



AGENDA

SESSION 15

THE COUNCIL OF

THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF MISSISSAUGA

(www.mississauga.ca)

WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 12, 2011 – 9:00 A.M.

COUNCIL CHAMBER

300 CITY CENTRE DRIVE

MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO L5B 3C1

For inquiries about this Agenda, contact:
Carmela Radice, Legislative Coordinator, Office of the City Clerk
(Telephone: 905-615-3200, Ext. 5426 – Email: carmela.radice@mississauga.ca)

Note: Council will recess for lunch between 12 noon and 1:00 p.m.

1. **CALL TO ORDER**

2. **DISCLOSURES OF DIRECT OR INDIRECT PECUNIARY INTEREST**

3. **MINUTES OF PREVIOUS COUNCIL MEETINGS**

(a) September 28, 2011

4. **APPROVAL OF AGENDA**

5. **PRESENTATIONS**

(a) **Port Credit Yacht Club's 75th Anniversary**

Commodore Peter Rourke and Paul Fountain, Vice-Commodore Planning & Marketing, will present the Club's 75th Anniversary Flag and Burgee.

(b) **Hurontario-Main Street Corridor Master Plan – Canadian Institute of Planners Award**

Ed Sajecki, Commissioner of Planning and Development and Martin Powell, Commissioner of Transportation and Works, along with Mayor McCallion, will present the award to the Mississauga Team members who won top honours from the Canadian Institute of Planners (CIP) for their work on the Hurontario-Main Street Corridor Master Plan.

(c) **Transit Safe Driving/Safe Working Awards 2010**

Geoff Marinoff, Transit Director, will give an overview of the Award and Roy Kenealy, Superintendent of Operations, along with Mayor McCallion, will present the Outstanding Achievement Award 2010 to the Transit staff.

6. DEPUTATIONS

- (a) "Care Enough to Wear Pink" – October 17 – 23, 2011 - Fundraising/Awareness Campaign

Mississauga Firefighters Chris Varcoe, Ryan Coburn and Mark Train, will provide details of the Campaign to promote awareness and raise Funds for Breast Cancer.

- (b) Benisasia Funeral Home

Glen Broil, of Glen Schnarr and Associates Inc. on behalf of the Applicant 1429036 Ontario Inc. (Benisasia Funeral Home), will appear before Council re: Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning Application to permit crematorium in the existing funeral home at 3263 Derry Road East, north of Derry Road East, east of Airport Road (OZ 09/012 W5).

See Unfinished Business UB-1

- (c) Building Up Our Neighbourhoods

Catherine Sopley will provide an overview of the progress made since the Mississauga Summit 2007 on developing a Registered Sweat Equity Program (RSEP).

7. PUBLIC QUESTION PERIOD – 15 Minute Limit

(In accordance with Section 36 of the City of Mississauga Procedure By-law 0412-2003, as amended, Council may grant permission to a person who is present at Council and wishes to address Council on a matter on the Agenda. Persons addressing Council with a question should limit preamble to a maximum of two statements sufficient to establish the context for the question. Leave must be granted by Council to deal with any matter not on the Agenda.)

8. CORPORATE REPORTS

R-1 Report dated September 14, 2011, from the Commissioner, Community Services re: **Youth Friendly Community Recognition Program 2011 Application.**

Recommendation:

That the Commissioner of Community Services be authorized to apply for designation as a Youth Friendly Community and further that the Mayor provide a letter of support on behalf of Council.

Motion

- R-3 Report dated September 21, 2011, from the Commissioner, Corporate Services and Treasurer re: **Surplus Land Declaration for the purpose of sale of an interest in 6825 Tomken Road to the Region of Peel (Ward 5)**

Recommendation:

1. That the triangular shaped parcel of land municipally identified as 6825 Tomken Road, containing an area of approximately 2.31 hectares (5.7 acres), be declared surplus to the City's requirements for the purpose of a 75% ownership interest sale of such lands at market value to the Region of Peel ("Region"), as required in connection with the construction and operation of a joint fire and ambulance station facility, subject to protection for existing utilities, if any. The City will keep the remaining 25% ownership interest in the site in a co-tenancy relationship with the Region. The subject lands are legally described as part of Lot 10, Concession 3, East of Hurontario Street, (formerly Toronto Township) being designated as Part 1 on Reference plan 43R-16066, City of Mississauga, Regional Municipality of Peel, in Ward 5.
2. That all steps necessary to comply with the requirements of Section 2.(1) of City Notice By-law 215-08 be taken, including giving notice to the public by posting a notice on the City of Mississauga's website for at least three weeks prior to the execution of an agreement for the sale of the subject land.

Motion

9. COMMITTEE REPORTS

- (a) Planning and Development Committee Report 13-2011 dated October 3, 2011.

Motion

- (b) General Committee Report 16-2011 dated October 5, 2011.

Motion

- (c) Audit Committee Report 3-2011 dated September 26, 2011.

Motion

- (d) Extracts from the Planning & Development Committee meeting dated October 3, 2011:

Proposed Amendments to the Cooksville Neighbourhood Character Area Policies of Mississauga Official Plan. **Bill 51** (Ward 7)
File: CD.03.COO

To be received

10. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

UB-1 Benisasia Funeral Home

Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning Application OZ 09/012 W5,
1429036 Ontario Inc. (Benisasia Funeral Home), 3263 Derry Road East.

Attached are the Planning and Development Committee Recommendation PDC-0045-2011 and the draft motion which was deferred by Council on September 14, 2011, due to the Mayor's absence and the pending Ward 5 By-election.

11. PETITIONS

12. CORRESPONDENCE

- (a) Information Items: I-1 to I-7
- (b) Direction Items – D-1

D-1 Email dated September 26, 2011, from Hani Tawfilis, on behalf of the Board of the Canadian Coptic Centre, requesting the City to submit a letter to the provincial government supporting a community health centre in Mississauga.

13. MOTIONS

- (a) To approve recommendations from the following Committee Reports:
 - (i) Recommendations PDC-0049-2011 to PDC-0050-2011 inclusive contained in the Planning and Development Committee Report 12-2011 dated October 3, 2011.
 - (ii) Recommendations GC-0603-2011 to GC-0656-2011 inclusive contained in the General Committee Report 16-2011 dated October 5, 2011.
 - (iii) Recommendations AC-0009-2011 to AC-0011-2011 inclusive contained in the Budget Committee Report 3-2011 dated September 26, 2011.
- (b) To close to the public a portion of the Council meeting to be held on October 12, 2011, to deal with various matters. (See Item 18. Closed Session).
- (c) To authorize the Commissioner of Community Services to apply for designation as a Youth Friendly Community.

Corporate Report R-1

- (d) To declare surplus the triangular shaped parcel of land municipality identified as 6825 Tomken Road (W 5) and to provide notice on the City of Mississauga's website for at least three weeks prior to the execution of an agreement for the sale of the subject land.

Corporate Report R-2

- (e) To request Health Canada to prohibit the import of shark fins and shark fin food products in to Canada; that Canadian Food Inspection Agency be tasked with vigorously enforcing the ban on the import of shark fins and shark fin food products; that the City of Mississauga request other municipalities to join in the lobbying to the Federal government to take necessary actions for a ban on shark finning.

GC-0603-2011/October 5, 2011

14. **BY-LAWS**

- B-1 A by-law to establish certain lands as part of the municipal highway system to be known as Mississauga Road in the vicinity of Lincoln Green Close (W8).

- B-2 A by-law to designate the Hill Estate Gatehouse/Dudgeon Cottage located at 305 Lakeshore Road West as being of cultural heritage value or interest (W1).

Resolution 0182-2010/August 4, 2010

- B-3 A by-law to amend by-law 0040-2011, a Heritage Designation by-law for the Port Credit Memorial arena located at 40 Stavebank Road (W1)

GC-0839-2008/November 5, 2008

GC-0250-2011/May 4, 2011

- B-4 A by-law to authorize execution of a Servicing Agreement for Municipal Works Only and other related documents between Peel District School Board, the City of Mississauga, and the Regional Municipality of Peel (Harsdale Avenue Public School, west of Northhaven Drive, north of Atwater Avenue – SP 10/087 W1).

- B-5 A by-law to transfer sums from the 2009 Special Project Capital Reserve Fund (Account 35574) to the Mississauga Celebration Square-Artificial Turf Installation Project (PN11300) (W4).

GC-0606-2011/October 5, 2011

- B-6 A by-law to transfer sums from the Capital Reserve Fund (Account 33121) to the Mississauga Celebration Square-Artificial Turf Installation Project (PN11300) (W4).

GC-0606-2011/October 5, 2011

- B-7 A by-law to authorize execution of an Offer of Settlement between the City of Mississauga and Peel Condominium Corporation No. 431, relating to certain expropriated property located at 5025 Orbitor Drive (W5).

GC-0655-2011/October 5, 2011

- B-8 A by-law to authorize execution of a Warning Clause Agreement between the City of Mississauga and John Edward Cannell (Marf Avenue - SP 11/005 W1).

GC-0605-2011/October 5, 2011

15. OTHER BUSINESS

16. INQUIRIES

17. NOTICE OF MOTION

- (a) To direct the Planning and Building Department to revisit the Terms of Reference for the Mississauga Urban Design Advisory Panel and bring a report to a future Planning and Development Committee meeting with recommendations.

18. CLOSED SESSION

- (a) Pursuant to the Municipal Act, Section 239. (2)
- (i) "A" 184/11 W5 – ISN Consulting – 4025 Brandon Gate Drive
 - (iv) Personal matter – Towing Industry Advisory Committee

19. CONFIRMATORY BY-LAW

A by-law to confirm the proceedings of the Council of The Corporation of the City of Mississauga at its meeting held on October 12, 2011.

20. ADJOURNMENT



Corporate Report

Clerk's Files

Originator's Files



DATE: September 14 , 2011

TO: Mayor and Members of Council
Meeting Date: October 12, 2011

FROM: Paul A. Mitcham, P.Eng., MBA
Commissioner of Community Services

SUBJECT: **Youth Friendly Community Recognition Program 2011 Application**

RECOMMENDATION: That the Commissioner of Community Services be authorized to apply for designation as a Youth Friendly Community and further that the Mayor provide a letter of support on behalf of Council.

BACKGROUND: Play Works is a group of organizations that are concerned about the future of our youth and have joined forces to bring back the power of play to Ontario's young people. Play Works believes there are communities across Ontario that are taking steps to open their doors to youth by reinvesting in youth play. Organizations supporting the Play Works initiative include: 4-H Ontario, Arts Network for Children and Youth, Boys and Girls Clubs of Canada-Central Region, Laidlaw Foundation, Ophea, Parks and Recreation Ontario, Sport Alliance of Ontario and YMCA Ontario.

Staff propose that Community Services initiate a community development effort mobilizing key stakeholders and organizations in the community in order to have Mississauga recognized as a "Play Works Youth Friendly Community". The city will lead the application process.

One of the first steps is to secure written support from Mayor and Council draft attached as Appendix 1.

In addition, there will be several co-applicants from youth serving community groups and organizations that will play an active role in providing documentation to support the process.

The application involves providing documented evidence that Mississauga meets at least 10 of the 16 key criteria. The key criteria are outlined in Appendix 2. The Play Works Youth Friendly program offers the following recognition rankings;

- **Bronze** - Youth Friendly recognition to communities that meet between 10-11 criteria;
- **Silver** - Youth Friendly recognition to communities that meet between 12-13 criteria;
- **Gold** - Youth Friendly recognition to communities that meet between 14-15 criteria;
- **Platinum** - Youth Friendly recognition to communities that meet all 16 criteria.

Recognition is awarded for a five-year period, after which communities must re-apply. There is no cost for application or re-application to be recognized as a Youth Friendly Community. A Review Team considers each application. The deadline for submission is December 1, 2011.

In 2009, Mississauga City Council approved The Mississauga Youth Plan which evolved from the City's strategic plan under the pillar BELONG; ensuring youth, older adults and new immigrants thrive. The Youth Plan articulated the following vision;

Mississauga is a youth and young adult friendly city that is respectful, inclusive, and forward-thinking; a city where youth are meaningfully engaged and thrive as equal members of the community.

This vision can only be realized through the efforts of many youth serving agencies, groups and individuals. Play Works represents an excellent opportunity to mobilize the youth, key stakeholders and organizations across the city to keep driving forward toward the youth plan vision.

PRESENT STATUS: Currently 39 communities across Ontario have been recognized through Play Works as Youth Friendly Communities. This represents 32% of Ontario's youth population.

Mississauga is in its second year of implementing The Youth Plan's 46 recommendations that fall under five principles;

1. Public transit is an essential service for youth.
2. All youth have the opportunity to be active in diverse programs, utilize public spaces, and develop skills.
3. The contribution of young people in community decision-making, program development and public policy is valued and reflective of the diverse youth population.
4. Youth are involved in achieving social responsibility.
5. Youth friend communities are built on respectful youth and adult partnerships.

Collaboration is underway with over 100 youth agencies, groups and youth committees with representatives from recreation, sports, libraries, arts, culture, faith based groups, schools, enforcement agencies, the Mayor's Youth Advisory Committee, and social services agencies. The stakeholder/ partners actively support youth and provide opportunities for the growth and development of youth ages 13-19 through meaningful play that also include activism, volunteerism and leadership development.

