AGENDA

SESSION 6

THE COUNCIL OF

THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF MISSISSAUGA
(www.mississauga.ca)

WEDNESDAY, April 11, 2012 - 9:00 A.M.

COUNCIL CHAMBER
300 CITY CENTRE DRIVE
MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO L5B 3C1

Contact: Carmela Radice, Legislative Coordinator, Office of the City Clerk
Telephone: 905-615-3200, ext. 5426; carmela.radice@mississauga.ca
Note: Council will recess for lunch between 12 noon and 1:00 p.m.

1. CALL TO ORDER

2. DISCLOSURES OF DIRECT OR INDIRECT PECUNIARY INTEREST

3. MINUTES OF PREVIOUS COUNCIL MEETINGS
   (a) March 28, 2012

4. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

5. PRESENTATIONS
   (a) Federation of Canadian Municipalities Andree Boucher Memorial Award

   Councillor Pam McConnell of the City of Toronto and Chair of the
   Federation of Canadian Municipalities Standing Committee on Increasing
   Women's Participation in Local Government will be presenting the Andrée
   Boucher Memorial Award to Daniella Dávila Aquije, for her essay on First
   World Countries Do Not Guarantee First Class Democracies: A
   Comparison between Female Political Representation in Canada and
   Sweden. Ms. Dávila Aquije is a student at Queen’s University and a
   Mississauga Ward 9 resident.

   Information Item I-1

6. DEPUTATIONS
   (a) Tax Adjustments

   There may be persons in attendance who wish to address Council re: Tax
   Adjustments pursuant to Sections 334, 357 and 358 of the Municipal
   Act.

   Corporate Report R-1

   (b) Courtneypark Library Youth Drop-in

   James Caba, student and youth participant and Bryan Bellosillo, Youth
   Liaison will present to Council how the programs Chill Zone and Basketball
   drop ins create a safe haven and a place for the youth to release stress
   and how it encourages the partnerships between the Courtneypark
   Library, the City and St. Marcellinus Secondary School.
(c)  Carassauga

Heather Grand, Chair of Carassauga will present to Council this year’s event programming.

(d)  Canadian Labour Congress

The following individuals will appear before Council with respect to the Comprehensive and Economic Trade Agreement (CETA) between Canada and the European Union:

(i)  Gogi Bhandal, Regional Representative from the Canadian Labour Congress
(ii) James McDowell, Vice-President, Brampton-Mississauga & District Labour Council
(iii) Angelo Di Caro, Canadian Auto Workers Union

Direction Item D-1

7.  PUBLIC QUESTION PERIOD – 15 Minute Limit
(In accordance with Section 36 of the City of Mississauga Procedure By-law 0412-2003, as amended, Council may grant permission to a person who is present at Council and wishes to address Council on a matter on the Agenda. Persons addressing Council with a question should limit preamble to a maximum of two statements sufficient to establish the context for the question. Leave must be granted by Council to deal with any matter not on the Agenda.)

8.  CORPORATE REPORTS


Recommendation:

That the tax adjustments outlined in Appendix 1 attached to the report dated March 21, 2012 from the Commissioner of Corporate Services & Treasurer for applications for cancellation or refund of taxes pursuant to Sections 334, 357 & 358 of the Municipal Act, be adopted.

Motion
R-2 Report dated March 19, 2012, from the Commissioner of Planning and Building re: Supplementary Report - Rezoning and Draft Plan of Subdivision Applications to permit 11 detached dwellings on a common element condominium private road 4583, 4589 and 4601 Mississauga Road East side of Mississauga Road, south of Eglinton Avenue West Owner: 2164566 Ontario Inc. (Hush Homes) (formerly Glenstream Developments Inc. and Allan Fox) Applicant: 2164566 Ontario Inc. (Hush Homes) Bill 51 (Ward 8)

Recommendation:

That the Report dated March 19, 2012, from the Commissioner of Planning and Building recommending approval of the applications under Files OZ 09/004 W8 and T-M09002 W8, 2164566 Ontario Inc. (Hush Homes), 4583, 4589 and 4601 Mississauga Road East side of Mississauga Road, south of Eglinton Avenue West, be adopted in accordance with the following:

1. That notwithstanding that subsequent to the public meeting, changes to the applications have been proposed, Council considers that the changes do not require further notice and, therefore, pursuant to the provisions of subsection 34(17) of the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, c.P.13, as amended, any further notice regarding the proposed amendment is hereby waived.

