for applications for cancellation or refund of taxes pursuant to Sections 357 and 358 of the Municipal Act, be adopted.

Motion

9. COMMITTEE REPORTS

(a) Audit Committee Report 1-2013 dated March 4, 2013.

Motion

(b) Governance Committee Report 4-2013 dated March 18, 2013.

Motion
(c) Planning and Development Committee Report 4-2013 dated March 18, 2013.

Motion

(d) General Committee Report 6-2013 dated March 20, 2013.

Motion

(e) Public Meeting extracts from the Planning & Development Committee meeting dated March 18, 2013:

(i) Information Report - Rezoning Application to permit a Private Elementary School, 935 Eglinton Avenue West and Block 127, 43M-1034, North side of Eglinton Avenue West, West of Terry Fox Way Owners: Masjid-e Farooq-e Azam Mississauga & Makkah Holdings Inc. Applicant: Macaulay Shiomi Howson Ltd., Bill 51 (Ward 6) File: OZ 09/009 W6

To Be Received

10. UNFINISHED BUSINESS - Nil

11. PETITIONS - Nil

12. CORRESPONDENCE

(a) Information Items: I-1 - I-14

(b) Direction Item D1 - D-2

D-1 Letter received March 11, 2013, from the Region of Peel requesting that the City of Mississauga participate in the Queen’s Park Day.

Direction Required

D-2 Letter dated March 1, 2013, from the Mississauga Chinese Business Association requesting that the City of Mississauga revoke the Shark Fin By-law.

Direction Required
13. MOTIONS

(a) To approve recommendations from the following Committee Reports:


(b) To close to the public a portion of the Council meeting to be held on March 27, 2013, to deal with various matters. (See Item 18 Closed Session).

(c) To adopt the report dated March 6, 2013 from the Commissioner of Corporate Services and Treasurer for applications for cancellation or refund of taxes pursuant to Sections 357 and 358 of the Municipal Act.

Corporate Report R-1

14. BY-LAWS

B-1 A by-law to amend By-law 521-04, as amended, being the Tow Truck Licensing By-law as amended to Section 4(1), Section 33(8), Section 33(10), Section 2 of Schedule 3 and Section 2.2 of Schedule 3.


B-2 A by-law to establish certain lands as part of the municipal highway system for Registered Plan 745 designated as Parts 2, 7 and 9 Plan 43R-35051 in the vicinity of Erindale Station Road and Dundas Street West (Ward 6).

B-3 A by-law to establish certain lands as part of the municipal highway system for Registered Plan 43M-1063 in the vicinity of Mavis Road and Britannia Road West (Ward 11).
B-4 A by-law to establish certain lands as part of the municipal highway system for Register Plan 43R-30995 in the vicinity of Hurontario Street and Queen Elizabeth Way (Ward 1).

B-5 A by-law to establish certain lands as part of the municipal highway system for Register Plan 43M-1762 in the vicinity of Winston Churchill Boulevard and Thomas Street (Ward 10).

B-6 A by-law to authorize the execution of an Agreement setting out easement rights and cost sharing related to erosion control and slope stabilization works (Ward 7).

GC-0440-2011/June 15, 2011

B-7 A by-law to authorize the execution of a Universal Transit Pass Amending Agreement for the implementation of a universal transit pass for use by the full-time and part-time students of the University of Toronto Mississauga.

GC-0155-2013/March 20, 2013

B-8 A by-law to authorize the execution of a Universal Summer Transit Pass Agreement for the implementation of a universal summer transit pass for use by full-time and part-time students of the University of Toronto at Mississauga.

GC-0155-2013/March 20, 2013

B-9 A by-law to amend MiWay, the new Mississauga Transit Fares By-law 242-12 Schedule “A” as amended is hereby deleted and shall be replaced by the revised Schedule “A”.

GC-0155-2013/March 20, 2013

B-10 A by-law to authorize the execution of a Servicing Agreement and other related documents between Edilou Holdings Inc., The Corporation of the City of Mississauga and The Regional Municipality of Peel. East side of Ninth Line, south of Derry Road West (T-M10002 W10) Owner: Edilou Holdings Inc./Cesta Developments Inc. (Carmen Cesta) and Applicant: Weston Consulting (Jim Levac) (Ward 10).

Resolution 0124-2012/May 23, 2012
B-11 A by-law to amend By-law number 0225-2007, as amended, being the City of Mississauga Zoning By-law by adding table 4.7.2.8 and changing “R3” to “R16-8” which is shown in Schedule “A”. (OZ 09/013 with T-11002 W1) Owner: Sedona Lifestyles (RomeTown) Inc. Applicant: Jim Levac (Ward 1).

PDC-0009-2012/February 8, 2012

B-12 A by-law to establish a revised Internal Audit Charter and By-law 0240-2004 is repealed.

AC-0004-2013/March 4, 2013

15. OTHER BUSINESS

16. INQUIRIES

17. NOTICE OF MOTION

M-1 That the City of Mississauga request that Province of Ontario to make amendments to the Planning Act.

Motion

M-2 That the Cities Initiative call upon the Canadian and American Governments to steer a coordinated study and to provide input from their respective long-term planning.

Motion

18. CLOSED SESSION

(a) Pursuant to the Municipal Act, Section 239 (2)

(i) Labour relations or employee negotiations re: A Verbal Update - Mississauga Professional Firefighter’s Association Arbitration Award regarding accidental death and dismemberment benefits.

(ii) Litigation or potential litigation, including matters before administrative tribunals, affecting the municipality or local board re: Assessment Appeals – 2013 Taxation.

(iii) Personal matter about an identifiable individual, including municipal or local boards re: Absence of Citizen Member of Traffic Safety Council.
19. CONFIRMATORY BY-LAW

A by-law to confirm the proceedings of the Council of The Corporation of the City of Mississauga at its meeting held on March 27, 2013.

20. ADJOURNMENT
DATE: March 6, 2013

TO: Mayor and Members of Council
Meeting Date: March 27, 2013

FROM: Brenda R. Breault, CMA, MBA
Commissioner of Corporate Services and Treasurer

SUBJECT: Tax Adjustments Pursuant to Sections 357 and 358

RECOMMENDATION: That the tax adjustments outlined in Appendix 1 attached to the report dated March 6, 2013 from the Commissioner of Corporate Services and Treasurer for applications for cancellation or refund of taxes pursuant to Sections 357 and 358 of the Municipal Act, be adopted.

BACKGROUND: Sections 357 and 358 of the Municipal Act, 2001, S.O. 2001, c.25 allow a property owner or the Treasurer to make application for the cancellation, reduction or refund of taxes for a number of specific reasons. Taxes may be adjusted when a building has been demolished or razed by fire or if a property has become exempt, changed class or has been overcharged by reason of gross or manifest error.

COMMENTs: A total of 28 applications for tax adjustments have been prepared for Council's consideration.

The total cancellation or refund of taxes as recommended is $99,754.50. Appendix 1 outlines the tax cancellations being
FINANCIAL IMPACT: The City's portion of the cancellations resulting from the Section 357 and 358 tax adjustments is $22,701.54.

CONCLUSION: Tax appeals for 2010, 2011, and 2012 taxation years are listed in Appendix 1. The Municipal Act requires Council to approve the tax adjustments.

ATTACHMENTS: Appendix 1: Tax Appeals Pursuant to the Municipal Act For Hearing On March 27, 2013.

Brenda R. Breault, CMA, MBA
Commissioner of Corporate Services & Treasurer

Prepared By: Connie Mesih, Manager, Revenue and Taxation
### Section 357 : 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Appeal No</th>
<th>Roll No</th>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Reason for Appeal</th>
<th>Tax Adjustment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8339</td>
<td>05-01-0-064-15500-0000</td>
<td>THAI HAI VAN</td>
<td>2563 CLIFF RD</td>
<td>Became exempt</td>
<td>-25.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8422</td>
<td>05-02-0-021-11670-0000</td>
<td>CITY OF MISSISSAUGA</td>
<td>0 MEADOW WOOD RD</td>
<td>gross/manifest error</td>
<td>-419.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8440</td>
<td>05-02-0-024-03800-0000</td>
<td>ONTARIO RACQUET SPORT</td>
<td>884 SOUTHDOWN RD</td>
<td>Demolished/razed-usable</td>
<td>-3,433.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8360</td>
<td>05-02-0-032-09200-0000</td>
<td>JACAN CONSTRUCTION LTD</td>
<td>1110 LORNE PARK RD</td>
<td>Became exempt</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8356</td>
<td>05-02-0-037-06500-0000</td>
<td>GORI RICCARDO</td>
<td>1759 HINDHEAD RD</td>
<td>Demolished/razed-fire</td>
<td>-1,368.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8290</td>
<td>05-04-0-092-06700-0000</td>
<td>IQBAL MUZAMMIL</td>
<td>949 BURNHAMTHORPE RD E</td>
<td>Became exempt</td>
<td>-84.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8434</td>
<td>05-04-0-096-20807-0000</td>
<td>DARYANANI LACHU</td>
<td>5070 TERRY FOX WAY</td>
<td>gross/manifest error</td>
<td>-2,928.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8379</td>
<td>05-04-0-097-02200-0000</td>
<td>CITY OF MISSISSAUGA</td>
<td>6545 CREDITVIEW RD</td>
<td>Became exempt</td>
<td>-2,354.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8277</td>
<td>05-04-0-098-02755-0000</td>
<td>GRACE AND TRUTH MESSAGE</td>
<td>7605 DANBRO CRES</td>
<td>Class change</td>
<td>-15,349.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8374</td>
<td>05-04-0-116-31420-0000</td>
<td>SHEPKRAFT HOLDINGS INC.</td>
<td>6860 PACIFIC CIR</td>
<td>gross/manifest error</td>
<td>-1,183.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8349</td>
<td>05-05-0-117-15800-0000</td>
<td>BALLYCROY INVESTMENTS LIMI</td>
<td>0 BRITANNIA RD E/S</td>
<td>gross/manifest error</td>
<td>-7,305.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8368</td>
<td>05-06-0-146-60800-0000</td>
<td>WANG YU-HUA</td>
<td>792 EAGLEMOUNT CRES</td>
<td>gross/manifest error</td>
<td>-48.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8410</td>
<td>05-07-0-159-13100-0000</td>
<td>2174080 ONTARIO LIMITED</td>
<td>1569 CORMACK CRES</td>
<td>Demolished/razed-fire</td>
<td>-527.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8436</td>
<td>05-07-0-158-20800-0000</td>
<td>CHALMERS ROBERT</td>
<td>1602 ROMETOWN DR</td>
<td>gross/manifest error</td>
<td>-292.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8364</td>
<td>05-07-0-165-24400-0000</td>
<td>TITA MICHAEL</td>
<td>1442 NORTHMOUNT AVE</td>
<td>gross/manifest error</td>
<td>-1,774.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8234</td>
<td>05-12-0-004-06401-0000</td>
<td>MISSISSAUGA CITY</td>
<td>0 QUEEN ST E/S</td>
<td>Became exempt</td>
<td>-165.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total: -47,914.39

### Section 358 : 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Appeal No</th>
<th>Roll No</th>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Reason for Appeal</th>
<th>Tax Adjustment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8397</td>
<td>05-03-0-092-14100-0000</td>
<td>BURNHAMTHORPE CEMETERY</td>
<td>3700 DIXIE RD E</td>
<td>gross/manifest error</td>
<td>-2,673.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8372</td>
<td>05-04-0-116-31420-0000</td>
<td>SHEPKRAFT HOLDINGS INC.</td>
<td>6860 PACIFIC CIR</td>
<td>gross/manifest error</td>
<td>-12,162.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8347</td>
<td>05-05-0-117-15800-0000</td>
<td>BALLYCROY INVESTMENTS LIMI</td>
<td>0 BRITANNIA RD E/S</td>
<td>gross/manifest error</td>
<td>-4,800.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8396</td>
<td>05-05-0-119-14200-0000</td>
<td>CIOPPA LUIGI</td>
<td>7506 NETHERWOOD RD</td>
<td>gross/manifest error</td>
<td>-1,774.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8432</td>
<td>05-07-0-053-17500-0000</td>
<td>KABLAN CONSTRUCTION</td>
<td>1735 MATTAWA AVE</td>
<td>gross/manifest error</td>
<td>-165.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total: -47,914.39
## Appendix 1

**Tax Appeals Pursuant to the Municipal Act**  
**For Hearing On March 27, 2013**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Appeal No</th>
<th>Roll No</th>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Reason for Appeal</th>
<th>Tax Adjustment Totals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8431</td>
<td>05-07-0-053-17700-0000</td>
<td>KABLAN CONSTRUCTION</td>
<td>1723 MATTAWA AVE</td>
<td>gross/manifest error</td>
<td>-464.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section 358 : 2011**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Appeal No</th>
<th>Roll No</th>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Reason for Appeal</th>
<th>Tax Adjustment Totals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8398</td>
<td>05-03-0-092-14100-0000</td>
<td>BURNHAMTHORPE CEMETERY</td>
<td>3700 DIXIE RD E</td>
<td>gross/manifest error</td>
<td>-2,711.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8373</td>
<td>05-04-0-116-31420-0000</td>
<td>SHEPKRAFT HOLDINGS INC.</td>
<td>6860 PACIFIC CIR</td>
<td>gross/manifest error</td>
<td>-11,992.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8348</td>
<td>05-05-0-117-15800-0000</td>
<td>BALLYCROY INVESTMENTS LIMI</td>
<td>0 BRITANNIA RD E / S/S</td>
<td>gross/manifest error</td>
<td>-6,107.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8395</td>
<td>05-05-0-119-14200-0000</td>
<td>CIOPPA LUIGI</td>
<td>7506 NETHERWOOD RD</td>
<td>gross/manifest error</td>
<td>-213.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8435</td>
<td>05-07-0-158-20800-0000</td>
<td>CHALMERS ROBERT</td>
<td>1602 ROMETOWN DR</td>
<td>gross/manifest error</td>
<td>-280.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8483</td>
<td>05-07-0-165-24400-0000</td>
<td>TITA MICHAEL</td>
<td>1442 NORTHMOUNT AVE</td>
<td>gross/manifest error</td>
<td>-1,682.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section Total**  
-51,840.11
Corporate Services

Tax Adjustment Totals

| Section 357 | 2012        | -47,914.39 |
| Section 358 | 2010        | -28,852.50 |
|             | 2011        | -22,987.61 |
| Grand Total |             | -99,754.50 |
### Summary of Tax Adjustment by Type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>gross/manifest error</td>
<td>-76,445.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Became exempt</td>
<td>-2,630.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Demolished/razed-fire</td>
<td>-1,895.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Demolished/razed-unusable</td>
<td>-3,433.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Class change</td>
<td>-15,349.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>-99,754.50</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mar 6, 2013 09:09
TO: MAYOR AND MEMBERS OF COUNCIL

The Audit Committee presents its first report of 2013 and recommends:

AC-0001-2013
That the audit committee minutes of November 19, 2012 be approved as presented.