A one hour orientation has been completed with Play Works and we understand that to be successful in attaining "platinum" recognition,

R-1(c)

more than one attempt at applying for the Youth Friendly Community Builder status is common.

Mississauga's strategic plan, the Youth Plan and our relationships with key stakeholder groups place the city in a strong position to achieve recognition as a Youth Friendly Community Builder.

Achieving a Youth Friendly Community designation will allow Mississauga to officially celebrate our youth and is directly linked to the BELONG, CONNECT and PROSPER pillars in the Our Future Mississauga strategic plan.

FINANCIAL IMPACT: There are no direct operating budget implications to pursuing the Youth Friendly designation.

CONCLUSION: Mississauga understands the value of supporting and nurturing our youth and recognizes the contribution that youth make to building a dynamic, strong and cohesive community. Achieving the Youth Friendly designation is a milestone marker toward achieving the vision of the Youth Plan and the Our Future Mississauga strategic plan outcomes.

ATTACHMENTS:

Appendix 1: Youth Friendly Criteria



Paul A. Mitcham, P.Eng., MBA
Commissioner of Community Services

Prepared By: Joanne Foote, Youth Plan Coordinator

Play Works
c/o 3302 – 1 Concorde Gate
Toronto, ON M3C 3N6

Re: Youth Friendly Community Recognition Program

Dear Sir/Madam:

It is with great pleasure that we endorse the City of Mississauga's application for the Youth Friendly Community Recognition Program. The City of Mississauga Youth Plan is aligned to our strategic plan, and will ensure that the City of Mississauga continues to be a place where youth thrive. The Youth Plan, endorsed in 2010, identified many youth orientated activities across the city and created a greater awareness of efforts to continue to engage youth across the city. Our commitment is to continue to build a city that is respectful, inclusive, and forward-thinking; one where youth are meaningfully engaged and thrive as equal members of the community.

The initial implementation of youth plan efforts has included two primary areas of focus. The first is the introduction and expansion of low cost and no charge drop-in after school programs and youth events for teens in community centres, libraries and not for profit agencies throughout Mississauga. The second is engaging youth in community decision making, program development and the development of social responsibility through respectful youth and adult partnerships.

As we move forward, we continue to be committed to making public transit accessible to ensure that youth have the opportunity to be active in diverse programs, to better utilize public spaces and to have ease of access to programs/ events that continue to develop their skills.

Mississauga embraces youth everyday as a means to a healthier, more vibrant, safer community where our citizens take pride in their city. The Mayor and Members of Council support an application to demonstrate that Mississauga is achieving the criteria to be designated a "youth friendly" community.

Yours truly,

Hazel McCallion
MAYOR

PLAY WORKS

Partnership for Active and Engaged Youth



Youth Friendly
Community

YOUTH FRIENDLY COMMUNITY RECOGNITION PROGRAM 2011

She is looking
for a place to play.
A place where
she can —

- Be physically active.
- Be creative. Be a leader.
- Feel accepted.
- Feel connected.
- Feel safe.



IS YOUR COMMUNITY?

Recognized as
a Youth Friendly Community



**HEART &
STROKE
FOUNDATION**

This project was made possible through funding from the Heart and Stroke Foundation of Ontario.

Have you ever asked yourself if your community is "youth friendly?"

Play Works presents the **Youth Friendly Community Recognition Program.**

Play Works is on the search for communities in Ontario that are meeting the needs of adolescent youth.

YOUTH FRIENDLY community is one that actively supports and provides opportunities of the growth and development of youth (ages 13 to 19) through play.

- Does your community believe in supporting youth?
- Does your community offer young people opportunities to participate in meaningful play?

- Does your community have a variety of play activities, such as recreation, arts, drama, sports and dance?
- Does your community offer play opportunities that include activism, volunteerism, and leadership development?

If so, your community could be recognized as "youth friendly."

Why get involved?

Because it makes sense.

A community where youth have the space, support and freedom to play tends to be one with less crime and more post-secondary graduates. Fewer people use social services and more people use bike paths. A community where opportunities for recreation, arts, culture and civic responsibility bloom is one that is healthy, competitive and artistic.

Because a Youth Friendly community provides benefits to the entire community:

- Provides an expression of support of and commitment to the youth in your community.
- Helps to avoid the migration of young people to other communities by encouraging local youth to help guide the future of their own community.
- Families are attracted to communities that provide the kind of programs and services for their teenagers that say, "We like young people here!"

Because, with a Youth Friendly designation, your community will be recognized:

- as a Bronze, Silver, Gold or Platinum Community Builder through a formal presentation to be held at a distinguished location and to which those who assisted with the community application will be invited
- as a Youth Friendly Community in *Municipal World* magazine
- in a news release to media in Ontario
- as a Youth Friendly Community on the Play Works website
- as a mentor for other communities to become youth friendly

What are the...
...of the...
...of the...

...of the...
...of the...

...of the...
...of the...

...of the...
...of the...

...of the...
...of the...

...of the...
...of the...

...of the...
...of the...

...of the...
...of the...

...of the...
...of the...

...of the...
...of the...



...of the...
...of the...

...of the...
...of the...

...of the...
...of the...

...of the...
...of the...

...of the...
...of the...

...of the...
...of the...

...of the...
...of the...

...of the...
...of the...

...of the...
...of the...

...of the...
...of the...

...of the...
...of the...

...of the...
...of the...

...of the...
...of the...

...of the...
...of the...

...of the...
...of the...

R-1(g)



Who is eligible to apply?

Any community in Ontario can apply.* Communities can be a geographically specific neighbourhood such as Lawrence Heights in Toronto, a small township such as Brooke-Alvinston, a First Nations community such as Wikwemikong, a defined community such as a Canadian Forces Base or a larger city such as Pickering.

The lead applicant can be from the municipal government, an agency such as the Boys and Girls Club or YMCA, or someone representing a collection of local agencies. All application forms must be signed by the most senior elected official of the municipality, township or aboriginal community.

Co-applicants are also encouraged to play an active role in providing documentation to support the application. Like lead applicants, co-applicants can come from any group such as the faith community, community associations, schools, etc.

* A community is not an individual agency, organization or parks and recreation department. It is larger than just one service provider. It is all the service providers combining their efforts to best serve the needs of youth in their community.

To apply:

- Fill out the application form and provide documentation as outlined on the application form.
- There are 16 youth friendly criteria. Applicants must provide evidence that they meet at least 10 of the 16 criteria as listed on the application form. It is not expected that communities will meet all 16 criteria in the first year of application. Those that meet 10 or 11 criteria will be recognized as a **Bronze Youth Friendly Community Builder**, those that meet 12 or 13 criteria will be recognized as a **Silver Youth Friendly Community Builder**, those that meet 14 or 15 criteria will be recognized as a **Gold Youth Friendly Community Builder** and those that meet all 16 criteria will be recognized as a **Platinum Youth Friendly Community Builder**.


Recognition is awarded for a five-year period, after which communities must re-apply for recognition. At any point a community that has been recognized and has not met all 16 criteria may re-apply to do so. A full new and updated application must be submitted for the criteria that the community believes it meets.

- On-going development is encouraged so that communities can re-apply and work toward meeting all the criteria within the five-year period.
- There is no cost for application or re-application to be recognized as a Youth Friendly Community.

The program is not a competition and there is no limit to the number of communities recognized each year. However, a Review Team considers each application and submitting does not automatically ensure recognition.

For all communities that have previously applied for recognition, please note that there are slight changes to the criteria, which should be considered in your application preparation.

For communities that have previously been recognized and are re-applying for the next phase of recognition, all documentation should be current. The Review Team will look for ways in which your community has continued to advance and champion youth play and takes your community past its previous application.




Application deadline:

**Thursday,
December 1, 2011.**

Applicants will be notified of the results by April 2012.

Application assistance...



Visit the Play Works website:
www.playworkspartnership.ca

In particular, review the 16 criteria listed. As you click through each description, you will find examples from Youth Friendly Communities in Ontario. These may give you an idea of where to find documentation about how your community is Youth Friendly.

Contact Play Works

While this is not a requirement of application, previous experience has shown that those communities that take this step have appreciated the help. This initial contact often results in a subsequent one-hour orientation call where you (and representatives of agencies in your community that will be helping with the application process) can learn more about how to approach the application process. Play Works can provide you with information on how to engage youth in the process and how to involve the community and community agencies.

For more information, or to set up an orientation call, please contact Play Works at 416-426-7065 or email pwp@playworkspartnership.ca.




Elected officials, youth, and local representatives receive their community's award from the Minister of Health Promotion and Sport, The Honourable Margaret Best, at the Play Works Youth Friendly Community Recognition Ceremony for 2009 recipients, held October 4th, 2010 at Queen's Park in Toronto.



Play Works is a group of organizations that are concerned about the future of our youth and have joined forces to bring back the power of play to Ontario's young people. Play Works believes there are communities across Ontario that are taking steps to open their doors to youth by reinvesting in youth play. Let us know about yours! Those involved with Play Works include: 4-H Ontario, Arts Network for Children and Youth, Boys and Girls Clubs of Canada - Central Region, Laidlaw Foundation, Ophea, Parks and Recreation Ontario, Sport Alliance of Ontario, YMCA Ontario.

R-1 (c)



Please provide 3 (three) complete copies of the application form and additional documentation (including three copies of all videos, photographs, CD ROMs or audio tapes). Please note: You will receive two copies of these materials back once the review process is complete. To learn more about how to apply, please contact Play Works directly at pwp@playworkspartnership.ca or at 416-426-7065.

1) Lead Applicant Group, Department or Organization (see "Who is Eligible to Apply," page 3)

Name of Group/Dept/Organization: _____
Contact Person: _____ Position: _____
Address: _____ City: _____ Postal Code: _____
Phone: _____ Fax: _____
Email: _____ Website: _____

2) Applicant Community Information

Name of Community _____
Language Anglophone Francophone Multilingual Aboriginal
Population less than 5,000 5,001 - 12,000 12,001 - 25,000 25,001 - 50,000
 50,001 - 100,000 100,001 - 200,000 200,001 and up
Area Code 807 705 519 613 905/289 416/647


If the lead applicant is a municipal government, please answer the following questions from the perspective of the municipal government as provider of youth programs. If the lead applicant is not a municipal government, the lead application should contact the local government to access and complete this information.

- a) From a municipal government perspective:
i) How many youth (ages 13 to 19) are in your community? (Refer to Statistics Canada or another credible research source) _____
ii) How many individual youth do you serve that participate in play programs run by the municipality? (youth only, ages 13 to 19, not children 12 and under) _____
iii) What is the total budget spent on supporting all forms of recreation, sport, culture, leisure and volunteer programs for all age groups? _____
iv) Of this total budget, what is the annual amount committed to support youth play programs? _____
b) Please contact and list at least 3 and up to 5 other groups or agencies that provide services to youth and ask them to provide the following information.

Table with 3 columns: Name of Provider (e.g. sport, culture, arts), \$\$ Spent on Youth Programs, # of Youth Served (ages 13 - 19)

Selection Guidelines:
• Play Works regrets that only submissions completed in full will be considered, and must be postmarked no later than December 1, 2011.
• Play Works reserves the right to withhold recognition.
• The decision of the Review Team is final.

R-1(j)



3) **Youth Friendly Community Criteria** — Please check the criteria for which you are applying and on which you are basing your application (a minimum of 10 criteria must be checked). For each criteria for which you are applying, please include a one to two-page description of how your community meets this criteria and provide additional documentation to provide a selection of examples with tangible documentation of how your community is meeting these criteria. Tangible documentation can include minutes of meetings, council or board reports, terms of reference, policies that support youth friendly play, newspaper clippings, copies of flyers, videos, pictures, program statistics summaries, etc.

- 1) Youth have options for play in their community.
- 2) Youth are formally connected to the community.
- 3) Facilities are dedicated to youth play.
- 4) It is easy for youth to find information about play activities in the community.
- 5) The community supports public youth events.
- 6) The community celebrates and recognizes its youth.
- 7) The community commits funding for youth play.
- 8) The community supports positive youth development.
- 9) The community supports youth volunteerism and leadership development.
- 10) The community has effective community partnerships.
- 11) Youth activism and advocacy for play is nurtured.
- 12) Youth feel comfortable in their own community.
- 13) Youth can get to the play programs that are offered.
- 14) Schools support the youth friendly approach.
- 15) Adults champion the need for youth play.
- 16) Play is inclusive.

4) **Local issue response** — Please identify one issue in your community that is affecting youth's ability to play. In two pages or less, please outline how your community has responded/is responding to that issue AND the results to date of that response (i.e. how it has led to increased youth play in the community)

5) **Local youth input** — To complete this application form, you must provide a summary of input received from a cross section of youth in your community as to why they feel your community is youth friendly. To gather this information, you may choose to have a youth focus group, have youth provide personal testimonials, do a random phone or written survey, and/or have youth create a PowerPoint or some other media presentation. Every application needs to include the voice of youth; youth who are highly engaged in their community and those who may be less actively engaged.

6) **Co-Applicant Endorsement** — Please have a representative of at least three other youth service providers in the community, and who have contributed to the documentation included in this application, review and endorse this submission.

Name: _____ Organization: _____ Signature _____

Name: _____ Organization: _____ Signature _____

Name: _____ Organization: _____ Signature _____

7) **Official Endorsement** — Please have the most senior elected official of the municipality, township or aboriginal community review and endorse this application.