2. That the application to change the Zoning from "R1" (Detached Dwellings - Typical Lots) and "G1" (Greenbelt - Natural Hazards) to "R16 - Exception" (Detached Dwellings on a CEC - Private Road), "G1" (Greenbelt - Natural Hazards) and "G2-4 (Exception)" (Greenbelt - Natural Features) to permit 11 detached dwellings on a common element condominium private road and to recognize the greenbelt lands be approved subject to the following conditions:

   (a) That the draft plan of subdivision be approved;

   (b) That the applicant agree to satisfy all the requirements of the City and any other official agency concerned with the development;
(c) That the school accommodation condition as outlined in City of Mississauga Council Resolution 152-98 requiring that satisfactory arrangements regarding the adequate provision and distribution of educational facilities have been made between the developer/applicant and the Peel District and Dufferin-Peel Catholic District School Boards not apply to the subject lands;

(d) That the permitted uses and development standards shall be consistent with those outlined in Appendix S-7.

3. That the Plan of Subdivision under file T-M09002 W8, be recommended for approval subject to the conditions contained in Appendix S-8, attached to the report dated March 19, 2012, from the Commissioner of Planning and Building.

4. That the decision of Council for approval of the rezoning application be considered null and void, and a new development application be required unless a zoning by-law is passed within 36 months of the Council decision.

Motion

9. COMMITTEE REPORTS


Motion

(b) General Committee Report 7-2012 dated April 4, 2012.

Motion

(c) Public Meeting extracts from the Planning & Development Committee meeting dated April 2, 2012:

(i) City Initiated Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning – Fieldgate Drive and Audubon Boulevard, PUBLIC MEETING (Ward 3)
File: CD.04.FOR
(ii) A By - law respecting Construction, Demolition and Change of Use Permits (The Building By-law)

(iii) SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT
Rezoning Application - to permit employment uses including offices, manufacturing and warehousing, 6250 Hurontario Street, west side of Hurontario Street, north of Highway 401.
Owner: Heartland (Seven) Limited
Applicant: Goldberg Group, Bill 51, (Ward 5)
File: OZ 10/009 W5

10. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

11. PETITIONS

P-1 Petition received at the Office of the City Clerk on April 4, 2012, containing approximately 195 signatures opposing the no parking from 10am – 1pm Sundays Only sign. (Ward 1)

Receive and refer to Transportation and Works

12. CORRESPONDENCE

(a) Information Items: I-1-I-2

(b) Direction Item

D-1 Email dated January 30, 2012, from Regional Representative of the Canadian Labour Congress requesting Council to endorse the resolution they have prepared for the Comprehensive and Economic Trade Agreement (CETA) between Canada and the European Union.

Direction Required

13. MOTIONS

(a) To approve recommendations from the following Committee Reports:


(b) To adopt the tax adjustments outlined in Appendix 1 attached to the report dated March 21, 2012 from the Commissioner of Corporate Services & Treasurer for applications for cancellation or refund of taxes pursuant to Sections 334, 357 & 358 of the Municipal Act.

Corporate Report R-1

(c) To adopt the Report dated March 19, 2012, from the Commissioner of Planning and Building recommending approval of the applications under Files OZ 09/004 W8 and T-M09002 W8, 2164566 Ontario Inc. (Hush Homes), 4583, 4589 and 4601 Mississauga Road East side of Mississauga Road, south of Eglinton Avenue West.

Corporate Report R-2

14. **BY-LAWS**

B-1 A by-law respecting Construction, Demolition and Change of Use Permits and to repeal By-law 0029-2011 as amended.