AC-0002-2013
That the report dated February 22, 2013 from the Director of Internal Audit with respect to final audit reports:
1. Transportation & Works Department, Enforcement Division, Parking Enforcement – Revenue Audit.
2. Corporate Services Department, Revenue, Materiel Management & Business Services Division, Materiel Management – Medium Value Acquisition Audit be received for information.

AC-0003-2013
That the report dated February 22, 2013 from the Director, Internal Audit with respect to the Status of Recommendations from the External Quality Assurance Review of the Internal Audit Function be received for information.

AC-0004-2013
1. That the proposed changes to the Internal Audit Charter (By-law 0240-2004) as detailed in the report dated February 22, 2013 from the Director of Internal Audit titles “Proposed Revisions to Internal Audit Charter, be approved.
2. That upon approval by the Audit Committee changes to the Internal Audit Charter be forwarded to Council for endorsement and for approval to amend By-law 0240-2004.

AC-0005-2013
That the report dated February 22, 2013, from the Director, Internal Audit, with respect to the 2013 Internal Audit Interim Work Plan be approved.

AC-0006-2013
That the revision to the Corporate Policy on Investments, attached as Appendix 1, to the report titled Revised Corporate Policy on Investments from the Commissioner of Corporate Services and Treasurer, dated February 12, 2013 be approved effective immediately.
The Governance Committee presents its fourth report for 2013 and recommends:

GOV-0019-2013
That the following recommendations resulting from the City Committees of Council Structure Review be considered by General Committee at the April 3, 2013 meeting:

1. That the report entitled, City Committees of Council Structure Review – Recommendations to Governance Committee, dated March 4, 2013, from the City Manager and Chief Administrative Officer, be received for information.

2. That the City Clerk be directed to implement the following recommendations by the start of the next term of Council (2015-2018):
   a. That an additional Committee-of-the-Whole, specifically organized to deal with issues of transportation and transit items, be created and that the Council committee calendar meeting cycle be changed from a two-week cycle to a three-week cycle to accommodate the new Committee-of-the-Whole and that staff report back on the implementation plan.

   b. That the ‘Mississauga Cycling Advisory Committee’ (MCAC) remain as an Advisory Committee with the following changes:
      i. That during the next term of Council, MCAC be directed to present a business model for the ‘Tour de Mississauga’ to determine if it will be a community-run event or a City-run event, including City resources required, for approval of the Budget Committee.
      ii. That during the next term of Council, staff be directed to analyze the opportunity and requirements to include ‘Active Transportation’ into the MCAC mandate, for approval of the Governance Committee.

   c. That the ‘Traffic Safety Council’ (TSC) remain as an Advisory Committee with the following changes:
      i. The Planning and Building Department be immediately transferred the responsibility for the site plan comments regarding school zones, as per an agreed upon checklist of criteria from the TSC.
      ii. That by no later than the start of the next term of Council, items that wholly occur on school board property, specifically Dismissal Reports and Kiss and Ride Reports, be transferred to school board officials to manage.
      iii. That by no later than the start of the next term of Council, the Engineering and Works division be transferred the duty from the Clerk’s Office for both inspection(s) scheduling and creating the inspection reports from the field data collected.
      iv. That during the next term of Council, staff be directed to analyze opportunities for the work of the Traffic Safety Council to be handled by City staff, and report back to the Governance Committee with this analysis.
d. That the 'Museums of Mississauga Advisory Committee' be reorganized to provide input, guidance and support to the Manager, Museums and Traditions regarding the artifact collection and the future city-wide museum as a Committee of Council.

e. That the 'Mississauga Celebration Square Events Committee' be reorganized to provide input, guidance and support to the Manager, Mississauga Celebration Square on sponsorship and other revenue opportunities to help the financial sustainability of the Square and strategic policies that affect the Square as a Community Reference Group as described in Appendix 1 attached to the Corporate Report.

f. That the 'Road Safety Mississauga Advisory Committee' (RSM) be retired at the end of this term of Council (November 2014).

g. That the membership of the 'Public Vehicle Advisory Committee' be restructured with a broad stakeholder membership and a specific mandate to provide input and advice on policy issues affecting the taxi industry.

h. That the 'Towing Industry Advisory Committee' be restructured with a broad stakeholder membership and a specific mandate to provide input and advice on policy issues affecting the towing industry.

i. That the membership of the 'Citizen Appointments Committee' be restructured to include all Members of Council.

j. That the policy entitled, 'Citizen Appointments to Committees, Boards and Authorities' (#02-01-01) be changed regarding Eligibility to also include citizens who own businesses in the City of Mississauga.

k. That a process be implemented whereby a proposed new Committee of Council is analyzed against the criteria list attached as Appendix 2, before the Governance Committee deliberates and approves the new Committee.

l. That the Clerk's Office work with the Finance division to redefine the budgetary processes and accounting associated with the running of the Committees of Council by:
   a. Creating a single operating budget account that supports all typical annual expenditures for the Committees of Council including a policy outlining approved expenditures.
   b. Developing a system by which Committees of Council can receive Council-approved project funding in stand-alone capital accounts.
3. That the Clerk’s Office be directed to consider the administrative suggestions outlined in the report attached as Appendix 1 and report back to the Governance Committee at a later date regarding implementation.

4. That all applicable by-laws and policies be updated accordingly.

GOV-0020-2013
That the revised Corporate Policy and Procedure – Conflict of Interest, attached as Appendix 1 to the report dated March 01, 2013 from the City Manager and Chief Administrative Officer, be approved.

GOV-0021-2013
That the listing of outstanding items presented at the March 18, 2013 meeting that were directed to staff by the Governance Committee, be received.

GOV-0022-2013
That the list of correspondence received by the Governance Committee and an update on the status for each matter as presented at the March 18, 2013 meeting, be received.
TO: MAYOR AND MEMBERS OF COUNCIL

The Planning and Development Committee presents its third report of 2013 and recommends:

PDC-0013-2013
That the report dated March 4, 2013 from the Commissioner of Planning and Building regarding Sign By-law 0054-2002, as amended, and the requested seven (7) Sign Variance Applications described in Appendices of the Report, be adopted in accordance with the following:

1. That the following Sign Variances be granted:

   (a) Sign Variance Application 12-03068
       Ward 1
       1310 Dundas St. E.

       To permit the following:

       (i) Twelve (12) fascia signs installed above the upper limit of the first storey.

   (b) Sign Variance Application 12-01809
       Ward 1
       Creekside Crossing
       1500 Dundas Street East

       To permit the following:

       (i) Two (2) ground signs each with an area of 38.55 m. sq. (414.97 sq. ft.) located approximately 135 m (442.91 ft.) apart.

   (c) Sign Variance Application 12-03093
       Ward 4
       Sheridan College
       4180 Duke of York Blvd.

       To permit the following:

       (i) Three (3) roof signs.
(d) Sign Variance Application 12-03002
Ward 5
Mantella Corporation
5455 Dixie Road

To permit the following:

(i) One (1) temporary sign facing Provincial Highway 401 for a period of one (1) year.

(e) Sign Variance Application 12-03003
Ward 5
Mantella Corporation
5503 Dixie Road

To permit the following:

(i) One (1) temporary sign facing Provincial Highway 401 for a period of one (1) year.

(f) Sign Variance Application 12-03355
Ward 9
A&W
2920 Argentia Road

To permit the following:

(i) One (1) gateway drive-thru pylon structure.

(g) Sign Variance Application 12-03195
Ward 11
Fionn MacCool's
825 Britannia Rd. W.

To permit the following:

(i) The proposed sign area of the signs located on the south elevation is 24.75% of the building façade whereas 20% is permitted.

(ii) The proposed sign area of the signs located on the east elevation is 19.4% of the building façade whereas 15% is permitted.

BL.03-SIG (2011)
That the report titled “2013 Employment Profile”, “2013 Vacant Lands Profile” and “2013 Existing Land Use Profile” dated March 18, 2013, from the Commissioner of Planning and Building, be received for information and circulated, by the Planning and Building Department, to the Mississauga Board of Trade, the Building Industry and Land Development Association (BILD), Economic Development Advisory Council, Peel Halton Dufferin Training Board and the University of Toronto Mississauga.

PDC-0015-2013
(a) That the Report dated February 26, 2013 from the Commissioner of Planning and Building regarding the appeal filed by Legal Services by letter be adopted, and that Legal Services, together with other appropriate City staff, attend the Ontario Municipal Board hearing in support of the appeal of the decision of the Committee of Adjustment under file ‘A’ 040/13 W2.

(b) That the following correspondence be received:

1. Letter dated February 27, 2013 from Mr. Brian Child, President, Reptilia Inc.
2. Letter dated March 18, 2013, from Mr. Tom Pope, Darval Holdings Ltd.

‘A’ 040/13 W2

PDC-0016-2013
That the Report dated February 26, 2013, from the Commissioner of Planning and Building regarding the appeal filed by Legal Services by letter be adopted, and that Legal Services, together with other appropriate City staff attend the Ontario Municipal Board hearing in support of the appeal of the decisions of the Committee of Adjustment under files ‘B’ 6/13 W1, and ‘A’ 12/13 W1, regarding the property at 372 South Service Road.

‘B’ 6/13 W1, ‘A’ 11/13 & ‘A’ 12/13 W1

PDC-0017-2013
(a) That the Report dated February 26, 2013, from the Commissioner of Planning and Building regarding the appeal filed by Legal Services by letter be deferred pending a meeting with the land owner and appropriate City staff with respect to files ‘B’ 5/13 W1, ‘A’ 9/13 and ‘A’ 10/13 W1, regarding the property at 1238 Strathy Avenue.

(b) That the following correspondence be received:

1. Letter dated March 18, 2013 from Mr. P.K. Chee, MiKo Urban Consulting Inc.

‘B’ 5/13 W1, ‘A’ 9/13 & ‘A’ 10/13 W1
PDC-0018-2013

1. That the Report dated February 26, 2013 from the Commissioner of Planning and Building regarding the application to change the Zoning from "RM4-58" (Residential) and "RM5-3" (Residential), to "RM4-Exception" (Residential) to permit a private elementary school in conjunction with a place of religious assembly, under file OZ 09/009 W6, Masjid-e Farooq-e Azam Mississauga and Makkah Holdings Inc., 935 Eglinton Avenue West and Block 127, 43M-1034, be received for information.

2. That the following correspondence be received:

(a) Correspondence of over 300 in support of the Rezoning Application

(b) Correspondence in opposition of the Rezoning Application

OZ 09/009 W6
TO: THE MAYOR & MEMBERS OF COUNCIL

General Committee of Council presents its sixth Report of 2013 and recommends:

GC-0155-2013

1. That the Commissioner of Transportation and Works and the City Clerk be authorized to execute and affix the corporate seal on behalf of The Corporation of the City of Mississauga (the “City”) to the Universal Transit Pass Amending Agreement between the City, the Governing Council of the University of Toronto (the “University”) and the Erindale College Student Union (the “UTMSU”) to include part-time students, in a form satisfactory to Legal Services.

2. That the Commissioner of Transportation and Works and the City Clerk be authorized to execute and affix the corporate seal on behalf of the City to the Universal Summer Transit Pass Agreement between the City, the University and the UTMSU to include a summer four month program for full-time and part-time students, in a form satisfactory to Legal Services.

3. That the MiWay, the new Mississauga Transit Fares By-Law #242-12 be amended to reflect a Summer Universal Transit Pass (Summer U-Pass) fee of $92.40 for 2013, and $95.17 for 2014, and to reflect the Summer U-Pass card replacement fee of $45.00 for 2013 and $60.00 for 2014.

4. That the MiWay, the new Mississauga Transit Fares By-Law #242-12 be amended to reflect a fall/winter Universal Transit Pass (U-Pass) fee of $154.45 and to reflect the U-Pass card replacement fee of $70.00 and $90.00 for the academic year 2013/2014.

5. That all necessary by-laws be enacted.

GC-0156-2013

That the Corporate Report dated February 6, 2013 from the Commissioner of Corporate Services and Treasurer, entitled “2013 Budgets for Consulting Services” be deferred to the April 3, 2013 General Committee meeting.

GC-0157-2013

That the report dated February 22, 2013, from the Commissioner of Community Services, entitled Let Your Green Show Awareness Campaign – Phase 2 be received for information.
GC-0158-2013
That the report dated March 5, 2013 from the Commissioner of Transportation and Works entitled Mississauga Priorities for Funding from the Province of Ontario be received for information.

GC-0159-2013
1. That a by-law be enacted to amend By-law 555-2000, as amended to implement 30-minute parking anytime on the east side of Confederation Parkway from a point 25 metres (82 feet) north of Square One Drive to a point 10 metres (32 feet) northerly thereof.
2. That a by-law be enacted to amend By-law 555-2000, as amended to implement 30-minute parking anytime on the south side of Square One Drive from a point 40 metres (130 feet) west of Living Arts Drive to a point 5 metres (16 feet) westerly thereof.
(Ward 4)

GC-0160-2013
That the following be approved with respect to the naming of the private road within the proposed common element condominium development under application OZ-07/006, located on the west side of Cawthra Road, south of the QEW:
   a) The name Tupelo be assigned.
   b) The standard City of Mississauga private street name signs with blue lettering on a white background indicating the name of the street be erected at the appropriate locations.
   c) The cost of the sign be borne by Tupelo Investments Limited, the registered owner, but be erected by City forces.
(Ward 1, City-wide)

GC-0161-2013
1. That the following be approved with respect to the naming of the private road within the proposed common element condominium development under Application OZ-12/004, located on the west side of Heatherleigh Avenue, south of Matheson Boulevard West:
   a) The name Francine be assigned.
   b) The standard City of Mississauga private street name signs with blue lettering on a white background indicating the name of the street be erected at the appropriate locations.
   c) The cost of the sign be borne by Summit Heatherleigh Inc. the registered owner, but be erected by City forces.
2. That the names Kugal, Perez, and Vera be added to the City of Mississauga Approved Street Name Reserve List.
(Ward 6, City-wide)
GC-0162-2013
That the City of Mississauga assume the municipal works as constructed by the developer under the terms of the Servicing Agreement for 43M-1762, The Erin Mills Development Corporation, (lands located north and south of Thomas Street, west of Winston Churchill Boulevard and east of Tenth Line West, in Z-57, known as Churchill Meadows Neighbourhood 402 – Phase 3) and that the Letter of Credit in the amount of $1,010,344.43 be returned to the developer and that a by-law be enacted to establish the road allowances with the Registered Plan as public highway and part of the municipal system of the City of Mississauga.
(Ward 10)

GC-0163-2013
That the 2012 Statement of Remuneration and Expenses detailed in Appendix 1 attached to the report dated March 5, 2013 from the Commissioner of Corporate Services and Treasurer be received.