Name: _____ Organization: _____ Signature _____

8) **Youth Endorsement** — Please have at least two youth review and sign this application and provide a letter of endorsement as to why they feel their community is youth friendly

Name: _____ Affiliation (group represented): _____ Signature _____

Name: _____ Affiliation (group represented): _____ Signature _____

9) **Submit Application by December 1, 2011 to:**

Youth Friendly Community Recognition Program Play Works c/o #302 – 1 Concorde Gate, Toronto, ON M3C 3N6	Tel: 416-426-7142 Fax: 416-426-7371	pwp@playworkspartnership.ca www.playworkspartnership.ca
---	--	--

Youth Friendly Criteria Descriptions

For the purpose of this recognition program, 'youth friendly' means that youth (ages 13 to 19) are actively supported and provided with opportunities for their growth and development through 'play.' All documentation included to provide tangible evidence of how your community meets the criteria must relate to the 13 to 19 age group. Documentation for those 12 and under will not be considered by the Review Team.

The youth friendly list of criteria was developed by Play Works, in consultation with youth groups and youth serving agencies from across Ontario. The descriptions include examples that show how each of the criteria might be met. Note: your community may have different examples.

1) Youth have options for play.

The community offers a consistent and varied mix of play opportunities for youth, ages 13 to 19. Play includes recreation, sport, arts, drama, dance, civic engagement, youth activism, volunteerism, social clubs and youth leadership. It is structured and unstructured, facility and non-facility based, competitive and recreational, active and passive and it is adult-led and peer-led. It is offered by public service, not-for-profit agencies, private enterprise or through informal groups. There is evidence that a variety of activities are offered and that youth are actively participating in them.

2) Youth are formally connected to the community.

The community has ways in which youth can have their voices heard. These can be neighbourhood or municipal-wide, such as youth advisory committees or councils. Formal opportunities for youth involvement could include having designated seats for youth representatives at decision-making tables such as Business Improvement Associations, Recreation Committees, Staff Hiring Committees and/or Selection Committees for Recognition Awards. Youth could also have a formal connection through a regular column in the local newspaper or on a website.

3) Facilities are dedicated to youth play.

The community has dedicated public space for youth play programs and/or events. Youth feel a sense of ownership and belonging for these spaces. Dedicated space is not limited to one location, but to a number and in a variety of settings. Places where youth can meet socially are important to the community and may be provided by the municipality, not-for-profit agencies, schools, faith-based groups, private businesses or service clubs. Space is made available at times and locations suitable to youth participation and access to space increases as does the youth population.

4) It is easy for youth to find information about play activities in the community.

The community has a variety of youth friendly information vehicles such as websites, newsletters, bulletins, brochures, newspaper advertisements and articles, social marketing tools and community bulletin boards. Municipal services, community agencies, clubs, private businesses, schools and other service providers collaborate and/or are creative in finding easy ways for diverse groups of youth to access this information.

5) The community supports public youth events.

The community comes out to support larger public events planned by youth, works with youth to plan these events, and/or plans public community events in which youth come out to participate. Local citizens are able to see youth in a positive and public light. These large scale community events can include art shows, skate board exhibitions, tournaments, battle of the bands, community carnivals, dances, etc. Agencies and groups, such as health, police, local government, recreation, culture, service clubs and accessibility groups, may collaborate to present and support these events. Through outreach and networking, isolated and disenfranchised youth are engaged in the process and/or event.

6) The community celebrates and recognizes youth.

The community offers youth awards, special recognition and/or scholarship programs to recognize youth participation and contributions as leaders, volunteers and/or engaged community members. This recognition may be given by local government, service clubs, schools, community agencies, etc. Formal announcements on these opportunities, and on the results of the recognition, are publicized and promoted throughout the community such as in the newspaper, on the radio, on the municipal website, on agency websites that are youth friendly, in program brochures and/or newsletters.

7) The community commits funding for youth play.

The community has a variety of funding sources that support youth play, and policies are in place that reflect this investment. These should include the municipal budget and local service agencies (e.g. YMCAs, Boys and Girls Clubs, Youth Centres), where there is a line item for youth play and/or through which a youth programmer is hired. Support can also be provided by groups such as service clubs, special granting programs, sports groups and/or the business community. This funding opportunity is well known to groups who work with youth in the community and it is promoted through these groups and through the school system. Systems are in place to help youth to also regularly access these funds. Some youth play programs are free or have minimal costs. Where agencies/groups have subsidy programs, there is evidence that youth are being funded through these subsidies. The community commits funding for current and long-term capital for diverse facility development and improvement, such as indoor/outdoor parks, skate parks, creative arts spaces, dance studios and/or drop in centres.

8) The community supports positive youth development.

Positive youth development is a formal process through which a youth makes the transition from childhood to adulthood. During this time, youth are exposed to and learn the critical five competencies: social, emotional, ethical, cognition and physical. They also participate in programs and services which meets their seven developmental needs, which are: meaningful participation, mastery and achievement, positive interaction with adults and peers, physical



How to organize your submission

For ease of reference for the review team, please separate the documentation you are providing for each criterion. For example, submit each criterion description and the corresponding documentation with a tab or cover sheet indicating the criterion number.

Youth Friendly Criteria Descriptions (continued)

capacity, creative expression, self-definition, and structure and clear limits. The community, and community agencies, do not reference 'deficit-based approaches' in their language and promotional information (e.g. reduce crime, get kids 'off the streets', pregnancy prevention workshops) but rather speak to the skills and talents and potential which youth have and which the community is working to support (the 5 C's - Competence, Connection, Confidence, Caring and Character). The community supports positive youth development by ensuring staff and volunteers of youth service programs are offered opportunities, and are actively encouraged to participate, in orientation and training sessions on positive youth development and there is evidence of this training occurring in the community.

9) The community supports youth volunteerism and leadership development.

The community recognizes youth volunteerism and leadership development. Older children are sought out to fill junior leadership roles in sports clubs, youth groups, day camps and/or faith groups. There are systems to help youth get connected to volunteer opportunities, and youth are familiar with these systems and can access them. Appropriate training about volunteerism and leadership is provided for youth. There is evidence of programs to recognize the efforts of youth leaders and volunteers as they contribute to community life.

10) The community has effective partnerships that support youth play.

Local government departments work cooperatively with each other and with community groups to provide the best menu of opportunities for youth play. Specific attention is given to the needs of hard-to-reach, isolated, vulnerable and disenfranchised youth. Community partners include not-for-profit agencies, cultural groups, housing cooperatives, youth associations, sports clubs, arts groups, justice, social services, the faith community, health, and education. Local businesses and the corporate world play a key role in supporting youth play.

11) Youth activism and advocacy for play is nurtured.

The voice of youth is a critical component of community life. The community ensures that youth actively participate in planning, promotion, implementation and evaluation of programs and services that affect them. Youth actively speak out about their needs and, where this is not in evidence, youth are encouraged to do so with and by local champions. The community, including local decision-makers and the media, create ways for and encourage the voice of youth to be heard at council meetings, in newspaper columns and articles, and at community gatherings. Decision makers and media respond pro-actively. Change happens as a result of the youth voice being heard and this change is evidenced in documentation.

12) Youth feel comfortable in their own community.

When youth are asked if they feel respected and valued in their community, they say "yes!" Youth are not asked to leave locations, or limit the numbers that meet at the same time in the same area, or leave their bags at the door of a shop. Rather, the community encourages businesses, recreation centres, malls, theatres and other locations to understand the needs of youth and works to recognize and engage youth as contributing citizens within the community. Spaces are promoted as 'youth friendly,' and youth are provided opportunities to interact with others in a positive and mutually respectful way. Staff who work in facilities where youth play receive an orientation about the needs and interests of youth, and how to build positive adult/youth partnerships. Documentation from youth, representative of the community demographics, confirms their belief that their community is youth friendly.

13) Youth can get to the play programs that are offered.

The community has explored innovative ways to physically connect youth with play opportunities. Examples include: responsive transit systems with routes to dedicated youth play spaces, and that operate on days and times to maximize participation by youth; lighted bike paths and/or bike lanes on major roads; car pools; standard-rate taxi services; reduced or free youth transit fees; and mobile outreach into isolated areas. Where public transit is not available, activities have been specifically located or relocated to be closer to youth and to increase access for youth.

14) Schools support the youth friendly approach.


Schools are recognized for their contribution to the community's youth friendly approach. They encourage the circulation and explanation of information to students on play activities. The schools offer opportunities for service providers and the larger community to become involved with school-based play activities. They also actively participate in, and have a presence at, community-based youth play events. Representations from local schools and/or school boards sit on community committees to bring the student/school perspective to discussions. Schools work to adjust bussing to help youth get to play areas. They pro-actively work to increase access to school facilities through formal relationships with service providers, the Community Use of Schools Agreement and through reciprocal agreements with local governments.

15) Adults champion the cause for youth play.

The community has many adult leaders from diverse backgrounds and positions who recognize and advocate the need for positive youth play. They publicly support youth by ensuring elected officials, police, local celebrities and media are involved in local youth events and their efforts are reflected in print and visual media and in other publications. For their part, youth regularly share their experiences of positive play with adults and at adult-led events, such as service club meetings, school board sessions, annual general meetings and professional association meetings. There is evidence that youth are able to identify those who are champions of youth in their community.

16) Play is inclusive.

In a Youth Friendly Community, all youth have options for play. Whether they be programs for youth with physical or developmental considerations, programs that are intentionally and proactively sensitive to the ethnic, gender or sexual orientation of youth, or programs that consider the increasing number of youth with mental health challenges, there are options for, there is outreach to, and there is evidence of, inclusive play opportunities for youth.



HEART & STROKE FOUNDATION
This project was made possible through funding from the Heart and Stroke Foundation of Ontario.



Corporate Report

Clerk's Files

R-2

Originator's
Files

PO.11.TOM



DATE: September 21, 2011

TO: Mayor and Members of Council
Meeting Date: October 12, 2011

FROM: Brenda R. Breault, CMA, MBA
Commissioner of Corporate Services and Treasurer

SUBJECT: **Surplus Land Declaration for the purpose of sale of an interest in
6825 Tomken Road to the Region of Peel
(Ward 5)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
1. That the triangular shaped parcel of land municipally identified as 6825 Tomken Road, containing an area of approximately 2.31 hectares (5.7 acres), be declared surplus to the City's requirements for the purpose of a 75% ownership interest sale of such lands at market value to the Region of Peel ("Region"), as required in connection with the construction and operation of a joint fire and ambulance station facility, subject to protection for existing utilities, if any. The City will keep the remaining 25% ownership interest in the site in a co-tenancy relationship with the Region. The subject lands are legally described as part of Lot 10, Concession 3, East of Hurontario Street, (formerly Toronto Township) being designated as Part 1 on Reference plan 43R-16066, City of Mississauga, Regional Municipality of Peel, in Ward 5.
 2. That all steps necessary to comply with the requirements of Section 2.(1) of City Notice By-law 215-08 be taken, including giving notice to the public by posting a notice on the City of Mississauga's website for at least three weeks prior to the execution of an agreement for the sale of the subject land.

BACKGROUND:

At its May 14, 2008 meeting, Council considered a report dated May 1, 2008 from the Commissioner of Community Services which authorized, amongst other items, execution of all agreements necessary to implement a co-location plan between Mississauga Fire Station 116 and Peel's Paramedic Reporting Facility. The May 1st report indicated that the Region had approached the City to explore the possibility of co-locating a paramedic facility with Station 116. The City had purchased the 2.31 hectare (5.7 acre) site in 2007 for \$3,000,000, plus closing and other related costs, with a view to build a 762 square metre (8,200 square foot) standalone fire station to replace the current Station 116, which is a facility located on leased lands. The report further noted that approximately 0.84 hectares (2.08 acres) of land would be required for the joint facility and that the remaining lands would be sold to the Region or, on the open market.

Subsequently, at its meeting of June 4, 2008, City Council enacted and passed By law 0213-2008 thereby authorizing execution of all agreements and ancillary documents necessary to implement, construct and operate a joint facility between the City and Region. A Memorandum of Understanding ("MOU") was subsequently executed by the City and Region on July 16, 2008.

COMMENTS:

As a result of subsequent changes to the size and capacity of the Paramedic Facility and, other requirements identified through detailed design of the facility, approximately 1.63 hectares (4.03 acres) of land are now required for the joint facility itself, with approximately 0.68 hectares (1.67 acres) of land remaining (the "Remaining Lands"). The frontage and configuration of the Remaining Lands render them non-viable for independent development or sale on the open market. These changes necessitated amendments to the terms and conditions of the co-location project and, as a result, the MOU was amended on August 2, 2010 and thereafter again on December 15, 2010.

Based upon the square footage of the proposed Fire Station and Paramedic Station and, the parking requirements for each, the Region will occupy approximately 75% of the joint facility. Accordingly, City and Region staff have agreed that the Region purchase a 75% interest in the entire 2.31 hectare (5.7 acre) site at market value and the City will keep the remaining 25% ownership interest in the entire site, thereby creating what is commonly described as a "co-tenancy

relationship". For greater clarity, both parties will enjoy unrestricted fee simple legal ownership and access to the entire site and, on any sale of the site in the future, the Region will be entitled to 75% of the sale proceeds and the City will be entitled to 25% of the sale proceeds.

The Shared Facilities, Maintenance and Operations Agreement is the legal agreement that will govern the co-tenancy relationship between the parties on a long term basis.