PDC-0025-2012/April 2, 2012

B-2 A by-law to transfer funds from the Benares House Endowment Reserve Fund (Account 35515), within the Miscellaneous Contributions Reserve Fund (Account 35515) to the Benares Conservation and Preservation of an Artifact Project (PN12-498) (Ward 2).

GC-0228-2012/April 4, 2012

B-3 A by-law to adopt Mississauga Plan (Official Plan) Amendment No. 124, specifically the Cooksville District Policies of Mississauga Plan (Official Plan) and Schedule 5 – designated Right of Way Widths, of the City of Mississauga Planning Area on the northeast corner of North Service Road and Cliff Road (Owner: Gemini Urbadn Design (Cliff) Corp.) (Applicant: Weston Consulting Group) (Ward7).

Resolution 0028-2012/March 7, 2012
B-4  A by-law to amend By-law 0225-2007, as amended to permit an eleven storey, 140 unit retirement dwelling and a maximum of 54 townhouse dwelling units and permit convenience commercial facility and will recognize the sitting of the existing fitness and medical office building and will permit retail, service commercial, and office uses on the northeast corner of North Service Road and Cliff Road file no. OZ 06/019 W7(Owner: Gemini Urban Design (Cliff) Corp.) (Applicant: Weston Consulting Group) (Ward 7).

Resolution 0028-2012/March 7, 2012

B-5  A by-law to authorize the execution of a Servicing Agreement for municipal works only and other related documents between 2725312 Canada Inc. and The Corporation of the City of Mississauga south and west sides of Creditview Road, north of Highway 401 (Owner: 2725312 Canada Inc.) (Applicant: Bentall Kennedy (Canada) Ltd.) (SP 11/061/W11) (Ward 11)

SP 11/061

B-6  A by-law to amend the Purchasing By-law 374-06 as amended under the Authority of the Municipal Act, 2011 S.O. C. 25 with respect to the City’s acquisition of goods and services.

GC-0205-2012/April 4, 2012

15.  **OTHER BUSINESS**

16.  **INQUIRIES**

17.  **NOTICE OF MOTION**

18.  **CLOSED SESSION**

   (a)  Pursuant to the *Municipal Act*, Section 239. (2)

   (i)  Personal matters about an identifiable individual, including municipal or local board employees re: *Verbal Report from Mayor McCollion*.

19.  **CONFIRMATORY BY-LAW**

A by-law to confirm the proceedings of the Council of The Corporation of the City of Mississauga at its meeting held on April 11, 2012.

20.  **ADJOURNMENT**
DATE: March 21, 2012

TO: Mayor and Members of Council
Meeting Date: April 11, 2012

FROM: Brenda R. Breault, CMA, MBA
Commissioner of Corporate Services & Treasurer

SUBJECT: Tax Adjustments Pursuant to Sections 334, 357 and 358

RECOMMENDATION: That the tax adjustments outlined in Appendix 1 attached to the report dated March 21, 2012 from the Commissioner of Corporate Services & Treasurer for applications for cancellation or refund of taxes pursuant to Sections 334, 357 & 358 of the Municipal Act, be adopted.

BACKGROUND: Sections 334, 357 & 358 of the Municipal Act, 2001, S.O. 2001, c.25 allow a property owner or the Treasurer to make application for the cancellation, reduction or refund of taxes for a number of specific reasons. Taxes may be adjusted when a building has been demolished or razed by fire or if a property has become exempt, changed class or has been overcharged by reason of gross or manifest error.

COMMENTS: A total of 26 applications for tax adjustments have been prepared for Council's consideration on Wednesday, April 11, 2012.

The total cancellation or refund of taxes as recommended is $194,669.48. Appendix 1 outlines the tax cancellations being recommended by property and summarizes by appeal reason the number of applications and tax dollars recommended for reduction.
FINANCIAL IMPACT: The City's portion of the cancellations resulting from the Section 334, 357 and 358 tax adjustments is $33,343.58.