GC-0164-2013
That the corporate report dated March 8, 2013 from the Commissioner of Corporate Services and Treasurer and entitled “Business Improvement Areas’ Year End Levy Adjustments” be received for information.

GC-0165-2013
That the deputation by Anatoliy Brodeskur, AquaShow Lab Canada with respect to ideas on how to modify the existing fountain at the Mississauga Celebration Square be received for information.
(MCSEC-0004-2013)

GC-0166-2013
That the report from the Commissioner of Community Services dated February 6, 2013 entitled “Mississauga Celebration Square: 2013 Continuous Improvement Opportunities” be received for information.
(MCSEC-0005-2013)

GC-0167-2013
That the Corporate Report dated February 11, 2013 from the Commissioner of Community Services entitled, “Mississauga Celebration Square 2013 Spring/Summer Calendar” be received for information.
(MCSEC-0006-2013)
That the following terms and conditions for the license agreement between Mississauga Legends Row and the City of Mississauga, for installation of recognition plaques on a portion of Mississauga Celebration Square, as outlined in the Report “Update on Key Terms and Conditions for a License Agreement with Mississauga Legends Row” dated February 13, 2013 from the Commissioner of Community Services, be received for information:

1. Five year term with renewal for up to two additional 5 year terms, each term to be approved by Council;
2. 30 Day termination clause, with no liability against the City;
3. Locate Legends Row within the collonades outside the Jubilee Gardens on Mississauga Celebration Square;
4. Legends Row Board of Directors approve a new draft by-law regarding the nomination and selection process for inductees to Legends Row and recommended revisions to their governance by-law;
5. Legends Row assumes full responsibility for the cost of fabricating, installing, removing and maintenance of all totems and plaques;
6. Installation, removal and repairs to the totem and plaques be managed by the City and charged back to Legends Row; and
7. The design of the totems and plaques be approved by both Legends Row and the City.

That the Safe and Active Routes to School Program presentation be received.

That the Ontario Ministry of Transportation – “Steer It, Clear It Initiative” be received and that the Mayor send a letter of support encouraging the Region of Peel, Peel Regional Police and Insurance Brokerages of Mississauga to support the initiative.

That the Road Safety Committee (RSM) budget be received and deferred to the next RSM meeting for further consideration.

That the Mississauga Road Watch program statistics for the months of December 2012 and January 2013 be received.
GC-0173-2013
That the PowerPoint presentation, dated March 5, 2013 and entitled “One Million Trees Mississauga Program Update,” by Jessika Corkum-Gorrill, Natural Areas and One Million Trees Project Coordinator, to the Environmental Advisory Committee on March 5, 2013 be received.
(EAC-0007-2013)

GC-0174-2013
That the PowerPoint presentation, dated March 5, 2013 and entitled “2012 Report on Living Green,” by Mary Bracken, Environmental Specialist, to the Environmental Advisory Committee on March 5, 2013 be received.
(EAC-0008-2013)

GC-0175-2013
That the Memorandum, dated February 21, 2013 and entitled “Let Your Green Show Awareness Campaign – Phase 2,” from Andrea J. McLeod, Environmental Specialist, be received.
(EAC-0009-2013)

GC-0176-2013
That the Memorandum, dated February 22, 2013 and entitled “Earth Hour 2013,” from Julius Lindsay, Community Energy Specialist, be received.
(EAC-0010-2013)

GC-0177-2013
That the email message dated February 1, 2013 from Danish Sarwar, Ward 5 resident, with respect to how our municipality can help stop climate change, be received and referred to staff for further review and returned to a future Environmental Advisory Committee meeting for consideration.
(EAC-0011-2013)

GC-0178-2013
That the resignation email message dated January 17, 2013 from Hassaan Basit, Environmental Advisory Committee Citizen Member, advising Councillor George Carlson, Environmental Advisory Committee Chair, of his resignation from the Environmental Advisory Committee, be received.
(EAC-0012-2013)

GC-0179-2013
That the chart from Environment staff with respect to upcoming agenda items and Environmental Advisory Committee (EAC) role be received.
(EAC-0013-2013)
GC-0180-2013
That the chart dated March 5, 2013 from Julie Lavertu, Legislative Coordinator, Environmental Advisory Committee, with respect to the status of outstanding issues from the Environmental Advisory Committee be received.
(EAC-0014-2013)

GC-0181-2013
That a by-law be enacted to authorize the Commissioner of Community Services to execute a confidential Tariff Payment Agreement with Re:Sound, as outlined in the corporate report dated March 1, 2013 from the Commissioner of Community Services in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor.

GC-0182-2013
1. That the Commissioner of Community Services and City Clerk be authorized to execute an Amending License Agreement, and or documents ancillary thereof, between The Corporation of the City of Mississauga and Gymnastics Mississauga in accordance with the corporate report dated February 8, 2013 in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor and Realty Services.
2. That all necessary By-Laws be enacted.
(Ward 5)
This is an extract of the Planning and Development Committee meeting held on March 18, 2013.

Councillor Carlson, Chair, called this public meeting to order at 8:01 p.m.

3. PUBLIC MEETING

Information Report -- Rezoning Application to permit a Private Elementary School, 935 Eglinton Avenue West and Block 127, 43M-1034, North side of Eglinton Avenue West, West of Terry Fox Way

Owners: Masjid-e Farooq-e Axam Mississauga & Makkah Holdings Inc.
Applicant: Macaulay Shiomi Howson Ltd., Bill 51 (Ward 6)
File: OZ 09/009 W6

Ms. Angela Sciberras, Planner, Macaulay Shiomi Howson Ltd., presented an overview of the application for a zoning amendment and site plan approval for a private elementary school. The property is in conjunction with an existing mosque. Ms. Sciberras advised that underground parking would be located under the school and surface parking to the west of the mosque. She noted that at a community information meeting hosted by Councillor Starr on March 5, 2013, the community's primary concern focused on parking involving the mosque.

Ms. Sciberras advised that the subject lands are designated Medium Density Residential and the proposed school is permitted within that designation. The school will be the same height as the mosque with architectural features. She spoke to the proposed landscaping plan and the increase in parking spaces to 167 (76 surface and 91 underground) from the current 93. The City's Zoning By-law requires 122 spaces for both the mosque and school use. She outlined the maximum capacity of the school is 300.

In response to a query from Councillor Mullin to increase the landscape space from the proposed 19% to meet the 40% standard, Ms. Sciberras advised that parking was felt to be more valuable in this instance, and that the traditional outdoor activities for the children would be held in the gym as opposed to a typical playground, hence landscaping was forfeited.
Madam Mayor asked about the separation of the land ownership. Ms. Sciberras responded that she did not have the specifics but that a meeting with City staff and the City's Legal Department had resulted in an agreement in perpetuity to be registered and the westerly lands would not be permitted for any other development except parking. Madam Mayor said that the site needs improvement and that there can be no compromise on the landscaping. She noted that the mosque is very popular but it does not have sufficient parking to accommodate the number of people who use it resulting in illegal parking and that this must be addressed. Madam Mayor requested to see the minutes of the community meeting held on March 5, 2013 and the landscaping plan for the Eglinton Avenue frontage of the property. She stated that a school was an excellent idea.

Councillor Crombie commented that she had the privilege of attending the mosque and that the school was a good idea but echoed Madam Mayor's concerns about the parking issue. She hoped for a solution without compromising the school, the landscaping or a playground. Ms. Sciberras noted that additional underground parking was not an option due to storm easement restrictions.

Councillor Crombie asked staff to comment on the sufficiency of parking spaces. Ms. M. Ball, Director, Development and Design, responded that the by-law requirements were met, but the issue was the ability to meet the needs of the congregation. The City has made arrangements with other religious assemblies for parking on GO parking sites and shuttling the attendees back and forth. Ms. Ball noted that By-law Enforcement would monitor the situation, and that there were means to put in place with the congregation to find other ways to help people get to the mosque. Ms. Sciberras advised that the applicant was willing to work with staff to come up with viable options.

Councillor McFadden noted that there was an obvious need for more mosques and private Muslim schools in the City, and noted that she was working with Councillor Mahoney and the community to build a mosque on Ninth Line so that residents of Wards 8, 9 and 10 were represented. Councillor McFadden expressed her support for the mosque.

Councillor Starr stated that the overall concept is good but expressed concern with the parking and traffic issues. He noted that the neighbourhood is seeking a good
relationship with controls. During Eid and Ramadhan, parking is very difficult and the
suggestion of one-sided street parking will move it further into the community. He
advised that staff were committed to working with the applicant to resolve the parking
and landscaping issues but that a decision will not be made tonight.

Mr. Sabahattin Kaderli, resident, addressed the Committee. He advised that the
proposal of the school is a phenomenal opportunity for families and allows for certain
morals and Islamic foundations to be taught. He spoke of anxiously waiting for the
school for his children and appealed that the application not be delayed. He said that
he had difficulty understanding the parking situation as more spaces than the City
standard were being provided. This school will add to the menagerie and cultural fabric
of the city.

Mr. Bashir Abdiladif, resident, addressed the Committee. He spoke of his excitement
at the prospect of a school and gym and noted that when the mosque was developed, it
added to the real estate value. He believed property values will rise once the school
and gymnasium are built. He stated that the empty space would no longer be an
eyesore and looked forward to seeing the project approved.

Mr. Gamel Ibrahim, resident, addressed the Committee and spoke of his reasons for
moving to Mississauga as a great city to raise a family even though there were very few
mosques. He spoke of his involvement in assisting with parking and the Police officers
directing the traffic expressing their appreciation on how well the space was run and
organized despite restrictions with just surface parking. He felt that lack of clear
signage may be a contributing factor. Mr. Ibrahim noted that people tend to park for
convenience. He did not feel there was a parking issue. He also spoke of children in
the neighbourhood using their volunteer hours to help the mosque and that families are
looking for ways to encourage a good neighbourhood.

Frank Marchese, resident, addressed the Committee and stated he had no concern
with the school provided there was adequate parking space. On Fridays there are over
200 vehicles on the lot and another 150 outside. He submitted photographs illustrating
the situation. He felt this was not safe for children and that if there was a fire or a
medical emergency, fire trucks and ambulances would have difficulty accessing the
area. He also noted a concern that children at the school would not be able to go
outside for recess.
Andy Vammus, representing City Centre Baptist Church, addressed the Committee. He said that there was no issue with the school but agreed with Madam Mayor regarding the aesthetics of the site as the Baptist Church was required to adhere to the landscaping standards and paid parking, which are both missing in this instance. The Church was also concerned about parking at different festival times and Fridays, especially when they coincide with other religion festival days such as Good Friday. Concern was also expressed regarding the gymnasium being used for overflow.

Mohamed Qazi, President of the Mosque, addressed the Committee and noted that the real issue is illegal parking and providing more parking will not solve this problem. The mosque has no control over this issue on the back streets. He cited that this was a systemic issue and spoke of cars parked on fire routes outside of mosque hours such as on Felicity Crescent. In the mosque he personally ensures fire routes are never blocked. He spoke of the requirements that had been put in place to accommodate standards including underground parking. The community is very passionate about this project. Efforts have been made since 2009 to foster better understanding with the neighbourhood via open houses, but were unsuccessful. The neighbourhood is also kept informed of festival days via a hotline. Mr. Qazi said the Mosque was willing to work towards a solution but if the project is not approved, the property will remain underdeveloped.

Madam Mayor noted that the mosque is very successful and drawing people from a wider area. The illegal parking problem would however persist even if the school was not built. She also noted that parking was a major problem at a mosque in Clarkson. Madam Mayor suggested that services held at different times of the day might help alleviate the parking problem. Illegal parking was a major cost to the City and cannot be monitored every day. She asked how the Mosque could deal with the parking. Mr. Qazi responded that through discussions, a solution could be found. Madam Mayor reiterated that landscaping standards must be observed.

Councillor Dale noted that the challenge is the parking. He spoke of a retrofit done at another place of religious assembly to help create more parking and requested staff to review the placement of fire hydrants as the fire routes may not need to be as wide. He said emergency vehicles generally use the fire hydrant on the street and not on the property.
Councillor Tovey spoke of his support of the school but the parking issue must be addressed. He expressed concern with respect to fire capacity in the building and staff confirmed that the worship room could hold 339 people. Mr. Qazi said that 300 people generally attend prayers and that the school would operate outside of prayer times.

Saleem Deshmukh, resident addressed the Committee. He spoke on the matter of illegal parking and suggested that the City consider stricter by-laws to enforce stronger punishment for the few people who park illegally.

Councillor Starr reiterated that no decision will be made tonight and that the report will be received. He said that the staff will work with the Mosque to address the challenges raised.

Councillor Starr moved the following motion which was voted on and carried:

PDC-0018-2013
1. That the Report dated February 26, 2013 from the Commissioner of Planning and Building regarding the application to change the Zoning from "RM4-58" (Residential) and "RM5-3" (Residential), to "RM4-Exception" (Residential) to permit a private elementary school in conjunction with a place of religious assembly, under file OZ 09/009 W6, Masjid-e Farooq-e Azam Mississauga and Makkah Holdings Inc., 935 Eglinton Avenue West and Block 127, 43M-1034, be received for information.

2. That the following correspondence be received:

(a) Correspondence in support of the Rezoning Application

(b) Correspondence in opposition of the Rezoning Application

This public meeting closed at 9:18 p.m.
From: Patty Trost
Sent: 2013/02/28 1:35 PM
To: Diana Haas
Cc: Sharon Mittmann
Subject: Port Credit Infill Housing - Hiawatha Neighbourhood

To: Mississauga City Council, c/o Diana Haas

My name is Patty Trost. My husband and I have lived at 24 Hiawatha Parkway for over 14 years and we are in favour of the proposed changes to the by-laws to restrict the scale of infill housing in our neighbourhood. In our opinion, oversized development which is out of scale with lot sizes and neighbouring properties is having a detrimental effect on the character of our community.

In the spring of 1998, we sold our house in Scarborough and decided to move to the west end. I will never forget turning south off the Lakeshore onto Wenonah Drive. My husband and I looked at each other and knew that we had found the area we wanted to live in. We loved the lake views, mature trees, modest sized older homes and diverse architecture. When we found our house we were thrilled but realized that with only 2 bedrooms and a very small kitchen, it was too small. We decided to hire an architect and apply for a permit to put on a kitchen and 3rd bedroom. Our home was built in the early 1920s and it was imperative to us that the architectural character was maintained. It would have been hypocritical not to. After all, it was that character that attracted us to the neighbourhood in the first place. We had windows custom made to match those on the original house. We insisted the contractor save the old brick from the back wall of the house and chiselled all of the old mortar off ourselves over 2 weekends so that it could be re-used in the addition. We had all of the interior doors and trim custom-made to match the original house. We fought with our contractor about tying the new roofline into the old and constructing new dormers to match the existing ones. My point is, we made every effort to honour the architectural integrity of the neighbourhood.