All relevant City departments and public agencies were canvassed and Realty Services has not received any expression of interest and/or objection to a 75% interest in the 2.31 hectare (5.7 acre) lands set out herein being declared surplus and sold.


Prior to completion of this proposed transaction, public notice will have been given by the posting of a notice of proposed sale on the City of Mississauga's website for a two week period where the expiry of the two week period will be at least one week before the execution of the agreement for the sale of the said land. This notice satisfies the requirements of the City Notice By-law 0215-2008 as amended by By-Law 0376-2008.

FINANCIAL IMPACT: Not applicable.

CONCLUSION: It is reasonable to declare the lands surplus to permit the sale of a 75% ownership interest in these lands to Peel Region at fair market value.

ATTACHMENTS:


- Appendix 1: Approximate location of the proposed lands to be declared surplus, 6825 Tomken Road PO.11.TOM (Ward 5)
- Appendix 2: Sketch identifying lands to be declared surplus, Part 1, 43R-16066



Brenda R. Breault, CMA, MBA
Commissioner of Corporate Services and Treasurer

Prepared By: Sheryl Badin, Project Leader

R-2(c)



 MISSISSAUGA Leading today for tomorrow	
Approximate location of the lands to be declared surplus, 6825 Tomken Road (Ward 5) File: PO.11.TOM	
SCALE FOR REDUCED DRAWINGS 	



Part 1, 43R-16066



Corporate Services

Realty Services

Sketch identifying the lands to be declared surplus, Part 1, 43R-16066.
 File: PO.11.TOM

SCALE FOR REDUCED DRAWINGS



This is not a Plan of Survey

REPORT 13 – 2011

TO: MAYOR AND MEMBERS OF COUNCIL



The Planning and Development Committee presents its thirteenth report of 2011 and recommends:

PDC-0049-2011

1. That the report titled "Proposed Amendments to the Cooksville Neighbourhood Character Area Policies of Mississauga Official Plan" dated September 13, 2011 from the Commissioner of Planning and Building, be received.
2. That staff report back to Planning and Development Committee on the submissions made with respect to the September 13, 2011 report.
3. That the following correspondence be received:
 - (1) Email dated September 12, 2011 from Jurgis and Vida Kairys
 - (2) Letter dated September 30, 2011 from Jim Levac, Senior Associate, Weston Consulting Group Inc.
 - (3) Letter dated October 1, 2011 from A. Dean Summerville
 - (4) Letter dated October 3, 2011 from Wayne A. Summerville, solicitor for Donald A. Summerville
 - (5) Letter dated October 3, 2011 from Wayne A. Summerville
 - (6) Email dated October 3, 2011 from Aimee-Rose Tupaz, Ministry of Transport, Ontario
 - (7) Letter dated October 3, 2011 from Glen Broll, Partner Glen Schnarr & Associates Inc.

File: CD.03.COO


PDC-0050-2011

1. That City Council provide direction to staff to undertake a site specific amendment to the Town of Milton Official Plan and Zoning By-law provisions related to the Ninth Line Corridor lands which were incorporated by reference into the City of Mississauga Official Plan and Zoning By-law, to permit a community centre with sports fields on the site identified as Park 459 in Appendix 2 of the report titled *Ninth Line Corridor Lands - Wards 8, 9 and 10 - Outline of Development Processes* dated September 13, 2011 from the Commissioner of Planning and Building.
2. That City Council provide direction to staff to undertake an Official Plan amendment and rezoning review process, including a municipal comprehensive review in accordance with the Regional Official Plan Section 7.9.2.12, for the Ninth Line Corridor lands, as identified in Appendix 5 and 6 of the report titled *Ninth Line Corridor Lands - Wards 8, 9 and 10 - Outline of Development Processes*, dated September 13, 2011 from the Commissioner of Planning and Building, to establish a planning framework to guide future development.

File: CD.04.NIN (Ninth Line Corridor)

REPORT 16 - 2011

TO: THE MAYOR & MEMBERS OF COUNCIL



General Committee of Council presents its sixteenth Report of 2011 and recommends:

GC-0603-2011

1. That a by-law be enacted in the form attached as Appendix 4 to the Corporate Report dated September 19, 2011 and that a motion be considered at Council on October 12, 2011 in the form attached as Appendix 5, as amended to the Corporate Report dated September 19, 2011 from the Commissioner of Transportation and Works.
2. That a committee be formed to include Councillors Pat Mullin, Pat Saito, Jim Tovey, Ron Starr and George Carlson and any other councillors that may be interested in participating with appropriate staff to work on an action plan to enforce the proposed by-law to ban shark finning and report back to Council.

GC-0604-2011

That the In Camera report of the City Solicitor dated September 27, 2011, titled "Municipal Authority for Shark Fin Prohibition" be received for information.

GC-0605-2011

That a by-law be enacted to authorize the Commissioner of Transportation and Works and the City Clerk to execute and affix the Corporate Seal to the Warning Clause Agreement between John Edward Cannell and The Corporation of the City of Mississauga to the satisfaction of the City Solicitor.

GC-0606-2011

1. That approval be granted to convert the existing natural lawn on the south part of Mississauga Celebration Square to artificial turf for a total project cost of \$600,000.
2. That the Mississauga Celebration Square - Great Lawn Artificial Turf project (PN 11-300) be established with a gross and net budget of \$600,000.
3. That funds of \$300,000 be transferred from the 2009 Special Project Capital Reserve (Account # 35574) and \$300,000 be transferred from the Capital Reserve Fund (Account # 33121) to the project (PN 11-300).
4. That the necessary by-laws be enacted.

GC-0607-2011

1. That a multi-year operating agreement be established with Safe City Mississauga as outlined in the Corporate Report dated September 21, 2011 from the Commissioner of Community Services.
2. That a by-law be enacted authorizing the Commissioner of Community Services and the City Clerk to execute an agreement with Safe City Mississauga to establish and pilot a multi-year operating agreement in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor, subject to the following conditions:

- a) Agreement to be structured so that annual funding is subject to business plan and operating budget approval of Council; and
 - b) Submission of an annual report by Safe City Mississauga that establishes and identifies progress against key performance measures.
3. That the funding be split into two instalments as below:
- a) Whereby 75% is provided upon approval of annual funding and the remaining 25% be released upon receipt and reconciliation of audited financial statements for the previous calendar year; and
 - b) That all subsequent funding (subject to approval of the City's operating budget each year) be released annually at the discretion of the City upon receipt of both interim report and audited financial statements; and
4. That a total of \$322,000 be transferred from the 2012 Recreation and Parks Grant cost element 735105 to cost element 735106 to fund the multi-year user agreement.

GC-0608-2011

That Touch Tone Registration (TTR) for recreation programs be discontinued as of December 2011 as outlined in the Corporate Report dated September 12, 2011 from the Commissioner of Community Services.

GC-0609-2011

1. That the 2011 Year End Operating Forecast and Adjustments as outlined in the report dated September 19, 2011 from the Commissioner of Corporate Services and Treasurer, be approved.
2. That the budget adjustments and net reduction of 5.5 full time equivalents (FTE) positions as listed in Appendix 2 attached to the report dated September 19, 2011 from the Commissioner of Corporate Service and Treasure be approved.

GC-0610-2011

That the revised Corporate Policy and Procedure – Community Group Support Program (08-01-01) attached as Appendix 1 to the Corporate Report dated September 14, 2011 from the Commissioner of Community Services, be approved as amended to include that the President or Vice President of a Board be a Mississauga Resident.

GC-0611-2011

That the request to make alterations to the brick work of the drive shed of the Brown – McCaugherty House as described in the report from the Commissioner of Community Services, dated August 31, 2011, be approved and that the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

Ward 6

(HAC-0072-2011)

GC-0612-2011

That the PowerPoint presentation, dated September 20, 2011 and entitled Tree Recognition Program, by Jane Darragh, Planner, Park Planning Section, with respect to the Tree Recognition Program and proposed amendments to the Tree Permit By-Law, be received.
(HAC-0073-2011)

GC-0613-2011

That the PowerPoint presentation, dated September 20, 2011 and entitled Heritage Tree Subcommittee Update Report, by Don McDiarmid, Heritage Tree Subcommittee Member, be received.
(HAC-0074-2011)

GC-0614-2011

That the property at 1510 Stavebank Road, which is listed on the City's Heritage Register, is not worthy of heritage designation, and consequently, that the owner's request to demolish the structure proceed through the applicable process.

Ward 1

(HAC-0075-2011)

GC-0615-2011

That the property at 1267 Mississauga Road, which is listed on the City's Heritage Register, is not worthy of heritage designation, and consequently, that the owner's request to demolish the structure proceed through the applicable process.

Ward 2

(HAC-0076-2011)

GC-0616-2011

That Heritage staff follow up with Legal and Planning and Building staff about the possibility of the Heritage Advisory Committee or a Heritage Advisory Committee Subcommittee reviewing preliminary design plans for heritage listed properties in advance of approval by Heritage staff.
(HAC-0077-2011)

GC-0617-2011

That the property at 1448 Stavebank Road, which is listed on the City's Heritage Register, is not worthy of heritage designation and, consequently, that the owner's request to demolish the structure proceed through the applicable process, once all Archaeological Assessments are accepted by Heritage Planning staff and letters of clearance are received from the Ministry of Tourism and Culture.

Ward 1

(HAC-0078-2011)

GC-0618-2011

That the property at 1362 Stavebank Road, which is listed on the City's Heritage Register, is not worthy of heritage designation, and consequently, the owner's request to demolish the structure proceed through the applicable process, once all Archaeological Assessments are accepted by Heritage Planning staff and letters of clearance are received from the Ministry of Tourism and Culture.

Ward 1

(HAC-0079-2011)

GC-0619-2011

That the chart dated September 20, 2011 identifying the status of outstanding issues from the Heritage Advisory Committee be received.

(HAC-0080-2011)

GC-0620-2011

That the fax, dated August 30, 2011, from James P. Holmes, Chairman, Meadowvale Village Heritage Conservation District Review Committee, regarding preliminary plans for an addition to a property located at 7085 Pond Street be received and referred to Heritage staff for follow-up and, once complete, returned to the Heritage Advisory Committee for final approval.

Ward 11

(HAC-0081-2011)

GC-0621-2011

That the correspondence, dated August 2011, from Dr. Eric Hoskins, Minister of Citizenship and Immigration, with respect to the June Callwood Outstanding Achievement Award for Voluntarism in Ontario be received.

(HAC-0082-2011)

GC-0622-2011

That the correspondence, dated August 15, 2011, from Michael Chan, Minister of Tourism and Culture, with respect to the publication of *Heritage Places of Worship: A Guide to Conserving Heritage Places of Worship in Ontario Communities* be received.

(HAC-0083-2011)

GC-0623-2011

That the approval of the draft Terms of Reference of the Museums of Mississauga Advisory Committee be deferred to the next MOMAC meeting scheduled to be held on November 28, 2011.

(MOMAC-0018-2011)

GC-0624-2011

That the report of the Collections and Storage Subcommittee from its meeting held August 30, 2011, be received and that recommendations CASS-0003-2011 through to CASS-0004-2011 be approved as presented:

1. *That Joe Zammit be appointed as Chair of the Collection and Artifact Storage Subcommittee for the 2010-2014 Council term, or until a successor is appointed (CASS-0003-2011).*
2. *That the Report dated August 30, 2011 from Stephanie Meeuwse, Collections & Exhibit Coordinator, be received (CASS-0004-2011).*

(MOMAC-0019-2011)

GC-0625-2011

That the Memorandum September 19, 2011 from Annemarie Hagan, Museums Manager, presenting the Museums Manager's Report for the period June 1 to August 31, 2011, be received.

(MOMAC-0020-2011)

GC-0626-2011

That the Memorandum dated September 19, 2011 from Annemarie Hagan, Museums Manager, presenting the Museums of Mississauga Capital Projects Update, be received.

(MOMAC-0021-2011)

GC-0627-2011

That the Corporate Report dated September 12, 2011 from the Commissioner of Community Services, providing an update on the Benares Funds for the 2010 fiscal year, be received.

(MOMAC-0022-2011)

GC-0628-2011

That the copy of Corporate Report entitled *Request to alter a Heritage Designated Property – Benares Main House, 1503 Clarkson Road North (Ward 2)* dated August 2, 2011 from the Commissioner of Community Services to the Chair and Members of the Heritage Advisory Committee, be received.

(MOMAC-0023-2011)

GC-0629-2011

That the Letter dated August 18, 2011 from Chuck Scott, Peel Heritage Complex, Advisory Board Chair with respect to the appointment of Claire Loughheed as Manager of the Heritage Program, be received.

(MOMAC-0024-2011)

GC-0630-2011

That the Letter dated August 26, 2011 from The Honorable Michael Chan, Minister of Tourism and Culture with respect to the approval of a grant of \$53,953 under the Community Museum Operating Grant (CMOG) program for the 2011/2012 fiscal year, be received.

(MOMAC-0025-2011)

GC-0631-2011

That the Mississauga ROAD WATCH program statistics for the months of June, July and August 2011 as presented to the Road Safety Mississauga Advisory Committee at its meeting on September 27, 2011, be received for information.

(RSM-0019-2011)

GC-0632-2011

That the report dated July 12, 2011 summarizing the work session for the Road Safety Handbook Review Team, be received.