Brenda R. Breault, CMA, MBA
Commissioner of Corporate Services & Treasurer

Prepared By: Connie Mesih, Manager, Revenue and Taxation
## Appendix 1

### Tax Appeals Pursuant to the Municipal Act

*For Hearing On April 11, 2012*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Appeal No</th>
<th>Roll No</th>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Reason for Appeal</th>
<th>Tax Adjustment Totals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section 334: 2007</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8157</td>
<td>05-04-0-098-02660-0000</td>
<td>RUNNYMEDE DEVELOPMENT</td>
<td>0 MEADOWPINE BLVD</td>
<td>capping gross/manifest error</td>
<td>-14,254.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-14,254.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-14,254.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section 357: 2008</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8046</td>
<td>05-05-0-113-16368-0000</td>
<td>ENCHANTMENTS GIFT AND DECO</td>
<td>0 AIRPORT RD</td>
<td>Became exempt</td>
<td>-1,430.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-1,430.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section 357: 2009</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8099</td>
<td>05-05-0-113-16354-0000</td>
<td>SPANX STOP INC</td>
<td>0 AIRPORT RD</td>
<td>Became exempt</td>
<td>-2,367.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8101</td>
<td>05-05-0-113-16383-0000</td>
<td>DICA ACCESSORIES INC</td>
<td>0 AIRPORT RD</td>
<td>Became exempt</td>
<td>-2,592.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-4,960.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section 357: 2010</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8102</td>
<td>05-05-0-113-16355-0000</td>
<td>SANDS HOME DECOR</td>
<td>0 AIRPORT RD</td>
<td>Became exempt</td>
<td>-2,258.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8100</td>
<td>05-05-0-113-16356-0000</td>
<td>TLT ACCESSORIES LTD</td>
<td>0 AIRPORT RD</td>
<td>Became exempt</td>
<td>-1,696.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-3,954.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section 357: 2011</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7951</td>
<td>05-02-0-036-12600-0000</td>
<td>AMALSADIA DHARMESH</td>
<td>1979 BALSAM AVE</td>
<td>Became exempt</td>
<td>-15.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7872</td>
<td>05-04-0-098-05820-0000</td>
<td>PINNACLE INTERNATIONAL (ON</td>
<td>0 EGLINTON AVE W</td>
<td>Became exempt</td>
<td>-231.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Tax Appeals Pursuant to the Municipal Act

**For Hearing On April 11, 2012**

**Corporate Services**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Appeal No</th>
<th>Roll No</th>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Reason for Appeal</th>
<th>Tax Adjustment Totals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7890</td>
<td>05-04-0-098-25850-0000</td>
<td>NC WAREHOUSE INC</td>
<td>0 DERRY RD W S/S</td>
<td>Became exempt</td>
<td>-3,099.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8089</td>
<td>05-04-0-108-02352-0000</td>
<td>BARRY BRENT</td>
<td>2601 QUAIL'S RUN</td>
<td>gross/manifest error</td>
<td>-210.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7922</td>
<td>05-04-0-141-16393-0000</td>
<td>THARANI NARESH</td>
<td>3504 HURONTARIO ST 3801</td>
<td>gross/manifest error</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8149</td>
<td>05-05-0-113-14700-0000</td>
<td>SKY SERVICE FBO INC</td>
<td>2450 DERRY RD E 6</td>
<td>gross/manifest error</td>
<td>-159,444.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7998</td>
<td>05-05-0-115-16350-0000</td>
<td>1045125 ONTARIO INC</td>
<td>7550 TORBRAHM RD</td>
<td>Became exempt</td>
<td>-159.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7972</td>
<td>05-05-0-116-45505-0000</td>
<td>ORLANDO CORP</td>
<td>1475 COURTNEY PARK DR E</td>
<td>Became exempt</td>
<td>-41.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8052</td>
<td>05-06-0-125-01000-0000</td>
<td>AL SABBAGH AHMAD</td>
<td>2560 CONFEDERATION PKY</td>
<td>gross/manifest error</td>
<td>-3,842.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7958</td>
<td>05-06-0-131-08800-0000</td>
<td>BELAN FRANK</td>
<td>2701 MISSISSAUGA RD</td>
<td>Became exempt</td>
<td>-57.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8056</td>
<td>05-07-0-052-12600-0000</td>
<td>MISSISSAUGA CITY</td>
<td>0 GREENHURST AVE E/S</td>
<td>gross/manifest error</td>
<td>-288.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7961</td>
<td>05-07-0-053-13020-0000</td>
<td>HORNER DEVELOPMENTS LIMITE</td>
<td>1655 QUEENSWAY E</td>
<td>Became exempt</td>
<td>-478.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7824</td>
<td>05-07-0-054-14300-0000</td>
<td>SAMUEL SON &amp; CO LTD</td>
<td>2360 DIXIE RD</td>
<td>Became exempt</td>
<td>-448.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7864</td>
<td>05-09-0-003-03800-0000</td>
<td>PIONEER PETROLEUM MANAGEM</td>
<td>150 LAKESHORE RD E</td>
<td>Became exempt</td>
<td>-153.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8044</td>
<td>05-15-0-070-14534-0000</td>
<td>MISSISSAUGA CITY</td>
<td>0 BLOOMINGTON CRES</td>
<td>gross/manifest error</td>
<td>-1,186.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7822</td>
<td>05-15-0-088-06000-0000</td>
<td>DERRY BRITANNIA DEVELOPMENT</td>
<td>6588 NINTH LINE</td>
<td>Became exempt</td>
<td>-4.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total** -169,664.66