There are those in our community that believe people like myself, who want new by-law restrictions, are against change. Nothing could be further from the truth. My husband and I made changes to our home by adding on to it. However, there is a big difference between making changes that are in keeping with the neighbourhood and those that forever alter its character. There are also people that feel since we have already allowed oversized development, by-law changes at this juncture would be akin to closing the barn after the horse has escaped. In this case, there are still over 300 horses (houses) still in the barn. It would be a mistake not to protect what remains. In an ideal world, I would like to see more restrictive by-laws but in the interest of considering all residents' viewpoints I will accept the more modest
changes proposed here. I am also not in favour of delaying the process to allow for further consultation and education of area residents. There have been 3 well publicized meetings and surveys were hand-delivered to every affected home.

In my opinion, of the proposed changes, there are 2 that are absolutely essential – increased front yard setbacks and the restriction on height to 9.5 metres to the peak of the roof. These restrictions should apply to all lots with the possible exception of increased setbacks for shallower waterfront lots that are affected by CVC rules. I am in favour of imposing a maximum 20 metre depth of house on regular lots and 30% coverage for waterfront lots. I would prefer to see no garage projections allowed but would be prepared to live with a maximum 3 metre projection.

I am aware that our community is divided on this issue. In 2007 I worked with the Port Credit Village Residents Association to propose by-law restrictions in our neighbourhood as a result of feedback received from residents regarding oversized development. When we got to the community consultation stage, a few dissenting voices brought the process to a halt and the effort was abandoned. In an effort to avoid the same outcome, I respectfully request that you support the preservation of our unique community.

Kind regards,
Patty

Patty Trost
Good afternoon,

On Monday evening, following our deputation to the City of Mississauga’s Planning and Development Committee Public Meeting on Second Units, BILD was asked to clarify our position on the proposed zoning provision (4.1.20.9) that states “the dwelling unit in which the second unit is located shall be the principal private residence of the subject property owner and they must not be an occasional or casual resident thereof.”

We would like to take this time to reattach our letter which clearly outlines the reasons why BILD takes issue with the proposed amendment as written, and is in support of allowing second units in properties that are both owner-occupied and owner-unoccupied. We apologize if our point was not made clear in the deputation, but it was our intention to outline to Council that the proposed policy (as currently written) zones for the user and not the use. Section 35(2) of the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990 specifically prohibits passing a zoning by-law that distinguishes between persons who are related and persons who are unrelated. In addition to this technical flaw which is not permitted by the Act, it is our opinion that the proposed zoning by-law amendment would deter that which the City is trying to promote through its Strategy – the development of legal second units.

I would ask that this clarification be shared with your Planning & Development Committee members. Should the Committee have any further questions or require further clarification to this point, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Thank you,

Mara Samardzic, B.Ur.Pl
Planner, Policy & Government Relations
BILD - Building a Greater GTA
Building Industry and Land Development Association
Direct Phone/Fax: 416.391.5704 | Email:*

Help the environment - don't print what you don't need.
We confirm your request.

Please note that a 5 minute time limit has been set to make your deputation tonight.

Thank you,

Mumtaz Alikhan
Legislative Co-ordinator
Office of the City Clerk
City of Mississauga
905-615-3200 x 5425

From: Diana Haas
Sent: 2013/02/25 1:26 PM
To: Mumtaz Alikhan; Stephanie Smith; Mumtaz Alikhan; Stacey Ballan; Emily Irvine
Subject: FW: BILD Deputation re: City of Mississauga Second Units Implementation Strategy CD.06.AFF

From: Mara Samardzic
Sent: 2013/02/25 12:08 PM
To: Diana Haas
Cc: Alana De Gasperis
Subject: BILD Deputation re: City of Mississauga Second Units Implementation Strategy

Good afternoon Diana,

If possible, I would like to be placed on this evening’s deputation list.
I will be speaking on behalf of BILD’s Peel Chapter and our Renovator/Custom Builder members in relation to the attached letter circulated to City staff, today.

Please confirm if my deputation will be possible. I may or may not have one of our member representatives present with me as well.
Thank you,

Mara Samardzic, B.Ur Pl
Planner, Policy & Government Relations
BILD - Building a Greater GTA
Building Industry and Land Development Association
Direct Phone/Fax: 416.301.5704 | Email:

Help the environment - don’t print what you don’t need.

From: Alana De Gasperis
Sent: February-25-13 12:01 PM
To: Mara Samardzic
Subject: FW: BILD letter - City of Mississauga Second Units Implementation Strategy

Here is the final letter to the City of Mississauga.
From: Alana De Gasperis
Sent: February-25-13 11:53 AM
To: 'mumtaz.alikhan@mississauga.ca'
Cc: Paula Tenuta; 'ed.sajecki@mississauga.ca'; 'emily.irvine@mississauga.ca'; Darren Steedman

Subject: BILD letter - City of Mississauga Second Units Implementation Strategy

To: Mumtaz Alikhan

Please circulate the attached letter from The Building Industry and Land Development Association (BILD) to Chair McFadden & Members of the Planning & Development Committee in advance of the public meeting tonight (February 25, 2013) on the City of Mississauga’s Second Units Implementation Strategy.

Should there be any questions on the attached letter, please contact Paula Tenuta (BILD VP of Policy & Government Relations) directly.

Thank you kindly,

Alana De Gasperis, BA., BURPI
Planning Coordinator, Policy and Government Relations
BILD - Building a Greater GTA
Building Industry and Land Development Association
Direct Phone: 416.391.2921 | Email: 

P Help the environment - don't print what you don't need.
From: Diana Haas
Sent: 2013/03/04 9:05 AM
To: Sharon Mittmann; Jim Tovey; Stacey Ballan; Mumtaz Alikhan
Subject: FW: Proposed Zoning By-law Amendments Port Credit Infill Housing Study-Hiawatha Neighbourhood CD 06.POR

--- On Tue, 2/19/13, Diana Haas <Diana.Haas@mississauga.ca> wrote:

From: Diana Haas <Diana.Haas@mississauga.ca>
Subject: RE: Proposed Zoning By-law Amendments Port Credit Infill Housing Study-Hiawatha Neighbourhood
To: "MRS EICHHORN"
Date: Tuesday, February 19, 2013, 2:38 PM

Hi Tony

Thank you for your email. Please provide us with your complete mailing address.

Thank you

Diana Haas
Council Support Services Assistant
Legislative Services
My views are as follows

The water lots on the South Side of Cumberland Drive should have longer driveways and increased front yard setbacks to avoid aberrations on the street like 90 Cumberland Drive with insufficient front yard setback.

Also limit maximum garage projection.

Tony Eichhorn
TO: Mississauga City Council
c/o Laura Wilson
Office of the City Clerk
2nd Floor
300 City Centre Drive
Mississauga ON L5B 3C1

FILE NUMBER: CD. 06. POR

OWNER/APPLICANT: LANA SLEZIC

FROM: LANA SLEZIC

(City, Province, Postal Code)

SIGNATURE: ____________________________

(Please ensure you have completed all sections. Information can be found on the Agenda Outline)

COMMENTS:

We attended the Feb. 25 meeting at Council Chamber and we are opposed to the proposed zoning by-law amendment because we believe it will greatly reduce the value of our property. At our end of the street, the lots are shorter and that also needs to be considered. We also don't agree that our neighborhood should be treated differently to other similar waterfront neighborhoods where by-laws are concerned. Let's not over-react because one home-owner (90 Cumberland) took advantage of the existing by-laws. Our home is our retirement, like many others. The proposed zoning by-law will substantially reduce the appeal of our home and lot to potential future buyers.
WRITTEN SUBMISSION

Under the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, c.P.13, as amended and with respect to Bill 51 applications:

i) If a person or public body does not make oral submissions at a public meeting, or make written submissions to the City of Mississauga before the by-law is passed, the person or public body is not entitled to appeal the decision of the City of Mississauga to the Ontario Municipal Board (OMB).

ii) If a person or public body does not make oral submissions at a public meeting, or make written submissions to the City of Mississauga before the by-law is passed, the person or public body may not be added as a party to the hearing of an appeal before the OMB unless, in the opinion of the Board, there are reasonable grounds to do so.

A copy of your written submission will be forwarded to Mississauga City Council to become part of the public record with respect to the above noted matter.

The personal information requested on this form is collected under authority of the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, c.P.13, as amended, and the applicable implementing Ontario Regulation. This information will be used to inform you of future meetings including OMB Hearings regarding the above application. Questions about the collection of personal information should be directed to the Access and Privacy Officer, City of Mississauga, 300 City Centre Drive, Mississauga, ON L5B 3C1 or by telephone at 905-615-3200, Ext. 5181.

NOTE: PLEASE COMPLETE THE BACK OF THIS FORM AND PLACE IN THE DROP BOX PROVIDED OR MAIL IT TO MISSISSAUGA CITY COUNCIL AT THE ADDRESS NOTED ON PAGE 2. ALTERNATIVELY YOU MAY CHOOSE TO WRITE A LETTER ADDRESSED TO MISSISSAUGA CITY COUNCIL.
March 6, 2013

Good day Your Worship and Councillors:

I have a vision of a future that requires change, but that change will not be possible without your continued support. Over four years ago, I began an epic campaign to change the world and to transform the way people viewed their place in it. This is the fourth time I have written to this Council; and I hope that you will continue to be a part of the change I speak of. I write again of the Honey Bee.

Many people still do not realise how important Honey Bees are to our way of life. This is troubling because Honey Bees are responsible for a third of all food we eat. Honey Bees are responsible for 70 percent of our food crop pollination. They are a keystone species; the very cornerstone to the sustainability of our agriculture and the primary basis of stability for our fragile environment. This issue is ever more severe because Honey Bees continue to die at alarming and catastrophic rates in Canada and in every country where they are raised.

There are many explanations offered to illuminate or shed light on the cause or causes of Honey Bee disappearances; foremost and most sinister among them being irresponsible pesticide use, such as neonicotinoids: clothianidin, thiamethoxam and imidacloprid. This is a matter that is currently both a source of passionately emotional and scientifically paramount debate between Canadian Beekeepers and Federal and Provincial Governments. Yet, the average person continues to be left in the dark regarding these concerns which have direct and profound impact on the health of not only wildlife but all citizens of this country. Bernard Vallat, the Director-General of The World Organisation for Animal Health, warned, that “Bees contribute to global food security, and their extinction would represent a terrible biological disaster.” Indeed, the demise of the Honey Bee would ensure the extinction of thousands of dependant animal and plant species, bring about the collapse of the food chain and guarantee the destruction of sustainable agriculture, our economy and the environment. As Honey Bees continue to perish, this represents a severe threat to the security of our Nation.
According to the Canadian Honey Council, "The value of honey bees to pollination of crops is estimated at over $2 billion annually." The Canadian Association of Professional Apiarists (CAPA) suggests that Canada sustained a national average of honey bee deaths of 29.3% in 2011. Another source indicates that in 2012 almost 99,000 hives died or became unproductive. This amount of loss is greater than what is considered sustainable. Alarming, Honey Bees have been disappearing at percentages considered unsustainable for over a decade. Yet, the average person is largely unaware of this threat or what it represents.

That is why that primary of all known solutions is education, awareness and active governmental participation in a resolution to this crisis. Without understanding that there is a problem, we have seen that the general public will take for granted the severity of this global crisis. It is for this reason that I began my campaign in 2009. While it proudly originated in Saskatoon Saskatchewan, it did not end until it spread from coast to coast and found root in distant countries. I had an inspired dream that if municipal governments across Canada were to be unified by a collective, singular proclamation in dedication to the Honey Bee, that more people, through media attention, would be made aware of their alarming decline....And resolve to take more necessary action to save this critically important creature and prevent the permanent loss of color and vitality on our wondrous planet.

Imagine a world without blueberries, apples, oranges, broccoli, herbs, almonds, peaches, pears, and over 90 other food crops! Alternatively, imagine a world where only the rich can afford to eat a grape or a peanut! There are Billions of people around the world that already have difficulty finding nourishment in the meagre food they have. Imagine a world where even the middle-class cannot afford fruits and vegetables....the lower class will cease to exist and no one will be privileged enough to donate produce to food banks. The poor will starve and there will be chaos; however, this fate is still preventable. It is not yet too late; and you can be an asset in turning aside my terrible predictions. Inform your citizenry of this crisis and with your authority, call on your Provincial Government and our Federal Government to give answer to our collective appeal.

In 7 provinces across Canada and with the support of over 70 municipal governments, May 29, 2010 was recognised as the first annual "Day of the Honey
Bee”. It was officially recognised in declaration by three provincial governments – Saskatchewan, Manitoba and British Columbia and recorded in the Legislative Assembly Hansard of Alberta. The Standing Committee on Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada suggested, “That the Government (of Canada) follow in the footsteps of the Province of Saskatchewan... ...by proclaiming May 29, 2010 as the National Day of the Honey Bee and that this be reported to the House.” As a result, “Day of the Honey Bee” is currently a Motion in the House of Commons, submitted by Mr. Alex Atamanenko, Member of Parliament for BC Southern Interior, currently serving on the Standing Committee for Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada.

In 2011, this special day was endorsed for a second time by Saskatchewan, Manitoba and British Columbia; and supported by 163 municipal governments across Canada.

In 2012, I once more sent thousands of letters. Last year “Day of the Honey Bee” was again celebrated by three provinces; and 179 municipal governments; while many were issued in perpetuity. The national average of support for “Day of the Honey Bee” is just over 25% of the population of Canada! “Day of the Honey Bee” has even been celebrated in The United Kingdom and Turkey. I dearly hope that this year, that you may add to this amazing success.

Furthermore, because of this amazing support, more people learned about the plight of Honey Bees. All across Canada and abroad, beekeepers, apiarists, beekeeping associations, farmer’s markets, postsecondary institutions, municipal groups and other concerned individuals planned activities and events on May 29th and the week surrounding this date, to educate and inform the public. The potential that this day possesses to stimulate the local economy, farmer’s markets and fairs; and produce revenue for local beekeepers, as well as generate research funding, if given official support by this Council, is as overwhelming as it is undeniable.

Nonetheless, even though more than a quarter of the population of Canada has supported the establishment of this day, I have not been able to gain the same level of success with many other Provincial Governments or the Federal
Government of Canada. It is my hope that with my words, you may contribute to this continued success with wisdom and the authority your station affords.