(RSM-0020-2011)

GC-0633-2011

That the email dated September 19, 2011 from Councillor Pat Saito advising of a news report released by the Traffic Injury Research Foundation on Winnipeg's Photo Enforcement Program and that staff review the matter of public input on the program for the red light cameras and mobile photo radar and report back to the Road Safety Mississauga Advisory Committee.

(RSM-0021-2011)

GC-0634-2011

That Peter Hamilton-Smith be appointed as Chair of the Road Safety Mississauga Advisory Committee for a term of office to November 30, 2014, or until a successor is appointed.
(RSM-0022-2011)

GC-0635-2011

That Rick Carew be appointed as Vice-Chair of the Road Safety Mississauga Advisory Committee for a term of office to November 30, 2014, or until a successor is appointed.
(RSM-0023-2011)

GC-0636-2011

1. That the Deputation given by Ivana Matic with respect to the walking route along Willowcreek Park, Sunnyhill Drive, Feildgate Drive, and Havenwood Drive to St. Alfred Catholic School, 3341 Havenwood Drive and the handout distributed by Ms. Matic at the Traffic Safety Council meeting be received.
2. That the Legislative Coordinator be requested to invite the following parties to the Safety Assessment being conducted by Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design on October 13, 2011, with respect to the walking route through Willowcreek Park (Greenbelt) and in the area of Fieldgate Drive and Havenwood Drive for students attending St. Alfred Catholic School, 3341 Havenwood Drive and Brian W. Fleming Public School, 3255 Havenwood Drive:
 - a. The Ward Councillor
 - b. A representative from the Transportation and Works Department
 - c. A representative from Community Services
 - d. The Peel District School Board and Dufferin Peel Catholic District School Board Trustees for Ward 3
 - e. Representatives from the Community
 - f. The School Principals
 - g. Representatives from Traffic Safety Council
3. That the matter with respect to the walking route through Willowcreek Park (Greenbelt) and in the area of Fieldgate Drive and Havenwood Drive to St. Alfred Catholic School, 3341 Havenwood Drive and Brian W. Fleming Public School, 3255 Havenwood Drive, be deferred until the Traffic Safety Council has received a report back from the Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design Safety Assessment being conducted on October 13, 2011.

(TSC-0142-2011)

(Ward 3)

GC-0637-2011

That the Site Inspection Subcommittee of Traffic Safety Council be requested to re-inspect for the warrants of placing a Crossing Guard on the north east corner of the intersection of Bristol Road and Ceremonial Drive for students attending Champlain Trail Public School, 895 Ceremonial Drive, and St. Gertrude Catholic School, 815 Ceremonial Drive, and that the Ward Councillor be invited to attend the site inspection.

(TSC-0143-2011)

(Ward 5)

GC-0638-2011

That the request for a Crossing Guard at the intersection of Huron Heights and Maxine Place for students attending St. Pio of Pietrelcina Catholic School, 4765 Huron Heights Drive, be denied as the warrants have not been met and the All Way Stop provides protection for students crossing.

(TSC-0144-2011)

(Ward 4)

GC-0639-2011

That the email dated September 8, 2011 from Sheelagh Duffin, Crossing Guard Supervisor, requesting a site inspection to review the warrants for placing a Crossing Guard at the intersection of Fieldgate Drive and Havenwood Drive, for students attending St. Alfred Catholic School, 3341 Havenwood Drive, be received and referred to the Site Inspection Subcommittee of Traffic Safety Council.

(TSC-0145-2011)

(Ward 3)

GC-0640-2011

1. That the email dated September 21, 2011 from Mr. Gibson, Vice-Principal, St. Therese of the Child Jesus Catholic School requesting a site inspection to review the warrants for placing a Crossing Guard at the intersection of Derry Road and Forest Park Drive, for students attending St. Therese of the Child Jesus Catholic School, 6930 Forest Park Drive, be received and referred to the Site Inspection Subcommittee of Traffic Safety Council.
2. That the Site Inspection Subcommittee of Traffic Safety Council be requested to review pedestrian safety in the area of Forest Park Drive to Derry Road for students attending St. Therese of the Child Jesus Catholic School, 6930 Forest Park Drive upon the request of the Ward Councillor.

(TSC-0146-2011)

(Ward 10)

GC-0641-2011

That the email dated September 21, 2011 from Ms. Thompson, Resident, requesting a site inspection to review the warrants of placing a Crossing Guard at the intersection of Barondale Drive and Wildwood Trail for students attending Barondale Public School, 200 Barondale Drive and San Lorenzo of Ruiz Catholic School, 100 Barondale Drive, be received and referred the Site Inspection Subcommittee of Traffic Safety Council.

(TSC-0147-2011)

(Ward 5)

GC-0642-2011

That the School Zone Safety (Kiss and Ride) Report, for the month of September 2011, be received.

(TSC-0148-2011)

GC-0643-2011

That the Peel District School Board be requested to review the feasibility of installing a fence along the school's north sidewalk leading to the rear of the school and of painting a designated cross walk for students at the east end of the School Zone Safety (Kiss and Ride) lane at Ridgewood Public School, 7207 Cambrett Drive.

(TSC-0149-2011)

(Ward 5)

GC-0644-2011

That the Dismissal Report for the month of September 2011 be received for information.

(TSC-0150-2011)

(Ward 10)

GC-0645-2011

1. That the letter dated June 8, 2011 from Anna Abbruscato, Trustee, Dufferin-Peel Catholic District School Board, Ward 4, Chair, and a letter dated, June 14, 2011 from Janet McDougald, Trustee, Peel District School Board, Wards 1/7, Chair, with respect to proposed changes to the Stakeholder's Responsibilities Breakdown be received for information.
2. That the Stakeholder's Responsibility Breakdown be approved as amended to include the addition of the following comments:
 - a. Parents: get their children safely to and from school and/ or a bus stop.
 - b. STOPR: determine the eligibility for student transportation based on the policies established by the school boards.

(TSC-0151-2011)

GC-0646-2011

That the minutes from the July 22, 2011 and September 9, 2011 Walk to School Subcommittee meeting be received.

(TSC-0152-2011)

GC-0647-2011

That the Walk to School Subcommittee of Traffic Safety Council be approved to use a portion of the Walk to School Subcommittee's 2011 budget to purchase a display board for the School Walking Routes Program in an amount up to \$1, 500.00.

(TSC-0153-2011)

GC-0648-2011

That the memorandum from the Manager of Parking Enforcement reporting on parking enforcement in school zones for the month of June 2011 be received.

(TSC-0154-2011)

GC-0649-2011

That the request for a Crossing Guard at the intersection of Arbor Road and Radcliffe Boulevard, for students attending St. Dominic Catholic School, 515 Heartsdale Avenue be denied as the warrants have not been met.

(TSC-0155-2011)

(Ward 1)

GC-0650-2011

That the Additional Dismissal Report, for the month of September 2011, be received.
(TSC-0156-2011)

GC-0651-2011

That the Legislative Coordinator be requested to arrange a meeting between Dave Marcotte, Peel District School Board Maintenance Representative and Traffic Safety Council representatives to review the feasibility of implementing School Zone Safety (Kiss and Ride) layout at Cawthra Park Secondary School, 1305 Cawthra Road.
(TSC-0157-2011)
(Ward 1)

GC-0652-2011

That the request for Crossing Guards during water main replacement construction, for students attending Burnhamthorpe Public School, 3465 Golden Orchard Drive, be denied as the warrants have not been met at the following intersections:

- a. Golden Orchard Drive and Grand Forks
- b. Golden Orchard Drive and Beechollow Crescent
- c. Golden Orchard Drive and Grey Owl Point
- d. Golden Orchard Drive and Lincove Terrace
- e. Golden Orchard Drive and Stonecreek Crescent

(TSC-0158-2011)
(Ward 3)

GC-0653-2011

1. That the request for a Crossing Guard at the intersection of Fifth Line and Sheridan Park Drive, for students attending St. Francis of Assisi, 2480 Thorne Lodge Drive, be denied as the warrants have not been met.
2. That the Transportation and Works Department be requested to review the feasibility of placing a Traffic Control Signal at the intersection of Fifth Line and Sheridan Park Drive for students attending St. Francis of Assisi, 2480 Thorne Lodge Drive.

(TSC-0159-2011)
(Ward 2)

GC-0654-2011

1. That the request for a Crossing Guard at the intersection of Thorne Lodge Drive and Loyalist Creek for students attending St. Francis of Assisi, 2480 Thorne Lodge Drive, be denied as the warrants have not been met.
2. That the request to paint cross walk lines at the intersection of Thorne Lodge Drive and Loyalist Creek for students attending St. Francis of Assisi, 2480 Thorne Lodge Drive, be denied as crosswalk lines are painted only at intersections where a Crossing Guard is placed.

(TSC-0160-2011)
(Ward 2)


GC-0655-2011

That a by-law be enacted authorizing the Commissioner of Transportation and Works and the City Clerk to execute an Offer of Settlement, and all documents ancillary thereto, between Peel Condominium Corporation No. 431 ("Condo 431"), as Owner, and The Corporation of the City of Mississauga ("City"), as Expropriating Authority, for the settlement of the expropriation of part of 5025 Orbitor Drive, required for the City's Bus Rapid Transit Project.

GC-0656-2011

That the verbal update by Janice Baker, City Manager and Chief Administrative Officer regarding the amalgamated Transit Union for Mississauga Transit be received for information.

REPORT 3 – 2011



TO: MAYOR AND MEMBERS OF COUNCIL

The Audit Committee presents its third report of 2011 and recommends:

AC-0009-2011

That the minutes of the Audit Committee meeting held on May 9, 2011 be approved as presented.

AC-0010-2011

That the report dated September 13, 2011 from the Director, Internal Audit, with respect to the status of the 2011 Internal Audit Work Plan, be received for information.

AC-0011-2011

That the report dated September 15, 2011 from the Director of Internal Audit with respect to final audit report Transportation & Works Department, Enforcement Division, Compliance & Licencing Enforcement Section, - Revenue & Cash Handling Audit, Transportation & Works Department, Engineering & Works Division – Streetlighting Services Agreement Audit, and Corporate Services Department, Information Technology Division – Active Directory Audit, be received for information

This is an extract of the Planning and Development Committee meeting held on October 3, 2011.

1. PUBLIC MEETING. Proposed Amendments to the Cooksville Neighbourhood Character Area Policies of Mississauga Official Plan (WARD 7)

Councillor Jim Tovey called this public meeting to order at approximately 7:05 p.m. and read the staff recommendation.

Ms. Karen Crouse, Community Planner advised that the statutory consultation process commenced with the formation of two community advisory groups for the Gordon Woods area and the Queensway/Camilla Rd area. Subsequently, staff met with the two groups on two occasions each, and along with the consultants, staff prepared a directions report, which was presented to the Planning and Development Committee in September 2010.

Ms. Crouse explained that the intent of the requested amendments to the New Mississauga Official Plan, Cooksville Neighbourhood Character Area, is to expand the area subject to the Special Site 4 policies applicable to Gordon Woods to revise and enhance them; and to introduce new Special Site 7 policies for the Camilla Road area to match the Special Site 4 policies.

Using Power Point slides, Ms. Crouse detailed the lands identified by the existing Special Site 4 policies and the proposed revised boundaries to be identified as Special Site 7 lands on the east and west sides of Camilla Road between King Street East and Queensway East.

Ms. Crouse advised the Committee that the intent of the existing policies and the intent of the revised policies is essentially to preserve the existing character of the area and enhance it, considering that Gordon Woods is unique with its mature tree cover and drainage pattern. Ms. Crouse advised that future development proposals should respect the mature trees and drainage pattern of the area, and should maintain the same scale and character in the neighbourhood.

Ms. Crouse advised that it is the intent to introduce policies for the Camilla Road frontage lands, similar to the Gordon Woods area.

Ms. Crouse further advised that following the public meeting, the proposed amendments to the Cooksville Neighbourhood Character Area will be circulated to the various City Departments and external agencies, and a report will be presented to the Planning and

Development Committee on comments received from these Departments and external agencies.

Ward 7 Councillor, Nando Iannicca commented on the need for a revision of the Cooksville Area plan that has not been revised in almost twenty (20) years.

Councillor Pat Mullin commented on the need for tree preservation and the work that is in progress for a proposed amendment to the Tree By-law that will address tree preservation throughout the City of Mississauga, especially in areas where there is a lot of infill development.

Responding to Mayor Hazel McCallion's concerns on whether there is a need for enhanced maintenance of parks and open spaces in the City, Ms. Karen Crouse, Community Planner advised that the staff report outlines the City's annual maintenance programs for parks and open spaces, in response to comments received from the community advisory groups.

In response to Mayor McCallion's concern about tree preservation in the City, Mr. David Marcucci, Manager Park Planning advised that a report will be presented to General Committee seeking direction from Council for a review of the Tree By-law.

Councillor George Carlson commended the Ward Councillor, Nando Iannicca, for his leadership and excellent work, especially in the Cooksville neighbourhood area.

The Chair, Councillor Jim Tovey congratulated Ward 7 Councillor, Nando Iannicca and Planning staff for the excellent report, with special emphasis on the discouragement of the use of standard, repeat designs.