**Section Total** -180,010.05

**Section 358 : 2009**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Appeal No</th>
<th>Roll No</th>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Reason for Appeal</th>
<th>Tax Adjustment Totals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8087</td>
<td>05-04-0-108-02352-0000</td>
<td>BARRY BRENT</td>
<td>2601 QUAIL'S RUN</td>
<td>gross/manifest error</td>
<td>-200.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total** -200.78

**Section 358 : 2010**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Appeal No</th>
<th>Roll No</th>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Reason for Appeal</th>
<th>Tax Adjustment Totals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8088</td>
<td>05-04-0-108-02352-0000</td>
<td>BARRY BRENT</td>
<td>2601 QUAIL'S RUN</td>
<td>gross/manifest error</td>
<td>-204.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total** -204.48
Tax Appeals Pursuant to the Municipal Act  
Appendix 1

For Hearing On April 11, 2012

Corporate Services

Tax Adjustment Totals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section 334</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>-14,254.15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section 357</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>-1,430.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>-4,960.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>-3,954.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>-169,664.66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 358</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>-200.78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>-204.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Grand Total: -194,669.46
### Summary of Tax Adjustment by Type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Became exempt</td>
<td>-15,036.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>capping gross/manifest error</td>
<td>-14,254.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>gross/manifest error</td>
<td>-165,378.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>-194,669.46</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DATE: March 19, 2012

TO: Mayor and Members of Council  
Meeting Date: April 11, 2012

FROM: Edward R. Sajecki  
Commissioner of Planning and Building

SUBJECT: Rezoning and Draft Plan of Subdivision Applications  
To permit 11 detached dwellings on a common element condominium private road  
4583, 4589 and 4601 Mississauga Road  
East side of Mississauga Road, south of Eglinton Avenue West  
Owner: 2164566 Ontario Inc. (Hush Homes) (formerly Glenstream Developments Inc. and Allan Fox)  
Applicant: 2164566 Ontario Inc. (Hush Homes)  
Bill 51

Supplementary Report  
Ward 8

RECOMMENDATION: That the Report dated March 19, 2012, from the Commissioner of Planning and Building recommending approval of the applications under Files OZ 09/004 W8 and T-M09002 W8, 2164566 Ontario Inc. (Hush Homes), 4583, 4589 and 4601 Mississauga Road, east side of Mississauga Road, south of Eglinton Avenue West, be adopted in accordance with the following:

1. That notwithstanding that subsequent to the public meeting, changes to the applications have been proposed, Council considers that the changes do not require further notice and, therefore, pursuant to the provisions of subsection 34(17) of
the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, c.P.13, as amended, any further notice regarding the proposed amendment is hereby waived.