And now therefore, I do humbly request:

(a) That your Worship and Council, on behalf of your citizenry, resolve to proclaim May 29th, 2013 as the fourth annual “Day of the Honey Bee;” and (if bylaw allows) that this proclamation be issued in perpetuity;

(b) That in the event proclamations are not issued as a matter of policy, that your Worship and Council please consider, for the purposes of educational awareness, making an exemption to that policy in order to greater serve the broader public interest;

(c) That in the event there is a municipal ban on beekeeping within your influence, that in collaboration with your provincial apiarist and / or local beekeepers and respecting provincial regulations, that your Worship and Council resolve to antiquate this ban and formally sanction “backyard” or hobbyist beekeeping within your jurisdiction;

(d) That your Worship and Council resolve to endorse the establishment of a recognised “Day of the Honey Bee” by your Provincial Government, by writing a letter of support to your respective Member of the Legislative Assembly, your respective Provincial Minister of Agriculture and your respective Provincial Apiarist.

(e) That your Worship and Council resolve to endorse the establishment of a recognised “National Day of the Honey Bee” by the Federal Government of Canada, by writing a letter of support to all respective Members of Parliament of your jurisdiction and to Alex Atamanenko, Member of Parliament for BC Southern Interior. alex.atamanenko.al@parl.gc.ca; atamaa@parl.gc.ca.

(f) That your Worship and Council resolve to endorse the establishment of a recognised “National Day of the Honey Bee” by the Federal Government of Canada, by writing a letter of support to the Honourable Gerry Ritz, Minister of Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada:

   The Honourable Gerry Ritz
   Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada
   1341 Baseline Road
   Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0C5
Fax: 613-773-1081

(g) And that in the event a proclamation, endorsement and or response are issued, that the original be sent to the address and contact information provided in this correspondence below; for the purpose of keeping accurate tally and record; and that if copies of your response are to be sent to apiarists or beekeeper-groups that they be given copies.

By these requests, it is my goal that through collective proclamation, more of the populace will be made aware of the dire threats facing the Honey Bee; not only in your region but your province, across Canada and the world.

I thank you for your time and your considerations,

Sincerely,

Clinton Shane Ekdahl
Founder of “Day of the Honey Bee”
129 Avenue E South
Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, S7M 1R7
1 (306) 651 – 3955
March 6, 2013

**VIA COURIER**

**To:** City of Mississauga

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Edward Sajecki</th>
<th>John Calvert</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commissioner</td>
<td>Director, Policy Planning Division</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning and Building</td>
<td>Planning and Building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Mississauga</td>
<td>City of Mississauga</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tom Wenzel</th>
<th>Martin Powell</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Permit and Roadway Coordinator</td>
<td>Commissioner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation and Works</td>
<td>Transportation and Works</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Mississauga</td>
<td>City of Mississauga</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Steve Barrett</th>
<th>Anthony DiGiandomenico</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manager, Transportation Asset Management</td>
<td>Storm Drainage Technician</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation and Works</td>
<td>Transportation and Works</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Mississauga</td>
<td>City of Mississauga</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sunil Kanamala</th>
<th>Chris Rouse</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Leader</td>
<td>Planner, Planning Services Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit Facilities Planning</td>
<td>Planning and Building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Mississauga</td>
<td>City of Mississauga</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frank Marzo</th>
<th>Janice Baker</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9th Line Planning Study Manager</td>
<td>City Manager &amp; CAO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning and Building</td>
<td>City Manager's Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Mississauga</td>
<td>City of Mississauga</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crystal Greer</th>
<th>Paul Mitcham</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City Clerk</td>
<td>Commissioner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of the Clerk</td>
<td>Community Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Mississauga</td>
<td>City of Mississauga</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sangita Manandhar</th>
<th>Hazel McCallion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planner</td>
<td>Mayor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Services Department, Park Planning</td>
<td>City of Mississauga</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Mississauga</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bonnie Crombie</th>
<th>Pat Saito</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Councillor- Ward 5</td>
<td>Councillor- Ward 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Mississauga</td>
<td>City of Mississauga</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Luisa Marrone</th>
<th>Sue McFadden</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Executive Assistant to Councillor Pat Saito Ward 9</td>
<td>Councillor - Ward 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Mississauga</td>
<td>City of Mississauga</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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George Carlson
Councillor- Ward 11
City of Mississauga

John McDougall
Fire Chief
Community Services Department
Mississauga Fire and Emergency Services

Geoff Marinoff
Director, MiWay and BRT
Mississauga Transit

Jadie Adams-Thompson
Transportation and Works Department
City of Mississauga

Re: Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc. ("Enbridge")
EB-2012-0451 - Greater Toronto Area ("GTA") Project
Ontario Energy Board (the "Board") Notice of Application

On December 21, 2012, Enbridge filed an application with the Ontario Energy Board for an order or orders granting leave to construct natural gas pipelines and related facilities in the Greater Toronto Area.

The proposed natural gas pipelines will consist of two segments. "Segment A" is approximately 21 kilometres and will be located in the Town of Milton, the City of Brampton, and the City of Toronto. "Segment B" is approximately 23 kilometres and is proposed to be constructed within the City of Vaughan, the City of Markham, the City of Toronto, and the Town of Richmond Hill.

On March 5, 2013, the Board issued the Notice of Application and Letter of Direction for this proceeding. The Board has directed Enbridge to serve a paper version of the Notice of Application and Enbridge’s Application on all interested parties that are directly and indirectly affected by the project.

Enclosed please find the Notice of Application and a copy of Enbridge’s Application for the EB-2012-0451 proceeding. Location maps of the proposed pipelines can be seen in Appendix A to the Board’s Notice of Application.

To view the application and evidence, including the environmental report, please visit the Enbridge website at www.enbridgegas.com/gtaproject

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Yours truly,

Shari Lynn Spratt
Supervisor Regulatory Proceedings

Encl.
NOTICE OF APPLICATION

Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc. has applied for approval to build two segments of pipeline in the Greater Toronto Area

What?

Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc. has applied to the Ontario Energy Board (OEB) for approval to construct two segments of underground natural gas pipeline, and associated facilities. The first proposed segment of pipeline is approximately 21 km long and will be located in the Town of Milton, the City of Brampton and the City of Toronto. The second proposed segment is approximately 23 km long and will be located in the City of Vaughan, the City of Markham, the City of Toronto and the Town of Richmond Hill. Please see attached maps for details of the proposed routes of the pipelines.

The approximate cost of the pipelines would be $605 million.

Why?

Enbridge says the new pipelines will: (i) support customer growth for the period 2015 to 2025; (ii) eliminate distribution system constraints, (iii) diversify gas supply into the Enbridge distribution system; (iv) reduce operational risks; and (v) improve reliability, mitigate risk and save gas supply costs.

The Ontario Energy Board's Role

The OEB will hold a hearing to consider whether Enbridge's request for approval to construct the two segments of pipeline (and associated facilities) should be approved.
Have Your Say

There are several ways you can get involved in the process:

- You can apply for intervenor status, which will allow you to participate more actively.
- You can file a Letter of Comment with the OEB.
- You can become an observer which allows you to receive OEB documents and monitor the proceeding but not take an active role.

To learn more about how to participate, please visit www.ontarioenergyboard.ca/participate

Deadlines

To become an intervenor or an observer, your deadline to file documents with the OEB is 10 days from the publication or service date of this notice. If you miss this deadline, the hearing will proceed without you. You will not receive any further notice of the proceeding.

If you choose to file a letter of comment, you must file it no later than 30 days from the publication or service date of this notice.

Personal Information

Your personal information is treated differently depending on how you choose to participate.

If you file a letter of comment or request to become an observer, your name and the content of your letter to the OEB will be put on the public record and on the OEB's website, but your personal telephone number, address, fax number or e-mail address will be removed from the letter. Any business information will be public.

If you choose to become an intervenor anything you file with the OEB including your name and personal contact information will be placed on the OEB website and will be available for the public to view.
Written vs. Oral Hearings

The OEB has not determined whether it will hold a written or oral hearing in this case. That decision will be made later in this process.

Learn More
To learn more about this hearing and your options to get involved, please visit our website at www.ontarioenergyboard.ca/participate or phone our Consumer Relations Centre at 1-877-632-2727. You can access all documents related to this case by searching the file number EB-2012-0451 on the OEB website.

This hearing will be held under sections 90 and 98 of the Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998, S.O. 1998 c.15 (Schedule B).

Addresses

The Board:
Ontario Energy Board
P.O. Box 2319
27th Floor
2300 Yonge Street
Toronto ON M4P 1E4
Attention: Board Secretary
Filings:
https://www.pes.ontarioenergyboard.ca/eservice/
E-mail: boardsec@ontarioenergyboard.ca
Tel: 1-888-632-6273 (Toll free)
Fax: 416-440-7656

The Applicant:
Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc.
P.O. Box 650
500 Consumers Road
Toronto ON M2J 1P8
Attn: Mr. Norm Rykman
E-mail: EGDRegulatoryProceedings@enbridge.com
Tel: 416-495-5499
Fax: 416-495-6072

Counsel of the Applicant:
Mr. Scott Stoll
Aird & Berlis LLP
Suite 1800, Box 754
Brookfield Place, 181 Bay Street
Toronto ON M5J 2T9
E-mail: sstoll@airdberlis.com
Tel: 416-865-4703
Fax: 416-863-1515
DATED at Toronto, March 5, 2013

ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD

Original Signed By

Kirsten Walli
Board Secretary
APPENDIX A

TO

ENBRIDGE GAS DISTRIBUTION INC.
LEAVE TO CONSTRUCT NATURAL GAS PIPELINES AND REGULATION FACILITIES EXPANSION

BOARD FILE NO. EB-2012-0451

March 5, 2013

Location Maps
GTA Project - Segment A
Approximately 21 kilometre pipeline and
315 metre proposed connection to Union
Gas proposed Parkway West Gate Station

LEGEND

- Existing Station
- Proposed Pipeline Route
- Existing Enbridge Pipe
Connection to Existing Enbridge Pipeline via Proposed Buttonville Station

Connection to Existing Station

Tie-in to Existing Enbridge Pipeline

GTA Project - Segment B
approximately 23 kilometer pipeline

LEGEND

- Existing Station
- Proposed Pipeline Route

0 1 2 3 4 5 km
ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF the Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998, S.O. 1998, c. 15 (Schedule B);

AND IN THE MATTER OF an application by Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc. under section 90 and 91 of the Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998, S.O. 1998, c. 15 (Schedule B) for an order or orders granting leave to construct a natural gas pipeline and ancillary facilities in the Town of Milton, City of Markham, Town of Richmond Hill, City of Brampton, City of Toronto, City of Vaughan and the Region of Halton, the Region of Peel and the Region of York;

LEAVE TO CONSTRUCT APPLICATION:

GREATER TORONTO AREA PROJECT

1. The Applicant, Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc. ("Enbridge") is an Ontario corporation with its head office in the City of Toronto. It carries on the business of selling, distributing, transmitting and storing natural gas within Ontario.

2. Enbridge hereby applies to the Ontario Energy Board (the “Board”) for leave to construct the Greater Toronto Area Pipeline Project (the “GTA Project”) as described herein. The purpose of the GTA Project is to: (i) support future customer growth for the period 2015 to 2025; (ii) eliminate distribution system constraints, (iii) diversify gas supply entry points into the Enbridge distribution system; (iv) reduce operational risks; and (v) provide
improved reliability, risk mitigation and cost savings for upstream gas supply.

3. Update No. 1 is an amendment to the original Application filed on December 21, 2012 and is a result of further discussions with TransCanada Pipelines Limited ("TransCanada") and Union Gas Limited ("Union Gas"). Details of these discussions are provided in the evidence at Exhibit A, Tab 3, Schedule 1, page 10.

4. The primary changes in the Update No. 1 are:

   i. Shortening of Segment A;

   ii. Shared usage of Segment A with TransCanada; and

   iii. Relocation of the Parkway West Gate Station.

These changes allow for optimized use of existing infrastructure and a coordinated build out of required future infrastructure in and around the GTA. The changes are described below and a summary of the changes within Update No. 1 can be found in Exhibit A, Tab 3, Schedule 9.

5. The GTA Project consists of two segments, Segment A and Segment B, each of which are described below.

**Segment A**

6. Segment A is intended to connect to the TransCanada Mainline system and provide delivery of gas to Enbridge’s Albion Road Station. Segment A is located in the Region of Peel, Town of Milton, City of Brampton and the City of Toronto. Segment A also connects to Union Gas which will be further described below. A map of Segment A may
be found in Attachment Figure 1.

**Segment A – TransCanada to Albion Road**

7. TransCanada will construct the interconnection point, called the "Bram West Interconnect". Enbridge will have in-line inspection equipment at this interconnection point.

8. TransCanada and Enbridge have also agreed to shared use of the Segment A extra high pressure ("XHP") pipeline downstream of the Bram West Interconnect to serve both transmission and local distribution needs. In order to meet the additional forecasted commercial volumes, Segment A will have a Nominal Pipe Size ("NPS") of 42 inches, or NPS 42\(^1\). This arrangement will potentially eliminate the need for duplicative pipelines/facilities resulting in less environmental and community impacts.

9. Segment A Proposed Route is approximately 20.9 kilometres ("km") long and begins at the proposed interconnection point, called Bram West Interconnect, with TransCanada’s Mainline system located south of Highway 407 between Winston Churchill Boulevard and Heritage Road in the City of Brampton. The route is on the north side of a generally west-east trending Parkway Belt West Plan corridor, and predominantly within a designated Utility Corridor, or road right-of-ways, for the length of the route.

10. The Segment A Pipeline will terminate at the existing Enbridge Albion Road Station near Highway 427, Albion Road and Indian Line. As part of the GTA Project, Enbridge’s

\(^1\) Or NPS 36, subject to market conditions.
Albion Road Station will be expanded to accommodate the new connection to the NPS 42 Segment A Pipeline. Albion Road Station will be modified to accommodate odourization, metering, regulation and other ancillary equipment.

**Segment A – Parkway West**

11. In addition to the NPS 42 pipeline described above, Segment A includes other facilities in the vicinity of Union Gas’ proposed Parkway West and existing Parkway Compressor facilities near Highway 407 and Derry Road in the Town of Milton, Region of Halton. The proposed Enbridge Parkway West Gate Station will connect to the existing Enbridge NPS 36 Pipeline (the “Parkway North” pipeline) which is located on the west side of Highway 407. This connection will require the installation of approximately 315 metres (“m”) of NPS 36 pipeline to complete the tie-in. This pipeline will also be located west of Highway 407 and south of Derry Road in the Town of Milton, Region of Halton.

12. Enbridge also proposes to upgrade the existing valve manifold at the existing Parkway By-Pass (located on the west of Highway 407 south of Derry Road and directly west of the Parkway Gate Station) to include pressure regulation between the existing Enbridge NPS 36 “Parkway North” pipeline and the existing Enbridge NPS 36 XHP “Mississauga Southern Link” Pipeline (the “MSL” pipeline) that currently operate at different pressures.

**Segment B**

13. Segment B is proposed to be NPS 36 XHP pipeline and the modification and construction of station facilities. A map of Segment B may be found in Attachment Figure 2. The GTA Project - Segment B will be constructed within the Region of York, the City
of Vaughan, the City of Markham, City of Toronto and the Town of Richmond Hill.