The following residents who were present in the chamber addressed the Committee:

Mr. John Sabas
Mr. Andrew Gassmann, President - Cooksville Munden Homeowners Organization
Ms. Vivian Schenko
Mr. John Heeder
Ms. Shelley Byman
Mr. Eric Chatfield
Mr. Joel Fidel

Mr. John Sabas, a member of the community advisory group suggested the following amendment to Appendix 1 (Page 2) in the staff report.

“Area 1 – Gordon Woods

Isabella Avenue be replaced with “Queensway West”

which would include the lands in the Gordon Woods area along Queensway West.

Ward Councillor Nando Iannicca agreed with Mr. Sabas’ suggestion and requested staff to make the appropriate amendments.

Mr. Andrew Gassmann addressed the Committee expressing the following concerns:

- delay in presenting the proposed amendments since the completion of the directions report in August 2010;
- inconsistencies between key findings from the Cooksville Area Specific Review and the corresponding Directions Report, as well as the Proposed Policy Directions from that report;
- new policies should govern all of Cooksville and not be street-specific;
- policies should include the protection of the Woodland Nursery site.

Ms. Karen Crouse, Community Planner advised the Committee that staff has addressed the various issues raised by the community advisory groups as indicated in Appendix 4 of the staff report.

Mr. John Heeder sought clarification on whether the tree preservation requirements would apply to existing property owners or to future proposed developments. Mr. Heeder sought further clarification on what appropriate dwelling size determines the neighbourhood character.

Ms. Karen Crouse, Community Planner advised that staff assess what is most appropriate taking into consideration the development pattern in the street, the variation between existing dwellings and how lands have been redeveloped.

Ms. Shelley Byman addressed the Committee suggesting the reinstatement of minimum lot sizes, and also seeking clarification on whether the proposed policies would prevent future common element condominium road developments.

Ms. Karen Crouse, Community Planner advised that staff would review the issue of minimum lot sizes. Ms. Crouse further advised that the New Mississauga Official Plan contains policies with respect to common element condominium roads.

Mr. Eric Chatfield addressed the Committee and sought clarification on how the proposed policies would sit within the purview of the Committee of Adjustment with respect to minor variances. Mr. Chatfield suggested the encouragement of acceleration of the review of the Tree By-law.

Ms. Karen Crouse, Community Planner advised that staff provide comments relating to applicable policies from the Official Plan for consideration by the Committee of Adjustment.

Mr. Joel Fidel addressed the Committee and expressed the following:

- focus should be given to the understorey rather than just the tree canopy in order to maintain the woodland and encourage its regeneration;
- enhanced drainage systems in order to contain ponding and flooding;
- proper enforcement of minimal impact on overshadowing and overlooking caused by new developments;
- preserve the eclectic mix in the Cooksville neighbourhood;
- proper enforcement of penalties relative to tree preservation

Councillor Nando Iannicca moved the following amendment motion which was voted on and carried.

PDC-0049-2011

1. That the report titled "Proposed Amendments to the Cooksville Neighbourhood Character Area Policies of Mississauga Official Plan" dated September 13, 2011 from the Commissioner of Planning and Building, be received.
2. That staff report back to Planning and Development Committee on the submissions made with respect to the September 13, 2011 report.
3. That the following correspondence be received:
 - (1) Email dated September 12, 2011 from Jurgis and Vida Kairys
 - (2) Letter dated September 30, 2011 from Jim Levac, Senior Associate, Weston Consulting Group Inc.
 - (3) Letter dated October 1, 2011 from A. Dean Summerville
 - (4) Letter dated October 3, 2011 from Wayne A. Summerville, solicitor for Donald A. Summerville
 - (5) Letter dated October 3, 2011 from Wayne A. Summerville
 - (6) Email dated October 3, 2011 from Aimee-Rose Tupaz, Ministry of Transport, Ontario
 - (7) Letter dated October 3, 2011 from Glen Broll, Partner Glen Schnarr & Associates Inc.

File: CD.03.COO

AMENDED (Councillor Nando Iannicca)

This public meeting was adjourned at approximately 8:05pm

RECOMMENDATION PDC-0045-2011/SEPTEMBER 6, 2011

1. That the Report dated August 16, 2011, from the Commissioner of Planning and Building regarding the applications to amend the Official Plan from "General Retail Commercial" to "General Retail Commercial - Special Site" and to change the Zoning from "C3" (General Commercial) to "C3-Exception" (General Commercial), to permit a crematorium in the existing funeral home under File OZ 09/012 W5, 1429036 Ontario Inc., 3263 Derry Road East, be received for information.
2. That the following correspondence, be received:
 - (1) Letter dated June 21, 2011 from Mayor McCallion to The Honourable John Gerretsen, Minister of Consumer Services with respect to the Funeral, Bueial and Cremation Services Act, 2002.
 - (2) Letter dated July 12, 2011 from The Honourable John Gerretsen, Minister of Consumer Services in response to Mayor McCallion's letter dated June 21, 2011.
 - (3) Email dated September 28, 2009 from Emilia Kostakova.
 - (4) Email dated November 19, 2009 from Jagir Dale.
 - (5) Email dated August 11, 2011 from Jane Linnegar.
 - (6) Email dated August 23, 2011 from Valentin Theodory.
 - (7) Email dated August 31, 2011 from Roberto Buosi.
 - (8) Email dated September 1, 2011 from Mahipal Reddy.
 - (9) Email dated September 1, 2011 from Merike Cook.
 - (10) Email dated September 2, 2011 from Ann Higgins.
 - (11) Email dated September 2, 2011 from Jackie Lane.
 - (12) Email dated September 2, 2011 from Ellen Hinks.
 - (13) Email dated September 2, 2011 from Marian Bhim.
 - (14) Email dated September 2, 2011 from Marian Svec.
 - (15) Email dated September 2, 2011 from Frank Roschel.
 - (16) Email dated September 4, 2011 from David Chaves.
 - (17) Email dated September 5, 2011 from Anthony and Vona Lancaster.
 - (18) Email dated September 6, 2011 from Bibi and Mohamed Ally.
 - (19) Email dated September 6, 2011 from Valerie Thompson.
 - (20) Email dated September 6, 2011 from Weston and Michelle Richards.
 - (21) Email dated September 6, 2011 from Jacob Jilani.
 - (22) Email dated September 6, 2011 from the Bartlett Family.
 - (23) Letter dated August 20, 2011 from Mrs. Stella C. Sherwood.
 - (24) Letter dated August 23, 2011 from W.E. and L.B. van Romondt.
 - (25) Copy of submission from Mr. Arjun Tahiliani from the May 5, 2011 Community Meeting.
 - (26) Letter dated September 1, 2011 from Mr. Arjun Tahiliani.
 - (27) Letter dated September 1, 2011 from Elsbeth Hatje.
 - (28) Copy of submission from Dolores Parker.
 - (29) Letter dated July 6, 2011 from Brad Vessey, Property Manager, Fieldgate Commercial.



UB-1(a)

- (30) Letter dated June 12, 2011 from Mr. Gurmeet Singh of Desh Bhagat Sports and Cultural Society.
 - (31) Form letters dated June 4, 2011 from the following residents supporting the subject application:
 - (i) Raghmohinder Singh Brar;
 - (ii) Major Singh Deol;
 - (iii) Gurdev Sidhu;
 - (iv) Gurdev Singh;
 - (v) Baldev Singh Dhaliwal;
 - (vi) Ajaib Singh Dhaliwal;
 - (vii) Dilbagh Singh Panha;
 - (viii) Gurdev Singh;
 - (ix) Sukhdev Singh Hansra;
 - (x) Pritam Singh Grewal;
 - (xi) Mukhtiar Singh Brar;
 - (xii) Gurnam Singh Brar;
 - (xiii) Natha Singh Dhaliwal;
 - (xiv) Bhajan Singh Gill.
 - (32) Petition dated July 2011 from Gurdial Singh, on behalf of members of the Humber Wood Senior Club in Rexdale, in support of the subject application.
 - (33) Petition dated July 2011 from Piara Singh Toor, on behalf of members of the Senior Asian Association, Mississauga, in support of the subject application.
 - (34) Petition containing 1500 signatures from Malton (Ward 5) Committee opposing the subject application.
 - (35) Email dated September 6, 2011 from Mathew Cook.
-
3. That the Commissioner of Planning and Building be directed to bring the Supplementary Report back to the Planning and Development Committee after the new legislation entitled the *Funeral, Burial and Cremation Services Act, 2002*, and associated regulations come into effect.
 4. That the Commissioner of Planning and Building be directed to prepare appropriate recommendations for consideration by Council at its next meeting on September 14, 2011 requesting that the Minister of Consumer Services consider the establishment of a minimum separation distance from a residential zone to a future crematorium as part of the implementing regulations for the *Funeral, Burial and Cremation Services Act, 2002*.

PROPOSED MOTION

(Pursuant to Recommendation PDC-0045-2011 part 4.)

"WHEREAS on August 24, 2009, the City of Mississauga received Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning Applications submitted by 1429036 Ontario Inc., to permit a proposed crematorium in the existing funeral home on 3263 Derry Road East under File OZ 09/012 W5;

AND WHEREAS these applications were deemed complete by the City on September 16, 2009;

AND WHEREAS on September 6, 2011, the City of Mississauga Planning and Development Committee held a Public Meeting pursuant to the provisions of the *Planning Act*, as amended regarding the proposal;

AND WHEREAS the legislation currently in effect, namely the *Cemeteries Act (Revised)* and the *Funeral Directors and Establishment Act* permit crematoriums only in cemeteries;

AND WHEREAS the Province has enacted the *Funeral, Burial and Cremation Services Act, 2002*, and passed related regulations which will permit crematoriums outside cemeteries;

AND WHEREAS the *Funeral, Burial and Cremation Services Act, 2002*, and related regulations are scheduled to come into force on July 1, 2012;

AND WHEREAS the regulations of the *Funeral, Burial and Cremation Services Act, 2002*, do not include a minimum separation distance requirement from a residential zone to a proposed crematorium;


AND WHEREAS the City of Mississauga is concerned about the proximity of the proposed crematorium to existing residential development;

AND WHEREAS it would be premature for the City of Mississauga to approve the proposal prior to the coming into force of the legislation and regulations that would permit a crematorium to be located outside of a cemetery;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED:

1. That the Honourable John Gerretsen, Minister of Consumer Services, be requested to amend the *Funeral, Burial and Cremation Services Act, 2002* or the regulations there under to establish minimum separation distances from proposed crematoria to other sensitive land uses.
2. That a copy of this resolution be sent to the Minister of Consumer Services, Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing, Minister of the Environment, all local Members of Provincial Parliament, Region of Peel and the local area municipalities and Association of Municipalities of Ontario(AMO)."

I-1



**COUNCIL AGENDA
OCT 12 2011**

RECEIVED

September 26, 2011

REGISTRY No. 3916

DATE SEP 28 2011

FILE No.

MAYORS OFFICE

Hazel McCallion
Mayor of Mississauga
The Corporation of the City of Mississauga
300 City Centre Drive
Mississauga, ON L5B 3C1

Dear Mayor McCallion:

As you are aware, the charities and non-profits at Meadowvale Bingo have overwhelmingly voted in favour of participating in the Revitalization of Charitable Bingo and Gaming Initiative with Ontario Lottery and Gaming Corporation. This exciting initiative will modernize the bingo industry and help to grow their revenues well into the future.

Ontario Charitable Gaming Association (OCGA) represents the majority of charities and non-profits involved in charitable gaming. Meadowvale charities have been long-time members of this provincial association. We have worked collaboratively over the last five years with the Ontario Lottery and Gaming Corporation and with our private sector partners, including Meadowvale Bingo, to develop strategies to stabilize and grow charitable bingo as a fundraising tool for Ontario charities.

We are urgently requesting that your Council support the City of Mississauga's participation in this revitalization initiative. Without your Council's approval, Meadowvale charities will be forced to seek funds from the municipality and other sources and, in fact, future fundraising capacity through charitable bingo will be in question. Meadowvale charities contribute \$1.5 million annually to your community.

ontario charitable gaming association

Office of Lynn Cassidy, Executive Director

T 416 633 9635 F 416 633 9654

20 Redmount Road Toronto, Ontario M3H 2A3

email: lcassidy@sympatico.ca

www.charitablegaming.com

I-1(a)

Our solid support behind this collaborative initiative is based on the experience and success of six revitalized bingo sites that have been in operation across Ontario over the last six years. The approximately 500 participating charities have benefited financially by raising over \$35 million since 2005. There are significant additional benefits for charities, including the ability to more effectively manage their volunteer resources and promote awareness of how the money raised benefits local communities.


Charities and non-profits across the province have seen their bingo revenues decline at a rate of 8-10% each year over the last decade. In 1998, there were over 6,000 charities raising funds at over 200 bingo facilities. Now we have just under 3,000 charities fundraising in 65 facilities and revenue shares continue to decline each year.

Modernization of the industry is essential as we recognize that charitable bingo needs new technology and new products to remain viable for our charities in future years. Without a partnership with Ontario Lottery and Gaming for technology, the *Criminal Code* restricts us to paper only products.

In closing, OCGA is urging that the City of Mississauga participate in the revitalization initiative and support the desire of the Meadowvale charities to engage in this modernization process.

Please contact me directly if you have any questions or would like further information.