2. That the application to change the Zoning from "R1" (Detached Dwellings - Typical Lots) and "G1" (Greenbelt - Natural Hazards) to "R16 - Exception" (Detached Dwellings on a CEC - Private Road), "G1" (Greenbelt - Natural Hazards) and "G2-4 (Exception)" (Greenbelt - Natural Features) to permit 11 detached dwellings on a common element condominium private road and to recognize the greenbelt lands be approved subject to the following conditions:

(a) That the draft plan of subdivision be approved;

(b) That the applicant agree to satisfy all the requirements of the City and any other official agency concerned with the development;

(c) That the school accommodation condition as outlined in City of Mississauga Council Resolution 152-98 requiring that satisfactory arrangements regarding the adequate provision and distribution of educational facilities have been made between the developer/applicant and the Peel District and Dufferin-Peel Catholic District School Boards not apply to the subject lands;

(d) That the permitted uses and development standards shall be consistent with those outlined in Appendix S-7.

3. That the Plan of Subdivision under file T-M09002 W8, be recommended for approval subject to the conditions contained in Appendix S-8, attached to the report dated March 19, 2012, from the Commissioner of Planning and Building.

4. That the decision of Council for approval of the rezoning application be considered null and void, and a new development application be required unless a zoning by-law is passed within 36 months of the Council decision.
BACKGROUND: A public meeting was held by the Planning and Development Committee on June 28, 2010, at which time a Planning and Building Department Information Report (Appendix S-1) was presented and received for information.

At the Public Meeting, the Planning and Development Committee passed Recommendation PDC-0037-2010 which was subsequently adopted by Council and is attached as Appendix S-2.

Since the Information Report was prepared, Hush Homes has purchased the subject lands from Glenstream Developments Inc. and Allan Fox. The land use map (Appendix S-3) has been revised to conform with modifications to the greenbelt buffer that have been requested by the new applicant and supported by the City and Credit Valley Conservation (see the Zoning section of this Report for details).

The applicant has made other minor revisions to the Concept Plan and proposed Draft Plan of Subdivision (see Appendices S-4 and S-5, respectively). These changes include replacement of the proposed cul-de-sac at the end of the condominium road with a hammerhead design, lot and building footprint modifications, increased side yard setbacks, the introduction of a sidewalk on the north side of the condominium road and removal of the three visitor parking spaces.

COMMENTS: See Appendix S-1 - Information Report prepared by the Planning and Building Department.

COMMUNITY ISSUES

One written comment was received by an area resident regarding the subject applications. Additionally, the Planning and Development Committee provided comments at the June 28, 2010 public meeting. Below is a summary of the comments received and corresponding responses.
Concern was expressed that the proposal would be located partially within the Credit River valley on lands owned by the Roman Catholic Church of Croatian Martyrs.

Response

The subject proposal is for the lands immediately south of the church and development would not occur within the Credit River valley system.

Comment

The applicant was questioned as to why building elevations have not been provided to date.

Response

Preliminary building elevations have been provided by the new landowner and are found in Appendix S-6.

Comment

Will fencing be installed beside the Credit River valley to protect it from the impact of trespassing?

Response

A 1.5 m (4.9 ft.) high, black vinyl chain link fence is required to be erected by the developer between the residential lots and the Greenbelt lands that form part of the valley system. The valley lands are required to be gratuitously dedicated to the City for conservation purposes.
UPDATED AGENCY AND CITY DEPARTMENT COMMENTS

Credit Valley Conservation (CVC)

Comments updated February 21, 2012 state the following:

- The limits of the proposed Greenbelt dedication (Block 12) have been confirmed by a satisfactory slope stability study and a maintenance easement provided. These lands are to be dedicated to the municipality and zoned as "G1" (Greenbelt - Natural Hazards);

- An ecological buffer has been delineated to assist in tree preservation efforts. Given that the limits of development have been confirmed through the subdivision review process, CVC staff support a Zoning Exemption to permit a pool within 1.5 m (4.9 ft.) of the "G1" (Greenbelt - Natural Hazards) or "G2-4 (Exception)" (Greenbelt - Natural Features) zone on Lots 5, 6 and 7;

- Details of the proposed storm sewer outlet and required landscape restoration are to be addressed in the required engineering agreement and the works constructed prior to the registration of the plan of subdivision;

- CVC permits are required for the proposed development and the construction of the storm sewer outlet.