14. The Segment B pipeline commences at Enbridge’s Keele/CNR Station which will be modified to connect to the proposed NPS 36 XHP pipeline.

15. The Segment B Preferred Route is approximately 23 km long and begins at Enbridge’s Keele/CNR Station located on Keele Street, approximately 400 m north of Steeles Avenue in the City of Vaughan.

16. The Segment B Pipeline exits the Keele/CNR Station and travels northeast for approximately 15.4 km, within the Parkway Belt West Plan Area and primarily within the Utility Corridor designation area, to the north-south trending hydro transmission corridor between Pharmacy Avenue and Warden Avenue, in the City of Markham.

17. The Segment B Pipeline turns south to continue along the hydro transmission corridor to McNicoll Avenue, where the hydro transmission corridor ends and continues within the Enbridge owned north-south trending Buttonville utility corridor.

18. The Segment B Pipeline continues south within the utility corridor and terminates just north of Sheppard Avenue, connecting to an existing Enbridge NPS 36 pipeline. The Pipeline travels within Utility Corridors (including the Parkway Belt, Buttonville Corridor) for the majority of pipeline length.

19. Enbridge proposes to construct the Buttonville Regulation Facility ("Buttonville Station"), south of Highway 407 and east of Rodick Road in the City of Markham, to tie the new NPS 36 XHP east-west and north-south portions into the existing NPS 30 XHP
Pipeline (the "Don Valley" pipeline) in the area of the intersection of the two pipelines.

20. Enbridge also proposes to expand the existing “Jonesville-Eglinton Regulation Facility” ("Jonesville Station") located within the existing utility corridor north of Eglinton Avenue East and Jonesville Crescent in the City of Toronto. The expansion will provide additional support for the existing NPS 36 XHP pipeline feed into the existing NPS 30 XHP Don Valley pipeline running south from the Jonesville Station to Station B.

21. The route and location for the GTA Project was selected by Dillon Consulting Inc. ("Dillon"), an independent environmental consultant, through the process outlined in the Ontario Energy Board’s “Environmental Guidelines for the Location, Construction, and Operation of Hydrocarbon Pipelines in Ontario” (Sixth Edition, 2011). Input from the public, area stakeholders, Métis and First Nations was sought during the route selection process and was incorporated into the final alignment decision. Enbridge will continue to update the Métis and First Nations regarding the results of the archeological studies.

22. The route selection and the environmental and socio-economic impact assessment of the proposed facilities are provided in the “GTA Project: Environmental Report” (the "Environmental Report") found at Exhibit B, Tab 2, Schedule 1, Attachment 1. The proposed measures outlined in the Environmental Report will be used to mitigate any potential environmental impacts. An amendment to the Environmental Report is included with Update No. 1 at Exhibit B, Tab 2, Schedule 1, Attachment 4.

23. In addition to the consultation completed as part of the Environmental Report, Enbridge has consulted, and continues to consult, with interested stakeholders. A further
amendment will be filed (expected in March 2013) as an update which will include public consultation on the changes outlined in Update No. 1.

24. Enbridge has included draft agreements at Exhibit D, Tab 1, Schedule 2 that will be offered to affected landowners where the need for an easement arises.

25. There are five (5) individual landowners that will be impacted by the proposed construction. As these individuals are not yet a party to the proceeding, Enbridge has redacted their identities from the matters filed in the public record. Enbridge has filed two copies of the unredacted information regarding the five landowners confidentially with the Board in a separate sealed envelope.

26. Enbridge has filed certain financial and economic information in confidence, pursuant to the Board's Practice Direction on Confidential Filings and the Rules of Practice and Procedure. Two copies of the unredacted information have been filed in a separate sealed envelope.

27. The estimated cost of the GTA Project, as originally proposed, was approximately $603 million. Once available, the evidence will be updated based on the shared usage with TransCanada, the shorter length of Segment A and the larger pipe size (expected in March 2013). The vast majority of the estimated costs have not yet been committed to or incurred. Access to certain information in the economic modeling has also been filed confidentially.

28. Enbridge hereby requests the Board maintain this information in confidence to preserve the integrity of, and ensure customer confidence in, the procurement process. Further
details regarding the request for confidentiality are provided with the cover letter to the sealed envelopes containing the unredacted information.

29. Enbridge does not object to the confidential information being made available to intervenors in this proceeding subject to such intervenor providing a declaration and undertaking to maintain the confidentiality of the information and to only use such information for this proceeding.

30. The proposed in-service date for Segment A of the project is April 2015 and for Segment B of the GTA Project is December 2014 in order to be available for the winter of 2015. In order to meet the in-service date, construction is scheduled to commence no later than August 2014. Exhibit C, Tab 2, Schedule 2 indicates the proposed construction schedule.

31. The permitting process will require several weeks to, in some instances, more than 1 year. Therefore, Enbridge requests that the Board establish a schedule for this Application such that a Decision and Order can be issued by August 1, 2013.

32. A list of interested parties and permitting authorities is provided at Exhibit A, Tab 2, Schedule 3 and Exhibit D, Tab 1, Schedule 3 respectively. The list of interested parties has been updated with Update No.1.

33. Enbridge requests this Application be conducted in English.
34. Enbridge request the Board issue:

i. such directions and orders as the Board deems appropriate for the notice and proper review, consideration and processing of this Application;

ii. such orders as are necessary or advisable for the proper protection, handling and access to the confidential information described herein;

iii. pursuant to section 90 and 91 of the *Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998*, S.O. 1998, c-15 (Schedule B), an Order(s) granting leave to construct the GTA Project as described herein; and

iv. pursuant to section 97 of the *Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998*, S.O. 1998, c-15, Schedule B, an Order approving the form of easement agreements found at Exhibit D, Tab 1, Schedule 2, Attachment herein.

35. Enbridge requests that copies of all documents filed with the Board in connection with this proceeding be served on it and on its counsel, as follows:

The Applicant: Regulatory Affairs
Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc.

Address for personal service: 500 Consumers Road
Toronto, ON M2J 1P8

Mailing Address: P. O. Box 650
Scarborough, ON M1K 5E3

Telephone: (416) 495-5499
Fax: (416) 495-6072
E-Mail: EGDRegulatoryProceedings@enbridge.com
The Applicant's counsel: Scott Stoll  
                     Aird & Berlis LLP  
Address for personal service and mailing address: Suite 1800, Box 754  
                                                 Brookfield Place, 181 Bay Street  
                                                 Toronto, Ontario  
                                                 M5J 2T9  
Telephone: (416) 865-4703  
Fax: (416) 863-1515  
E-Mail: sstoll@airdberlis.com  

DATED February 12, 2013 at Toronto, Ontario.  

ENBRIDGE GAS DISTRIBUTION INC.  
By its counsel  

AIRD & BERLIS LLP  

Original Signed by  

Scott Stoll
February 27, 2013

Mayor Hazel McCallion
City of Mississauga
300 City Centre Drive
Mississauga, Ontario
L5B 3C1

Dear Mayor McCallion:

I am writing in response to your recent letter regarding the recommendations adopted by Council with respect to Municipal Election Administration; specifically the request that the Province and School Boards deem the municipal election day a Professional Activity Day to ensure schools are available for use as polling stations. It is my understanding that you have also directed your request to the Minister of Education.

In the City of Mississauga and across the Region of Peel, past practice has been that both the Peel District School Board and the Dufferin-Peel Catholic District School Board work collaboratively with the City to accommodate requests to house polling stations in our schools. Feedback confirms that this process seems to have worked well for previous municipal elections.

Schools have a limited number of Professional Activity Days. The PA days are scheduled in consultation with our co-terminus board to support school plans for parent reporting, staff development, report card writing, etc. Indeed, our schools are very busy places of professional learning for staff. There is little flexibility in the timing of these important days.

The next municipal election day is October 27, 2014. Although that date is still a long way off, it is unlikely that a Professional Activity Day could be used effectively near the end of October. However, as in the past, Peel board will work with city staff to coordinate the use of selected schools as polling stations.

Sincerely,

Janet McDougald
Chair

C. David Neale, Controller, Corporate Support Services
February 12, 2013

Ms. Janet McDougald
Chair
Peel District School Board
HJA Brown Education Centre
5650 Hurontario Street
Mississauga, Ontario
L5R 1C6

Dear Ms. McDougald:

Re: Recommendations with Respect to Municipal Election Administration

The Council of the Corporation of the City of Mississauga at its meeting on February 6, 2013, adopted the enclosed recommendation regarding the recommendations in the Corporate Report dated January 14, 2013 entitled 2010 Municipal Election and 2011 By-Election Review.

I am enclosing a copy of the Corporate Report dated January 14, 2013 entitled 2010 Municipal Election and 2011 By-Election Review. The City of Mississauga is requesting that the Province of Ontario and the School Boards consider implementing part three (3) of the recommendation which requests that the School Boards deem election day a Professional Activity Day (P.A. Day) to ensure that all School Board facilities are available for use as polling locations during municipal elections.

On behalf of the members of Council, I urge you to take action and make the necessary legislative changes to improve the administration of municipal elections.

Sincerely,

HAZEL McCALLION, C.M., LL.D.
MAYOR
cc: Members of Council
All Peel District School Board Trustees
Enc.
GOV-0007-2013
1. That the report dated January 7, 2013, from the Commissioner of Corporate Services and Treasurer, titled “2010 Municipal Election and 2011 Municipal By-Election Review” be received.

2. That Council request that identification standards for electors be established by the Federal Government for use by the Provincial and Municipal Governments during elections.

3. That the Peel District School Board and Dufferin-Peel Catholic District School Board be requested to deem election day as specified in the Municipal Elections Act 1996, a Professional Activity Day (P.A. Day) to ensure that all School Board facilities are available for use as polling locations during Municipal Elections.

4. That enforcement measures and fees and charges for contravention of the Sign By-law be reviewed and that staff report back prior to 2014.

5. That opportunities to increase staff participation on Election Day be explored.

6. That staff report back to Governance Committee on the AMCTO review of the Voters’ List, once the final report is released.

7. That the matter of an amendment to the Election Campaign Finances Committee procedure be deferred pending a report from staff to review the feasibility of a dedicated oversight body to review election expenses of all candidates running for municipal office.
From: Meena Khan
Sent: 2013/03/18 4:32 PM
To: Ron Starr
Subject: Al-Farooq School, Ward 6 - Eglinton and Terry Fox

Dear Ron,

I am a resident of ward 6, living at Fellmore Drive. My son 9Y old attending Queenston Drive PS and attends weekend islamic school at Al-Farooq. My other son will start school in Sep. 2013 in similar trends.

I would like to extend my supports for the school project at Al-Farooq mosque. This project is a great need for the growing community in Mississauga.

Therefore, I request you to support this project.

Regards,

Meena Khan

Meena Khan

Address:
MISSISSAUGA, ON
L5C 2E1

Phone:
Dear Ron Starr,

My name is Tahira Imam. My address is Rosebush Road and currently reside in Ward 6. I would like to express my support for the school project at the Al-Farooq mosque on Eglinton and Terry Fox. I think it is a great development of the neighborhood and community. I appreciate your support also.

Thank you for taking the time to read this message.

Tahira Imam
Diana Haas

From: Diana Haas  
Sent: 2013/03/19 1:42 PM  
To: Carmela Radice; Stacey Ballan  
Subject: FW: Regarding Masjid Al-Farooq Project OZ 09/009

From: Ron Starr  
Sent: 2013/03/19 1:28 PM  
To: Katherine Mahoney; Diana Haas  
Subject: FW: Regarding Masjid Al-Farooq Project

From: Mohammad Waqas Javed  
Sent: 2013/03/18 5:16 PM  
To: Ron Starr  
Subject: Re: Regarding Masjid Al-Farooq Project

Hi Councillor,

My address is I Sidmouth Street, Mississauga ON, and my primary number is would be happy to speak to you on this topic, and will see you at the meeting tonight.

Regards,
Mohammad

On Mon, Mar 18, 2013 at 5:13 PM, Ron Starr wrote:

Thank you for your email. Please provide your complete home address and contact numbers.

Regards,

Angela on behalf of

Ward 6 Councillor Ron Starr

City of Mississauga - Ward 6 ★ 300 City Centre Drive, Mississauga, ON L5B 3C1

Office: 905-896-5600 ★ ron.starr@mississauga.ca ★ @RonStarr_Msga
Hi Mr. Starr,

I'm a resident of Ward 6, who's lived in this area for the last 10 years and have been going to the masjid regularly. I'm currently working in Markham at IBM Canada for the last year or so, and yet I have not moved. The primary reason is because of the community which exists around this masjid. This masjid is a community centre for a vast majority of the populace in the area. The Muslim community is ever going in this area, and for many of them, this is a great location to begin their integration in Canadian society. I grew up at this masjid, and I hope a whole new generation of Muslim youth get this chance, especially with the advent of the new school. Having said that, I understand the concern of our non-Muslim neighbors, but with the proposed wall, I don't expect any problems for our neighbors. Please give your support for this project. I think it's in the best interest of this community for this project to be completed.

Regards,

Mohammad
Diana Haas

From: Diana Haas
Sent: 2013/03/19 1:42 PM
To: Carmela Radice; Stacey Ballan
Subject: FW: [SUSPICIOUS MESSAGE] Support for Al-Farooq School Project OZ 09/009

From: Ron Starr
Sent: 2013/03/19 1:28 PM
To: Katherine Mahoney; Diana Haas
Subject: FW: [SUSPICIOUS MESSAGE] Support for Al-Farooq School Project

From: Muneer Imam
Sent: 2013/03/18 4:41 PM
To: Ron Starr
Subject: [SUSPICIOUS MESSAGE] Support for Al-Farooq School Project

WARNING: Your email security system has determined the message below may be a potential threat. The sender may trick victims into passing bad checks on their behalf. If you do not know the sender or cannot verify the integrity of the message, please do not respond or click on links in the message. Depending on the security settings, clickable URLs may have been modified to provide additional security.

Dear Ron Starr,

My name is Muneer Imam. My address is <Rosebush Road> and currently reside in Ward 6. I would like to express my support for the school project at the Al-Farooq mosque on Eglinton and Terry Fox. I think it is a great development of the neighborhood and community. I appreciate your support also.

Thank you for taking the time to read this message.

Muneer Imam
Please be informed of a proposed development in your neighbourhood

This is to inform you that the landowner at 6730 Hurontario Street, west side of Hurontario Street, north and south of Skyway Drive, has applied to the City to permit business employment uses. Below is a short description of the application. The City will be processing the application as required by the Provincial Planning Act and we would welcome any comments you may have.

Proposal:
- To change the zoning for the subject lands from "D" (Development) to "E2-Exception" (Employment).

The following studies/information were submitted in support of the application:
- Phase I Environmental Site Assessment
- Traffic Impact Study
- Plan of Survey
- Context Plan

Planning Act Requirements:
The Planning Act requires that all complete applications be processed.