Respectfully,



Lynn Cassidy, Executive Director
Ontario Charitable Gaming Association

cc. Peter Kahro, President, Meadowvale Bingo Sponsor's Association

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Receive	<input type="checkbox"/> Resolution
<input type="checkbox"/> Direction Required	<input type="checkbox"/> Resolution / By-Law
<input type="checkbox"/> Community Services <input type="checkbox"/> Corporate Services	For <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriate Action <input type="checkbox"/> Information
<input type="checkbox"/> Planning & Building <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transportation & Works	<input type="checkbox"/> Reply <input type="checkbox"/> Report

From: Telecommunications Alliance [mailto:lucie.pugliese@sympatico.ca]
Sent: 09/22/2011 2:49 PM
To: Hazel McCallion
Subject: New Area Codes in the Greater Toronto Area



September 22, 2011

City of Mississauga

We are writing to inform you of a change in the telecommunications industry that will have an impact on your constituents. Starting March 25, 2013, two new area codes will be introduced in the Greater Toronto area. In Toronto the 437 area code will be added to the 416/647 codes and the 365 area code will be introduced in the region served by 905/289 area codes.

The arrival of new area codes is the result of a ruling by the Canadian Radio-television and Telecommunications Commission (CRTC).

The ever-growing popularity of new telecommunications services and changes in the competitive environment are driving a huge increase in demand for phone numbers in the region. The introduction of a new area code will create millions of new phone numbers. The new area codes will coexist with the current ones and will cover the same geographic area. Numbers with the new area codes will only be assigned to customers for additional services on an as needed basis. Introducing a new area code does not affect local calling areas in any way.

The Telecommunications Alliance was formed to create awareness about the introduction of new area codes. The Alliance comprises Bell, Rogers, TELUS and Vidéotron. For more information about new area codes in Canada, please consult the website at: www.newareacodes.ca

We sincerely hope that this information will be helpful to you and your constituents. Should you require any additional information, please contact me at information@telecommunicationsalliance.com

Yours respectfully,

Lucie Papineau Pugliese
Secretary General
Telecommunications Alliance

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Receive	<input type="checkbox"/> Resolution
<input type="checkbox"/> Direction Required	<input type="checkbox"/> Resolution / By-Law
<input type="checkbox"/> Community Services <input type="checkbox"/> Corporate Services <input type="checkbox"/> Planning & Building <input type="checkbox"/> Transportation & Works	For <input type="checkbox"/> Appropriate Action <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information <input type="checkbox"/> Reply <input type="checkbox"/> Report

From: Hazel McCallion
Sent: September 21, 2011 12:17 PM
To: 'info@iceparkgroup.ca'
Cc: Jim Tovey; Pat Mullin; Chris Fonseca; Frank Dale; ward 5; Ron Starr; Nando Iannicca; Katie Mahoney; Pat Saito; Sue McFadden; George Carlson; Paul Mitcham
Subject: IcePark



September 21, 2011

Dear Mr. Stillich:

Thank you for your various e-mails outlining a proposal for a new icepark to be located in Mississauga. I appreciate you taking the time and effort to meet with Councillor Saito, Chair of the Mississauga/Toronto West Tourism Committee and Paul Mitcham, Commissioner of Community Services.

Councillor Saito advises that the proposal will be tabled at the next Tourism Committee meeting for discussion and review.

While the proposal has merit, it does not appear to be within the domain of the municipality insofar as capital funding and I suggest that you seek financial support in the private/commercial sector.

Staff have expressed concern with the project on the basis that the municipal share is estimated at \$50 million (33% capital development and 100% land) and further that the project is not within the capital budget. The Master Plan for Recreation (Future Directions) is silent on the matter of the proposed skate track. Additional outdoor rinks as outlined in the proposal cannot be supported.

Concern has also been expressed with regard to the proposed user fee (\$10 per person) on the basis that other similar skating attractions such as Gage Park (Brampton), Humber Park (Toronto) and the Rideau Canal (Ottawa) are all free admission. In addition, the new rink at Mississauga Celebration Square will be free to all users. Given that other similar "attractions" in the Province are no charge, staff have advised that the proposal would be high business risk.

Once again, thank you for your interest in the City and your efforts in developing the icepark proposal.

Sincerely,

HAZEL McCALLION, C.M, LL.D.
 MAYOR


cc: Members of Council
 Paul Mitcham, Commissioner, Community Services

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Receive	<input type="checkbox"/> Resolution
<input type="checkbox"/> Direction Required	<input type="checkbox"/> Resolution / By-Law
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Community Services	For
<input type="checkbox"/> Corporate Services	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriate Action
<input type="checkbox"/> Planning & Building	<input type="checkbox"/> Information
<input type="checkbox"/> Transportation & Works	<input type="checkbox"/> Reply
	<input type="checkbox"/> Report

"This e-mail may not be forwarded or shared without written permission of the author."

Crystal Greer

From: MISSISSAUGA WATCH [mississauga_watch@yahoo.com]
Sent: 2011/10/03 7:56 AM
To: Crystal Greer; Hazel McCallion; Janice Baker
Cc: Gerry Timbers; John Stewart; megan; Phinjo Gombu; mississauga_watch@yahoo.com
Subject: Regarding the Mississauga Judicial Inquiry report to be released to the media at 8 am.



[Hi Ms. Greer. I ask that you add this copy of my October 2, 2011 blog on the Mississauga Judicial Inquiry as correspondence to the next Council agenda. Thanks.]

Mississauga Judicial Inquiry report won't change Hazel McCallion's "Her Town Her Rules". As for the Inquiry's recommendations authentically implemented?...

October 2nd, 2011

Given all the hype in the papers right now about the release of the Mississauga Judicial Inquiry report tomorrow, you would be forgiven if you believed that its contents actually mattered. In the Mississauga News article, "Report could be a game changer", don't believe "game changer" for a second. Remember. We are dealing with a Commission that actually allowed Hazel McCallion to delay the release of this "After Labour Day" report until the Mayor had successfully installed yet another puppet-councillor into the Ward 5 Council chair. There are those who argue that the Mayor didn't just flee to Brazil to delay the report—the line that the Mayor's lawyer is warbling.

In the Mississauga News article, "Inquiry report delayed", reporter Louie Rosella informs us that:

McCallion's trip is part of the Greater Toronto Marketing Alliance Trade Mission to Brazil, which has been in the works since last fall, said the mayor's lawyer, Liz McIntyre.

"Last fall" is fall 2010. Yet neither McCallion or McIntyre respected Inquiry commissioner Douglas Cunningham and his commission counsel enough to inform them of this trip until mid-August.

Then there's this pathetic statement in the Mississauga News, once again courtesy of the Mayor's lawyer, who said that her client is looking forward to Monday's report.

"We expect (the recommendations) will provide useful guidance to municipal officials across the province," she said. "We hope that the Commissioner takes a fair and balanced approach, carefully considers the evidence that was presented, and makes findings based on the standards for elected officials that were reasonable and knowable at the time."

"makes findings based on the standards for elected officials that were reasonable and knowable at the time."

Shameless. Absolutely shameless.

It's just not possible to scrape lower into the bottom of the barrel than Liz McIntyre's "makes findings based on the standards for elected officials."

I-4(a)

Reminder. This isn't just some "elected official". This is the iconic Hazel "Best Mayor in the World" McCallion whose "Best City in Canada" loves to remind all other municipalities that Mississauga "leads Canada in management."

Hazel McCallion and her MYTHissauga Inc routinely trumpet a Lot of Bests. Until of course events threaten to hold them accountable to Best. Then these cowardly hypocrites wilt-and-hide behind "makes findings based on the standards for elected officials that were reasonable and knowable at the time."

As for the Mayor's lawyer's use of the weasel phrase "were reasonable and knowable at the time" (no offense to weasels) here's another reminder. This is the Mayor who goes on forever about how "we are the Best" and "we must lead by example", not to mention the City of Mississauga mantra of "Trust Quality Excellence".

In their August 2011 commentary, "Hazel's Revenge!", Mississauga News reporters had this to say about Mayor Hazel McCallion:

Politics is a dirty game and when you've been in the business for 50 years you pick up a few tricks along the way.

Tomorrow will reveal whether McCallion's latest "makes findings based on the standards for elected officials that were reasonable and knowable at the time" trick worked: Will Justice Cunningham judge McCallion's conduct as just an "elected official" or as Canada's most influential Mayor who's had over three decades of practice pretending to get it right?

As for the Inquiry's recommendations regarding municipal governance in this province? Read the Globe and Mail article, "McGuinty courts McCallion in battle for Ontario's suburbs". McGuinty knows only too well that the "fabulous" Hazel McCallion isn't one for rules let alone optics. McGuinty also knows that not only is Mississauga "Her Town Her Rules" but it's also Hazel McCallion's Province.

Inquiry's recommendations authentically implemented?...

Don't you about you but I'm not that stupid.

Signed,
MISSISSAUGAWATCH

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Receive	<input type="checkbox"/> Resolution
<input type="checkbox"/> Direction Required	<input type="checkbox"/> Resolution / By-Law
<input type="checkbox"/> Community Services	For
<input type="checkbox"/> Corporate Services	<input type="checkbox"/> Appropriate Action
<input type="checkbox"/> Planning & Building	<input type="checkbox"/> Information
<input type="checkbox"/> Transportation & Works	<input type="checkbox"/> Reply
	<input type="checkbox"/> Report

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Receive	<input type="checkbox"/> Resolution
<input type="checkbox"/> Direction Required	<input type="checkbox"/> Resolution / By-Law
<input type="checkbox"/> Community Services <input type="checkbox"/> Corporate Services	For <input type="checkbox"/> Appropriate Action <input type="checkbox"/> Information
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Planning & Building	<input type="checkbox"/> Reply
<input type="checkbox"/> Transportation & Works	<input type="checkbox"/> Report



MISSISSAUGA COUNCIL
OCT 12 2011

WRITTEN SUBMISSION

RECEIVED	
REGISTRY No.	
DATE	OCT 05 2011
FILE No.	02 09/013 W1 TM-11002 W1
CLERK'S DEPARTMENT	

File #: 02 09/013 W1 & TM-11002 W1

Owner/Applicant: SEDONA LIFESTYLES

Subject Lands: ROMETOWN + CORMACK CRES
File: 02-09-013-TM-11002 W1

Under the *Planning Act*, R.S.O. 1990, c.P.13, as amended and with respect to **Bill 51 applications**:

- i) If a person or public body does not make oral submissions at a public meeting, or make written submissions to the City of Mississauga before the by-law is passed, the person or public body is not entitled to appeal the decision of the City of Mississauga to the Ontario Municipal Board (OMB).
- ii) If a person or public body does not make oral submissions at a public meeting, or make written submissions to the City of Mississauga before the by-law is passed, the person or public body may not be added as a party to the hearing of an appeal before the OMB unless, in the opinion of the Board, there are reasonable grounds to do so.

A copy of your written submission will be forwarded to Mississauga City Council to become part of the public record with respect to the above noted matter.

The personal information requested on this form is collected under authority of the *Planning Act*, R.S.O. 1990, c.P.13, as amended, and the applicable implementing Ontario Regulation. This information will be used to inform you of future meetings including OMB Hearings regarding the above application. Questions about the collection of personal information should be directed to the Access and Privacy Officer, City of Mississauga, 300 City Centre Drive, Mississauga, ON L5B 3C1 or by telephone at 905-615-3200, Ext. 5181.

NOTE: PLEASE COMPLETE THE BACK OF THIS FORM AND PLACE IN THE DROP BOX PROVIDED OR MAIL IT TO MISSISSAUGA CITY COUNCIL AT THE ADDRESS NOTED.
ALTERNATIVELY, YOU MAY CHOOSE TO WRITE A LETTER ADDRESSED TO MISSISSAUGA CITY COUNCIL.

I-5(a)

WRITTEN SUBMISSION

File: 02 09-013W1
T-M11002W1

To: Mississauga City Council
c/o John Britto
Office of the City Clerk
300 City Centre Drive
Mississauga, ON L5B 3C1

COUNCIL AGENDA
OCT 12 2011

From:

JOHN DOORMAAL

(First and Last Name)

1539 MARIONVILLE DRIVE.

(Street #, Street Name, Unit #)

MISSISSAUGA, ONT, L5E-2Y2

(City, Province, Postal Code)

Signature:

John Doormaal

Comments:

THIS SECTION OF INFILL DEVELOPMENT IS LARGE. CORMACK, SERVICE ROAD, ROMETOWN, EXISTING BOUNDARIES. THESE LIMITS WOULD PROVIDE LAND FOR LARGE NUMBERS OF HOMES, PARHAPS 50 TO 60. I DO NOT WISH THIS ACCESS TO BE MARIONVILLE DRIVE. I REQUEST THE COMMITTEE TO NOT APPROVE THIS APPLICATION UNTIL THE MTO, DIXIE OVERPASS, CORMACK ACCESS IS DECIDED.

HOWEVER..... IF THIS APPLICATION IS APPROVED, THERE SHOULD BE A LIMITATION THAT NO ADDITIONAL APPLICATIONS WILL BE ACCEPTED THAT WILL ADD TO THIS DEVELOPMENT AND USE THE MARIONVILLE PRIVATE ROAD.

Please be informed of a proposed development
in your neighbourhood



I-6

This is to inform you that the landowner at 5118 Winston Churchill Blvd., southwest corner of Destination Drive and Winston Churchill Blvd. has applied to the City to permit 30 three-storey stacked townhouse dwellings, 20 three-storey street-related townhouse dwellings and 83 two-storey townhouse dwellings. Below is a short description of the application. The City will be processing the application as required by the Provincial *Planning Act* and we would welcome any comments you may have.