The above-noted application is now being circulated to City Departments and Public Agents for technical review.

Once this has been completed, a report summarizing the development and the comments received will be prepared by staff and presented at a Public Meeting.

Notice of the Public Meeting will be given in accordance with the Planning Act requirements.

Marilyn Ball, Director
Development and Design Division
Planning and Building Department

A recommendation on the application will not be presented until after the Public Meeting and all technical comments have been received.

Contact the person responsible for the file (noted above) for further details on the actual proposal.

The public may view planning documents and background material at the Planning and Building Department, 3rd floor, Mississauga Civic Centre between 8:30 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday.

For residential applications, information regarding education and school accommodation is available from the Peel District School Board at 905-890-1099 or the Dufferin-Peel Catholic District School Board at 905-890-1221.

Notice Date: March 6, 2013

Smart Centres / Derry-Ten Limited

March 6, 2013
This is to inform you that the landowner at 5855 Terry Fox Way, 850 Matheson Boulevard West and 950 Plymouth Drive, northeast corner of Matheson Boulevard West and Terry Fox Way has applied to the City to allow commercial land uses in addition to the existing industrial uses currently permitted. Below is a short description of the applications. The City will be processing the applications as required by the Provincial Planning Act and we would welcome any comments you may have.

Proposal:
- This proposal will require an amendment to the Mississauga Official Plan Policies for the East Credit Neighbourhood from "Business Employment" to "Mixed Use - Special Site"; (Note that the site is under appeal to the Ontario Municipal Board);
- To change the zoning for the subject lands from "E2-58" (Employment) to "C3-Exception" (General Commercial).

The following studies/information were submitted in support of the applications:
- Plan of Survey
- Tree Inventory Plan
- Planning Justification Report
- Phase 1 Environmental Site Assessment
- Draft Official Plan Amendment and Draft Zoning By-law

Planning Act Requirements:

The Planning Act requires that all complete applications be processed.

The above-noted application is now being circulated to City Departments and Public Agents for technical review.

Once this has been completed, a report summarizing the development and the comments received will be prepared by staff and presented at a Public Meeting.

Notice of the Public Meeting will be given in accordance with the Planning Act requirements.

A recommendation on the application will not be presented until after the Public Meeting and all technical comments have been received.

Please contact Mississauga City Council c/o Diana Haas, Office of the City Clerk, in writing by mail at 300 City Centre Drive, Mississauga ON L5B 3C1 or by e-mail at diana.has@mississauga.ca

If you would like to forward your views on the proposed development, written submissions will become part of the public record; or you wish to be notified of any upcoming meetings.

The public may view planning documents and background material at the Planning and Building Department, 3rd floor, Mississauga Civic Centre between 8:30 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday.

For residential applications, information regarding education and school accommodation is available from the Peel District School Board at 905-890-1099 or the Dufferin-Peel Catholic District School Board at 905-890-1221.

More Information:

Contact the person responsible for the file (noted above) for further details on the actual proposal.

Notice Date: March 15, 2013

March 15, 2013

Marilyn Ball, Director Development and Design Division Planning and Building Department

K:\WPDAT\COMPLETEAPPLICATIONS\2013\OZ 131003 W6·notice-complete application.docx
Dear Ms Radice,

Thank you for your letter of March 7, 2013 confirming receipt of our hand written submission from the evening of the public meeting of the Planning and Development Committee, February 25th, 2013.

We are continuing to reflect on the impact of the proposed By-Law Amendments affecting our community and specifically our property. Our home is one of the original lakefront homes; a 2 ½ story home built in the 1920s with an original GFA of 1,248.33 sq ft and a current GFA of 2,017.21 sq ft after an addition built in 1994. We have a current set back from the street of 39 ft (12.04M), and a set back from the stable bank, above the high water mark of 100 ft. (In our case, the top of the stable bank is approximately 25 ft. from the high water mark not 20 ft.) The depth of our lot on the east, which is the only straight line from the street to the lake, is 212 ft to the high water mark. Our lot coverage is 16.09% on a lot that is 12,001 sq ft in total. (Site Statistics taken from plans submitted to the city for project 9407 dated June 1, 1994)

I provide these details to highlight that the proposed by-laws, when CVC (Credit Valley Conservation) restrictions are taken into account, would have a disproportionate impact on us and other lakefront owners as compared to other landowners in the community. In the case of our set back from the water, the CVC measures from the top of the stable bank while the city considers 120 ft from the high water mark. The nature of our lot precludes ‘special terms’ with the CVC.
While I stated in our handwritten submission that our allowable lot coverage under the proposed by-laws would be in the range of 25%, we now believe that it would be substantially less, and effectively about the same as it is today (16%) and maybe even less, because I have an existing side yard set back of less than one meter on the east side. To rebuild a modern home larger than two thousand square feet on our 12,000 sq. ft. property would require us to build closer to the front lot line, something that would not be allowed under the proposed by-laws.

Notwithstanding our disappointment with the visual affects of the ‘wall affect’ and building mass of the new build at #90 Cumberland, that is more obvious beside an original build on a footprint close to the water’s edge, we feel it is inappropriate to enact by-laws that radically disadvantage a small group of landowners who are impacted by CVC controls.

I participated in the community consultations and working group, and in fact 4 of us; two lakefront owners (myself and Paul Krush) and two non-lakefront owners (Rod Male and John David) who were passionate about the need for change, but who all had divergent views, got together privately in a series of meetings, to try and develop proposed by-laws that might be a compromise for the broader group. We did this as we all believed the larger working group was reaching an impasse, with strongly held opinions, on each sides of the argument, and a lack of understanding and listening to the concerns of others. At the end of the day, our efforts at compromise, were characterized unfairly by some in the community as acting as “self-appointed sub-committee took over, whose proposals came out of thin air as a fait accompli”. The planning department responded to Councillor Tovey with comments around the proposed by-laws we had developed to limit the “wall affect”, however our, and efforts at compromise died. Key proposed compromises revolved around limited building depth so as to directly respond to the broad community concern about the “wall affect” while taking into account other restrictions faced by property owners. Councillor Tovey’s correspondence in response to these proposals is at the end of this letter.

I also worked with another neighbour, David Bailey, who is a non-lakefront owner, to provide a visual presentation to the working group of all the recent infill builds in our neighbourhood, with the related statistics from the City Planning Department. We both had difficulty understanding the impacts of many of the existing and proposed by-laws and how they were affecting our community up to this point without seeing examples. We both agreed that our presentation should be without editorial comment and a factual presentation of the current status of infill builds over the past decade. There seemed to be general agreement at the working group that many of the infill homes built over the past decade were in general tastefully done and did not raise broad community objection. I have provided a separate document that shows some of these infill builds from the presentation that would now exceed the proposed by-law changes particularly in height restrictions.
We voted “for change” in the community survey, as we recognized that the large building mass of #90 Cumberland and the “wall affect” that originally brought the community together was something that was over the top but we did not vote for by-law restrictions that disproportionally impact waterfront owner on a range of by-law changes. A number of us have attempted to find common ground and a compromise with our neighbours. We echo concerns that were voiced at the meeting of February 24th that initial community concerns over this length of building (the wall affect that #90 represented) into a host of other by-law changes driven by a minority of the community. We have specific concerns over both the proposed street set back and height restrictions.

As Councillors commented on Feb 25th, we need to be thoughtful as to what type of community we want when considering these by-laws. I don’t think it is one where we ignore the legitimate concerns of one group of landowners who will suffer more significant impacts than others, and have a disproportional destruction of the value of the investments in their homes. I hope the current proposed by-law provisions are not just the ones easiest to defend to the OMB rather than finding the right solutions for all the neighbourhood.

Let me close in giving my thanks to City staff have that have been most helpful over the months in providing data available to them and general advice and counsel.

Please forward this letter and attachment to City staff and elected officials that is appropriate in regards to our objections.

Regards

Jim Edwards
&
Kate Suline
46 Cumberland Drive.
Correspondence from Jim Tovey dated November 13th 2012 (in italics)

Staff reviewed the proposed changes and provided me with the following comments:

1. In regards to the dwelling depth:

The proposed 20 m dwelling depth, staff have no concern with implementing this restriction. This restriction is consistent with many other neighbourhoods in Mississauga with similar property depths.

The 26 m dwelling depth for the water lots, staff have some concerns that this is inconsistent with the other areas in Mississauga which have similar or greater property depths but also have a 20 m dwelling depth restriction. The residents would have to provide a planning rational for why the water lots should be less restrictive than those lots and a rational for the maximum 26m depth.

Please note that as per your assumption "Water front lots vary, some being longer or shorter and some wider, and others irregular, so generalizations are difficult. We have assumed an average lot size of 50 ft x 220 ft. (some could be up to 250 ft, others are just over 200 ft.)". The 40% coverage and other restrictions only apply to the portion of the lands that are actually zoned. Therefore the water lots are actually more in the range of 50 m to 83m in depth.

In regards to your definition of dwelling depth the zoning by-law already defines dwelling depth. Your proposed definition is similar to the existing definition which indicates “Dwelling Unit Depth means the depth measured from the outside of the front wall to the outside of the rear wall inclusive of an attached garage but exclusive of any structures below the first storey in the front or rear yard(s).” Porches are not included in the dwelling depth. Therefore this is already in place.

(a) In regards to attached garages that open towards the street may project from the front of the house to a maximum of 8 ft.

Staff have no concerns with regards to adding a provision into the zoning by-law indicating a garage can not project beyond the main face of the building beyond 8 ft (2.44 m) however the residents should be aware that there are a number of garages in the neighbourhood that already exceed this provision.
2. (b) In regards to Projecting garages with living quarters above are allowed beyond the 8 ft limit, for the water lots, it should be noted that a garage is no longer projecting when it has living quarters above it. Therefore it does not matter which way the doors face and can not be regulated. Because it is considered living space, it becomes difficult to regulate various height levels for a dwelling. In addition by adding in the 6 m height limit you may be forcing people to construct flat roofs which may not be characteristic of the neighbourhood. (note: The historical Samit-Linke waterfront home built in 1939 is located at 60 Cumberland and well known as having a flat roof.) Flat roofs currently can be up to 7.5 m so you may be creating unusable space which then doesn’t become livable space.

3. In regards to the reduction of the exterior side yard of corner lots from 4.5 m to 3.5 m, the residents should be aware that one of the reasons for the 4.5 m setback is to ensure that there is ample room for landscaping and fencing and that the houses are generally in line with the 6 m setback required by the other non corner lots. However this can be accommodated.
These are some of the homes that would not be allowed under the Proposed zoning bylaws.
March 7, 2013

Mayor Hazel McCallion
City of Mississauga
300 City Centre Drive
Mississauga, ON L5B 3C1

Dear Mayor:

On February 28th Regional Council endorsed, through the Intergovernmental Relations Advisory Committee minutes, the report titled 2013 Government Relations Strategic Opportunities and Advocacy Priorities (Resolution 2013-184).

The report directed Regional staff to invite the area municipalities, Brampton, Caledon and Mississauga, to participate in a proposed Queen’s Park Day initiative. The initiative is a focused endeavour highlighting the economic viability of the Region, highlight advocacy efforts, and to build relationships with elected officials and provincial staff.

The Queen’s Park Day is proposed as a partnership between the Region of Peel, area municipalities, local Boards of Trade in Mississauga and Brampton, and the Caledon Chamber of Commerce.

I am requesting, by means of this letter, that an invitation to participate in the Queen’s Park Day initiative be placed on your next Council agenda for discussion and endorsement.

Should you or your staff have any questions in regard to the proposed initiative, please contact David Arbuckle, Manager, Public Policy and Government Relations at the Region of Peel at 905-791-7800, ex. 4777.

Sincerely,

Allan Thompson
Regional Councillor
Chair, Intergovernmental Relations Advisory Committee

c. Crystal Greer, Clerk, City of Mississauga

Enc.
DATE: January 29, 2013

REPORT TITLE: 2013 GOVERNMENT RELATIONS STRATEGIC OPPORTUNITIES AND ADVOCACY PRIORITIES

FROM: Allan Thompson, Chair, Intergovernmental Relations Advisory Committee

RECOMMENDATION

That the Government Relations Strategic Opportunities and Advocacy Priorities identified in the report of the Chair of the Intergovernmental Relations Advisory Committee, dated January 29, 2013, titled, “2013 Government Relations Strategic Opportunities and Advocacy Priorities”, be approved.

REPORT HIGHLIGHTS

- The Intergovernmental Relations Advisory Committee’s (IRAC) mandate includes developing, coordinating, implementing and monitoring intergovernmental relations strategies.
- IRAC’s strategies support the advancement of Regional Council’s previously endorsed advocacy positions with the federal and provincial governments.
- Provides a high level summary of government relations activities undertaken by the Intergovernmental Relations Advisory Committee in 2012.
- Provides an outline of previously endorsed Regional Council advocacy initiatives.
- Outlines 2013 advocacy opportunities for consideration.

DISCUSSION

1. Background

In 2012, with the support of the Executive Office (EO) and Regional departments, IRAC continued to be active in advancing many of the Regionally endorsed advocacy initiatives as well as establishing and strengthening relationships with government officials at all levels. Throughout the year, IRAC engaged in a number of government relations activities that are identified below.
i) Participation in Municipal Associations

**Provincial**

A delegation of IRAC members participated at the Association of Municipalities of Ontario's (AMO) Annual Conference (August 2012) meeting with a number of Ministers, Parliamentary Assistants and senior government officials from the:

1. Ministry of Community and Social Services
2. Ministry of the Environment
3. Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care
4. Ministry of Labour
5. Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing
6. Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure

Additional meetings were also arranged at the conference with the Leaders and caucus members of the PC Party of Ontario, and the New Democratic Party, to discuss important issues affecting Peel.

**National**

In June, members of IRAC attended the Federation of Canadian Municipalities (FCM) Annual Conference. The focus of the conference was to highlight the importance of developing a long term infrastructure plan for municipalities across Canada and to secure a commitment from the federal government that this plan would be in place before the expiration of the Building Canada Fund in 2014.

Region of Peel's FCM representatives, Mayor Susan Fennell and Councillor Chris Fonseca, also participated in their Advocacy Days in Ottawa (November), meeting with Ministers and MPs from across Canada. Again, the point of focus was highlighting the importance of federal investment in infrastructure and the positive impact these investments have on economic and job growth.

ii) Ongoing Communications with Locally Elected Officials

In 2012, two elected officials, MPP Jagmeet Singh (Bramalea-Gore-Malton) and Brad Butt (Mississauga-Streetsville) delegated to IRAC. Members of IRAC and the officials discussed a variety of opportunities for collaboration on issues affecting their ridings and Peel Region as a whole.