Proposal: To change the zoning for the subject lands from "D" (Development) to "RM9 - Exception" (Horizontal Multiple Dwellings with more than 6 Dwelling Units).

File: OZ 11/010 W10

Applicant: Daniels LR Corporation

Owner: Erin Mills Development Corp.

More Information: Erica Pallotta, City Planner, Planning & Building Department at 905-615-3200 Ext. 4554 or by e-mail at erica.pallotta@mississauga.ca

Notice Date: September 23, 2011

The following studies/information were submitted in support of the application:

- Concept Site Plan and Survey
- Grading and Servicing Plans
- Elevations
- Planning Rationale
- Traffic Study
- Functional Servicing Report
- Phase I Environmental Site Assessment
- Archaeological Assessment
- Noise Feasibility Study

Planning Act Requirements:

The *Planning Act* requires that all complete applications be processed.

The above-noted application is now being circulated to City Departments and Public Agents for technical review.

Once this has been completed, a report summarizing the development and the comments received will be prepared by staff and presented at a Public Meeting.

Notice of the Public Meeting will be given in accordance with the *Planning Act* requirements.

A recommendation on the application will not be presented until after the Public Meeting and all technical comments have been received.

Please contact [Mississauga City Council, c/o John Britto, Office of the City Clerk, in writing by mail at 300 City Centre Drive, Mississauga ON L5B 3C1 or by e-mail at john.britto@mississauga.ca](mailto:john.britto@mississauga.ca) if:

- you would like to forward your views on the proposed development. Written submissions will become part of the public record; or
- you wish to be notified of any upcoming meetings.

More Information:

Contact the person responsible for the file (noted above) for further details on the actual proposal.

The public may view planning documents and background material at the Planning and Building Department, Mississauga Civic Centre between 8:30 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday.

For residential applications, information regarding education and school accommodation is available from the Peel District School Board at 905-890-1099 or the Dufferin-Peel Catholic District School Board at 905-890-1221.


Marilyn Ball, Director
Development and Design Division
Planning and Building Department

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Receive	<input type="checkbox"/> Resolution
<input type="checkbox"/> Direction Required	<input type="checkbox"/> Resolution / By-Law
<input type="checkbox"/> Community Services <input type="checkbox"/> Corporate Services	For <input type="checkbox"/> Appropriate Action <input type="checkbox"/> Information <input type="checkbox"/> Reply <input type="checkbox"/> Report
<input type="checkbox"/> Planning & Building <input type="checkbox"/> Transportation & Works	

I-6(a)

GENERAL CONTEXT MAP

OZ 11/010 W10



PUBLIC MEETING AND SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT NOTICE

COUNCIL AGENDA
OCT 12 2011

Property Location: 302 Queen Street South
West side of Queen Street South, south of Princess Street

Proposal:

- To permit an office use within the existing residential dwelling;
- To change the zoning for the subject lands from "R3" (Detached Dwellings - Typical Lots) to "R3-Exception" (Detached Dwellings - Typical Lots).

This application is being considered under the Bill 51 Planning Act Requirements. (See below)

Purpose of Meeting:

To present Planning and Building Department recommendations on the above-noted application. (Note: A public meeting was held on November 15, 2010, and the details of the application were presented and the Information Report was received by the Planning and Development Committee.)

Meeting Date: Wednesday, October 26, 2011

Time: 9:00 a.m.

Meeting Place: Mississauga Civic Centre Council Chamber, 300 City Centre Drive

File: OZ 09/006 W11

Applicant/ Owner: David Brown Associates/ Pardev Holdings Inc.

More Information: Erica Pallotta, City Planner, Planning & Building Department at 905-615-3200 ext. 4554 or by e-mail at erica.pallotta@mississauga.ca

Notice Date: September 21, 2011

Planning Act Requirements

Bill 51 Applications

- If a person or public body does not make oral submissions at a public meeting, or make written submissions to the **City of Mississauga** before the by-law is passed, the person or public body is not entitled to appeal the decision of the City of Mississauga to the Ontario Municipal Board.
- If a person or public body does not make oral submissions at a public meeting, or make written submissions to the **City of Mississauga** before the by-law is passed, the person or public body may not be added as a party to the hearing of an appeal before the Ontario Municipal Board unless, in the opinion of the Board, there are reasonable grounds to do so.

Please contact **Mississauga City Council, c/o Carmela Radice, Office of the City Clerk**, in writing by mail at **300 City Centre Drive, Mississauga ON L5B 3C1**, or by fax at **905-615-4181** or by e-mail at carmela.radice@mississauga.ca by 4:00 p.m. on the Friday prior to the meeting if:

- You are unable to attend and would like to forward your views before the meeting. Written submissions will become part of the public record; or
- You wish to be notified of the adoption of the proposed Official Plan Amendment, Zoning By-law and/or Plan of Subdivision, as applicable and described above.

More Information

The public may view planning documents and background material at the Planning and Building Department, Mississauga Civic Centre between 8:30 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday.

The corporate report pertaining to this matter will be available on-line @ <http://www.mississauga.ca/portal/cityhall/councilcommittees> (as part of the Council Agenda) on the Friday prior to the meeting.

For residential applications, information regarding education and school accommodation is available from the Peel District School Board at 905-890-1099 or the Dufferin-Peel Catholic District School Board at 905-890-1221.



Edward R. Sajecki, Commissioner
Planning and Building Department

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Receive	<input type="checkbox"/> Resolution
<input type="checkbox"/> Direction Required	<input type="checkbox"/> Resolution / By-Law
<input type="checkbox"/> Community Services <input type="checkbox"/> Corporate Services	For <input type="checkbox"/> Appropriate Action <input type="checkbox"/> Information
<input type="checkbox"/> Planning & Building <input type="checkbox"/> Transportation & Works	<input type="checkbox"/> Reply <input type="checkbox"/> Report

COUNCIL AGENDA
OCT 12 2011

From: hani tawfilis [mailto:h.tawfilis@hotmail.com]
Sent: September 26, 2011 11:38 AM
To: Hazel McCallion; Jim Tovey; Pat Mullin; Frank Dale; Chris Fonseca; bcrombie@rogers.com; Ron Starr; Nando Iannicca; Katie Mahoney; Pat Saito; Sue McFadden; George Carlson
Subject: FW: Support

I attached a template letter that, after your approval and modifying any section if you would like, we can submit to the candidates in our city, Mississauga, to support having a community health centre in our city.
Thanks,
Hani Tawfilis

From: h.tawfilis@hotmail.com
To: mayor@mississauga.ca; jim.tovey@mississauga.ca; pat.mullin@mississauga.ca; frank.dale@mississauga.ca; chris.fonseca@mississauga.ca; bcrombie@rogers.com; ron.starr@mississauga.ca; nando.iannicca@mississauga.ca; katie.mahoney@mississauga.ca; pat.saito@mississauga.ca; sue.mcfadden@mississauga.ca; george.carlson@mississauga.ca
Subject: Support
Date: Thu, 22 Sep 2011 11:45:07 -0700

Her Worship Mayor Hazel McCallion
Honourable council members,

The Canadian Coptic Centre in Mississauga is part of all Mississauga community and is involved in the support of all residents of the city by having so many activities as Food bank, Schools, Day care centres, Dental care, counselling, Settlement offices, Community centres, Shelter.

For almost 3 years we are working with the Ontario Ministry of health, LIHN, and Association of Ontario Community Health Centres (AOHC) to have a community health centre in Mississauga.

Last week we collected 1600 signatures from our community supporting having a CHC in Mississauga to help the un-attached and the needy specially when we have the space to host the centre.

Election time is a good time we can ask to have this service in our city, so to support our efforts, we would like to attached to the 1600 cards we have, a number of support letters that can help.

So if possible if we can have those letters from our beloved Mayor and members of council, that will be of a great help.

Thank you for your support as always.

On behalf of the Board of the Canadian Coptic Centre.

Hani Tawfilis
h.tawfilis@hotmail.com
647-241-2245

<input type="checkbox"/> Receive	<input type="checkbox"/> Resolution
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Direction Required	<input type="checkbox"/> Resolution / By-Law
<input type="checkbox"/> Community Services <input type="checkbox"/> Corporate Services <input type="checkbox"/> Planning & Building <input type="checkbox"/> Transportation & Works	For <input type="checkbox"/> Appropriate Action <input type="checkbox"/> Information <input type="checkbox"/> Reply <input type="checkbox"/> Report

D-1(a)

Dear <<Candidates>>,

I am writing to ask for your support for the creation of a new Community Health Centre (CHC) for Mississauga. Access to primary health care is an important issue that needs attention and commitment from yourself and your political party.

Through our work in the community, <<Organization>> knows firsthand that the need for a Community Health Centre that is accessible to all residents is great in Mississauga.

Mississauga is underserved in primary health care. Our aging population coupled with the barriers seniors face in accessing services, especially wellness and prevention programs, adds to the pressures faced by local hospitals. In addition, with forty-eight percent of the population racialized and more than forty-one percent of residents who's mother tongue is a language other than English, the need for culturally and linguistically appropriate care is imperative to a healthy population and community. These and other high needs groups in the region (e.g. urban poor, ethno-cultural groups, new immigrants, individuals living with serious mental illness, and seniors) currently access primary healthcare services through emergency departments because of limited access elsewhere.

Access to a Community Health Centre in Mississauga would provide residents with this type of care and would ease the stress that is currently forced onto our local hospitals through the dependency of people on their emergency departments. There is clearly a need for broader community-based health care in Mississauga and your support and commitment to helping this become a reality for your constituents is vital.

Canadian Coptic Centre is uniquely positioned to provide the leadership for a Community Health Centre in Mississauga. Canadian Coptic Centre is a not-for-profit agency based in Mississauga and is firmly embedded in the community as a resource for all community members, as well as the Coptic community of Mississauga. The Canadian Coptic Centre offers a strong program and service-delivery base on which the proposed CHC would be built.

Your commitment and support for a Community Health Centre in Mississauga is vital to the health and wellbeing of Mississauga. I urge you to join with me and others who support the creation of a CHC in Mississauga as an effective and efficient way of responding to the complex needs of our communities.

I look forward to your response and hope that you will support the creation of a Community Health Centre in Mississauga.

Sincerely,
<<name>>
<<position>>
<<organization>>

cc:

Honourable Dalton McGuinty, Ontario Liberal Party
Mr. Tim Hudak, Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario
Ms. Andrea Horwath, New Democratic Party of Ontario
Honourable Deborah Matthews, Minister of Health and Long-Term Care
Ms. Christine Elliot, Health Critic Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario
Ms. France Gelin, Health Critic New Democratic Party of Ontario
Ms. Adrianna Tetley, Association of Ontario Health Centres

Re: Motion (e)



WHEREAS shark finning is a major cause of the slaughter of sharks in other parts of the world;

AND WHEREAS Health Canada is responsible for the safe food supply within the country;

AND WHEREAS the Canadian Food Inspection Agency (CFIA) is responsible for enforcing the food safety policies and standards set by Health Canada;

AND WHEREAS the CFIA maintains a list of fish species that are allowed into Canada, including numerous species of sharks;

AND WHEREAS the United Nations Environment Programmes (UNEP) mandate is to help governments further develop and strengthen their national, subregional and regional policies, laws and institutions underpinning environmental governance and to help national governments to use partnerships to harness a results-oriented approach to address environmental challenges;

AND WHEREAS the UNEP helps governments develop and strengthen their judicial, political and legal systems to provide a sound basis for environmental governance;

AND WHEREAS the UNEP's mandate is to work with States and other stakeholders to strengthen their laws and institutions, helping them achieve environmental goals, targets and objectives.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

- 1) Health Canada be requested to prohibit the import of shark fins and shark fin food products into Canada.
- 2) The Canadian Food Inspection Agency be tasked with vigorously enforcing the ban on the import of shark fins and shark fin food products into Canada.
- 3) The Council of the City of Mississauga forward a copy of this resolution to other municipalities in the GTA seeking their support.
- 4) The Council of the City of Mississauga forward a copy of this resolution to the United Nations seeking its support.
- 5) The City of Mississauga requests other municipalities to join with the City in lobbying the Federal government to take the necessary actions for a ban on shark finning.

Re: Notice of Motion



Whereas the City of Mississauga has established an Urban Design Advisory Panel of well respected and knowledgeable professionals in planning, design, architecture, landscape architecture and transportation;

And Whereas the Urban Design Advisory Panel's Terms of Reference delegate to them the responsibility to provide comment only, to Planning and Building Department staff on planning applications;

And Whereas development applications not approved or in line with Mississauga's Official Plan are presented to the Urban Design Advisory Panel for comment;

And Whereas the recent Ontario Municipal Board Decision on the Satellite property in Ward 2 considered comments from the Urban Design Advisory Panel in their final decision, and

And Whereas those comments were one factor in the Ontario Municipal Boards findings against the City of Mississauga;

And Whereas it must be considered that future Ontario Municipal Board hearings may consider comments by the Urban Design Advisory Panel in rendering their decision;

Now Therefore be it Resolved, that the Planning and Building Department be directed to revisit the Terms of Reference for the Mississauga Urban Design Advisory Panel and bring a report to a future Planning and Development Committee meeting with recommendations.

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Jim Tovey".