In addition, there were three MP/MPP Advocacy Updates circulated to inform stakeholders on the public policy issues and work both IRAC and Regional Council was engaged with. Past issues are available online at peelregion.ca/council/advocacy/
iii) Social Media

At its November 22, 2012 meeting, Regional Council endorsed the report "Social Media Strategy for Advancing Public Policy and Advocacy Initiatives" (Resolution 2012-1338). By leveraging existing social media tools, Regional Council can capitalize on this additional outlet to communicate advocacy priorities and campaigns with residents, community organizations, governments and businesses.

Social media will be a useful tool in supporting Council endorsed advocacy positions.

2. Compendium of Previously Endorsed Council Advocacy Initiatives

Over the past number of years, IRAC has been raising Council endorsed advocacy positions with the federal and provincial governments where and when appropriate. The following is a high level look at the issues the Region has positions on. Additional information on the advocacy initiatives is attached as Appendix I.

i. Health Services
   - Canada Health Transfer
   - Low Income Senior’s Dental Health
   - Paramedic Off-Load Delay
   - Mental Health and Addictions
   - Centralized Ambulance Communications and Dispatch Centre
   - Public Health Funding
   - Ambulance User Co-Payment
   - Local Health Integration Networks (LHINs)
   - Healthy Built Environment
   - Roots of Youth Violence

ii. Human Services
   - Poverty Reduction
   - Immigration
   - Affordable Housing
   - Service Integration and Regulatory Reform
   - Early Learning and Childcare

iii. Environment
   - Conservation Authorities
   - Mineral Aggregate Resources
   - Environmental Co-ordination and Leadership
   - Municipal Planning Reforms
   - Climate Change
iv. Transportation and Infrastructure
   - Goods Movement
   - Long-Term Infrastructure Funding
   - Water/Wastewater and Waste Infrastructure
   - Development Charges
   - Transportation and Transit Infrastructure

v. Governance and Sustainability
   - Equitable Funding
   - Emergency Services Labour Relations
   - Employment Insurance
   - Open for Municipalities
   - Increased Municipal Empowerment

3. 2013 Recommended Opportunities for Endorsement

The following government relations opportunities for 2013 have been identified by Public Policy and Government Relations staff within the Executive Office (EO). These opportunities leverage the work conducted by Regional departments in supporting IRAC and Regional Council. Additional advocacy priorities may be brought forward with the provincial and federal governments as deemed necessary.

a) Association Opportunities

As part of its membership in sector associations at the provincial and national levels, annual conferences and Board meetings provide the Region of Peel opportunities to meet and network with Ministers, Parliamentary Secretaries/Assistants as well as senior government officials. This year, there are three main opportunities including:

i. Rural Ontario Municipal Association/Ontario Good Roads Association (ROMAOGRA) Combined Conference, February 24 - 27 2013, Toronto

ii. Federation of Canadian Municipalities (FCM), May 31 - June 3 2013, Vancouver

iii. Association of Municipalities of Ontario (AMO), August 18 - 21 2013, Ottawa

In addition to annual conferences, Regional staff will continue to provide ongoing support to the Mayors and Regional Councillors who participate as either Board or Committee members in these associations, by providing Peel’s advocacy positions, messaging on key issues, and seeking meetings with Ministers and/or government officials. Currently, the following Regional Councillors are provided support by Executive Office (EO) staff:

i. Mayor Susan Fennell, Board of Directors, (FCM)
ii. Regional Councillor Chris Fonseca, Committee Member (FCM)
iii. Regional Councillor Sandra Hames, Member, Board of Directors (AMO)
iv. Mayor Hazel McCallion, Member, AMO Memorandum of Understanding (MOU)
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v. Mayor Marilynn Morrison, Board of Directors, (AMO)
vi. Regional Councillor Allan Thompson, Member, Board of Directors (ROMA/OGRA)

Where appropriate, staff will continue to provide relevant information for Mayors and Regional Councillors to raise with their respected association.

In addition, and whenever possible, staff will leverage opportunities that arise on an ad hoc basis and provide the Mayors and the Regional Chair appropriate support in promoting Regional advocacy priorities with the federal and/or provincial governments.

b) 2013 Provincial Election Strategy

As a result of the prorogation of the provincial legislature and a new Premier selected by the governing Liberal Party on January 25, Regional staff is anticipating a provincial election will be held in 2013. A provincial election presents an important opportunity to highlight previously endorsed council advocacy positions to local candidates and Party Leaders.

Regional staff will be providing a more detailed provincial election strategy in a separate report to IRAC.

c) Council/MP and MPP Joint Session (BBQ)

An MP/MPP BBQ is being planned for 2013 to provide IRAC, Regional Council and senior management the opportunity to meet with locally elected officials to discuss issues of importance affecting the Region of Peel.

It is being recommended that the session take place in June and align with the legislated breaks for both of the higher orders of government.

d) Queen’s Park Day

As IRAC/Council would be aware, a number of municipalities partner with their business community to conduct a “Queen’s Park Day.” A Queen’s Park Day is a focused effort to highlight a geographic area both politically and economically. The main purposes of such an event are to highlight advocacy efforts, strengthen relationships with elected officials and staff and to promote the economic viability of the particular area.

The initiative traditionally includes pre-arranged meetings with Ministers, locally elected officials, members of the opposition parties and other identified stakeholders. A reception is also normally held highlighting local food and beverage.

In 2013, staff proposes a Peel Queen’s Park Day in partnership with the area municipalities (Brampton, Caledon, Mississauga) and the Boards of Trade (Brampton, Mississauga) and the Chamber of Commerce (Caledon).
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Staff recommend, given the current political uncertainty at the provincial level, targeting October for the Peel Queen's Park Day.

A more detailed report on this proposed initiative will be brought forward to IRAC in June 2013. As a next step, staff recommends that an invitation be sent to Brampton, Caledon and Mississauga Councils to include the Area Municipalities in the proposed Queen's Park Day. In addition, staff will contact the Mississauga and Brampton Boards of Trade and the Caledon Chamber of Commerce with an invitation to participate in the event.

e) Federal and Provincial Budget Cycles

As part of their yearly budget cycle, both the federal and provincial governments will be presenting their budgets in spring 2013. EO staff will be preparing federal and provincial submissions by the middle of February.

4. 2013 Suggested Engagement Strategies for Endorsement

In addition to the opportunities outlined above, the following four approaches are recommended to engage and update our locally elected officials on Peel's advocacy initiatives and priorities. They include:

a) MP/MPP Advocacy Update

Developed three to four times a year (winter, spring, summer, fall), the Advocacy Update will continue to focus on public policy advocacy initiatives our elected and senior officials should be aware of. A draft of the update will be brought forward to members of IRAC for endorsement. Previous editions of the Advocacy Update are available online at peelregion.ca/council/advocacy.

It is recommended that MP/MPP Advocacy Updates be produced based upon when content is available.

As the Advocacy Update is now in its third year, staff is also planning on surveying recipients for feedback and improving the product.

b) MPs/MPPs Participation at IRAC

It is recommended that this initiative be continued in 2013 to enable all local MPs/MPPs the opportunity to participate at a meeting of IRAC to discuss:

- Issues affecting their constituency
- Assistance the Region of Peel can provide to them
- Policy issues/areas for collaboration

It is important to note that availability of elected officials is subject to when the legislature is sitting in relation to IRACs pre-arranged meeting dates. Regional staff will continue to coordinate schedules as best as possible.
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c) Advocating for Peel Website (peelregion.ca/council/advocacy)

In 2009, IRAC launched Advocating for Peel, an advocacy website designed to highlight initiatives undertaken by the Region of Peel with the federal and provincial governments.

It is recommended that staff continue to update this site, to educate the public on the important issues presently worked on by Council.

d) Social Media

As indicated earlier in this report, regional staff will leverage existing social media tools available at the Region to communicate Peel’s advocacy initiatives with the community.

CONCLUSION

The opportunities and strategies addressed in this report build upon the success the Region, IRAC and Regional Council have had in raising issues with the federal and provincial governments as well as building important relationships with all elected officials. Additional advocacy opportunities will be communicated through IRAC as appropriate.

Allan Thompson
Chair, Intergovernmental Relations Advisory Committee

For further information regarding this report, please contact David Arbuckle at extension 4777 or via email at david.arbuckle@peelregion.ca

Authored By: Lowell Rubin-Vaughan, Executive Office

c. Legislative Services
### Summary of Previously Council Endorsed Advocacy Initiatives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Initiative</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Bystander Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation</td>
<td>Regional Council wrote to the Minister of Education, Health and Long-Term Care and to the Minister of Finance on behalf of Regional Council, to request that the ministries adopt the measures proposed by &quot;Physicians and Paramedics Urging Better Education&quot; to increase bystander CPR.</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Youth Access to Tobacco Products</td>
<td>Provincial governments are encouraged to continue to strengthen their strategies to address the manufacture and supply of mentholated tobacco and maintain their commitment to introduce amendments to the Act to implement these measures.</td>
<td>P &amp; F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initiative Area</td>
<td>Policy #</td>
<td>Summary of Previously Council Endorsed Advocacy Initiatives</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Development</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immigration - Canada-Ontario</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Integration &amp; Regulatory Reform</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early Learning &amp; Outcomes</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Summary of Previously Council Endorsed Advocacy Initiatives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Current Action Status</th>
<th>Future Action Status</th>
<th>Final Action Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Mining Aggregate Resources</td>
<td>Reform sections within Ontario's Aggregate Resources Act that include strengthening industry monitoring and the rehabilitation of inactive pits and quarries</td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Multifaceted Planning Reforms</td>
<td>Current is continuous improvement of the legislative and regulatory framework for planning and addressing urban policy gaps, inconsistencies, harmonization, and the planning tools that are needed to enable municipalities to develop effective planning</td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Support for Municipalities</td>
<td>Construct a review of all provincially mandated programs and investments with the municipal sector to ensure greater efficiency in reporting, collaboration, program development, and maintaining public and public health.</td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Increased Municipal Revenue</td>
<td>To demonstrate support and better resources to municipal decision making &amp; subject matter expertise, the government should continue to espouse changes in legislation to ensure that bond revenues within the municipal authorities are protected and enhanced</td>
<td></td>
<td>P &amp; F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>York Building Standards Act</td>
<td>The Legislative Protection Act will create a York Building Standards Act that will establish rules and procedures regarding building standards that both protect the current power displacement while better providing local businesses</td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Summary of Previously Council Endorsed Advocacy Initiatives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initiative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goods Movement</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prevalent Infrastructure</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Province-Wide Goals</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Initiatives</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transport Priority</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Support Initiatives</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Goods Movement
- Increase in the accessibility of goods by rail
- Support for the expansion of the vehicular network
- Development of the port infrastructure

### Prevalent Infrastructure
- Through innovative infrastructure planning, ensure a financing system dedicated to providing support to initiatives for the purpose of capital infrastructure

### Province-Wide Goals
- Provide leadership in the development of the transportation system
- Implement the Ontario-Ontario Continental Gateway

### Support Initiatives
- **Province-Wide Goals**: Provide leadership in the development of the transportation system
- **Other**: Implement the Ontario-Ontario Continental Gateway

### Transport Priority
- Implement the Ontario-Ontario Continental Gateway

### Other
- **Province-Wide Goals**: Provide leadership in the development of the transportation system
- **Other**: Implement the Ontario-Ontario Continental Gateway
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The Office of City Clerk
City of Mississauga
300 City Centre Drive
Mississauga ON
L5B 3C1

Dear Sir/Madam,

Re: Request to Revoke the Shark Fin By-law

With reference to the recent Superior court case on November 30, 2012 (Court File No. CV-12-459825), Justice James Spence's ruled that a city bylaw banning shark fins is ultra vires and fell outside the City of Toronto's jurisdiction.

The above ruling should also apply to all municipal jurisdictions across Ontario. We hope our City can respect to the court's decision as well as the justice of the legal system.

As a result, we would like to request City of Mississauga to revoke the Shark Fin By-Law accordingly.

Yours truly,

Stephen Chu
Honorary President
Amendment to the Planning Act for Ontario Municipal Board reform:

WHEREAS Municipalities are required to produce Official Plans

AND WHEREAS Municipalities use these plans to invest large amounts of up front capital in infrastructure to service future growth according to those plans;

AND WHEREAS densities located in areas not identified in the Official Plan may require changes to long term infrastructure planning, at additional costs;

AND WHEREAS Municipalities are provided finite growth numbers and job numbers as a basis for their Official Plan;

AND WHEREAS densities approved by the Ontario Municipal Board to be located in areas not identified in the Official Plan subtract from, and limit, a Municipalities ability to implement the intensification policies of that plan.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT that the City of Mississauga request of the Province of Ontario to make amendments to the planning act as follows;

1. If a Municipality has an Official Plan; and
2. If that Official Plan has been approved by the Province of Ontario; and
3. If the Municipality is achieving all of their targets for densities as outlined in the Provincial Growth Plan;

AND FURTHER that if a Development application is submitted to the Municipality requesting densities to be located in any other area than those identified in the Municipality’s Official Plan, that development application shall have no right of appeal at the Ontario Municipal Board. The decision of Council will be final;

Despite subsection 22(7), there is no appeal in respect of the official plan policies of a municipality or a planning board, adopted to conform to the growth management population, intensification and employment targets and policies as set out in the Provincial Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe area and related regulations and Provincial policies.
GREAT LAKES AND ST. LAWRENCE CITIES INITIATIVE
ALLIANCE DES VILLES DES GRANDS LACS ET DU SAINT-LAURENT

RESOLUTION X – 2013M

PROJECTING WATER DEMAND DUE TO POPULATION GROWTH BY 2050

Submitted by: City of Mississauga

WHEREAS the Great Lakes and St. Lawrence are the world’s largest supply of freshwater, the source of drinking water to over 40 million people, and provide important economic, environmental and social benefits to communities;

AND WHEREAS the Cities Initiative collaborates toward the common interest of protecting this critical natural resource for future generations; and

AND WHEREAS continued population growth within the Great Lakes watershed will provide a natural stressor most critically with respect to water quality; and

AND WHEREAS commercial demands such as the continued desire for withdrawals for the purposes of bottled water would represent a consumptive use in which water is forever lost from the Great Lakes watershed and which could also result in local impacts around the withdrawal sites; and

AND WHEREAS decision makers party to existing agreements that deliberate on proposed water-takings within the Great Lakes watershed would benefit from the context provided by a holistic understanding of the expected long-term population growth and associated water-demand projection;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that; the Cities Initiative call upon the Canadian and American Governments to steer a coordinated study which would aim to approximate population and water demand projections affecting the Great Lakes watershed for the horizon extending to year 2050;

AND FURTHER that the Cities Initiative collaborate to provide input from their own respective long-term planning documents to provide input to a study which would aim to approximate future population and water demand projections by 2050; and
AND FURTHER that upon completion of a study that comprehensively approximates future population within the Great Lakes watershed, that this information be circulated to the Cities Initiative and decision makers in Canada and the U.S. for informed responses on future water-related issues.