Transportation and Works Department

Comments updated February 16, 2012 state that a Traffic Impact Study dated December 29, 2008 by LMM Engineering Limited and a subsequent update to the original report have been received. The review of the traffic impacts confirmed that the surrounding road network, subject to certain improvements, has sufficient capacity to accommodate this development. Possible alternatives to the proposed road pattern, involving interconnecting access schemes with the adjacent lands to the north were explored by staff,
however these options were not supported by the affected landowners.

This Department has also reviewed a number of grading and servicing proposals by two different applicants for the lands over the years. The proposed grading of the site involves the construction of a retaining wall across the northerly boundary of the site and some minor re-grading of the Parish of Croatian Martyrs Church site immediately adjacent to the north. The applicant has provided a letter of approval from the church with respect to the grading works to be undertaken on that property. To avoid further disruption of the Credit River valley corridor it is proposed to discharge storm drainage from the site to an existing storm sewer outlet location further to the north.

It is noted that all lots will require provisions for the future installation of central air conditioning units due to noise impacts associated with Mississauga Road and Eglinton Avenue West; and that 2.0 m (6.6 ft.) high acoustic fences will be required across the rear and/or flankages of Lots 1 and 7-11 inclusive, to mitigate noise in the outdoor living areas for these lots.

In the event these applications are approved by Council, the applicant will be required to enter into a Servicing Agreement for Municipal Works Only with the City and the Region of Peel for the construction of the external storm and sanitary works required in support of the applications and the construction of a centre left turn lane on Mississauga Road in support of the proposed access. The final grading and construction of the on-site private condominium road will be reviewed as part of the Site Plan review and approval process.

**Community Services Department**

Comments updated February 16, 2012 state that the applicant has submitted a satisfactory Heritage Impact Statement. Should the applications be approved, all other outstanding technical requirements will be dealt with prior to registration of the plan of subdivision.
PLANNING COMMENTS

Official Plan

The proposal conforms to the "Residential - Low Density I" and "Greenbelt" land use designations of the Central Erin Mills District as outlined in the Information Report (see Appendix S-1) and does not require an amendment to the Mississauga Plan policies.

The intent of the Interim Residential Policies is achieved, as the proposal is compatible with the scale and character of the adjacent land uses. The provision of large detached dwellings on relatively generous sized lots maintains the neighbourhood character. Ample building setbacks to Mississauga Road are consistent with the Mississauga Road corridor and associated Scenic Route policies in the City's Official Plan. The building elevations of the two homes facing Mississauga Road have been designed as front elevations with main entrances (Appendix S-6, Pages 1 and 2). Staff have also worked with the applicant to increase the side yard setbacks proposed for each lot in order to achieve more space and landscaping between the homes.

The Environmental policies of Mississauga Plan are supported, as the valley lands will be conveyed gratuitously to the City.

Mississauga Official Plan (2011)

Mississauga Official Plan (2011) was adopted by City Council on September 29, 2010 and partially approved by the Region on September 22, 2011. Mississauga Official Plan (2011) has been appealed in its entirety and, as such, the existing Mississauga Plan (2003) remains in effect. Although the applications were submitted under Mississauga Plan, regard should be given to the new Mississauga Official Plan, which designates the subject lands as "Residential - Low Density I" and "Greenbelt". These designations permit:

- "Residential - Low Density I": detached, semi-detached and duplex dwellings with no maximum density regulations;
"Greenbelt": conservation, water-related facilities (e.g. bridges), flood and/or erosion works, passive recreational activities, parkland, piped services, and accessory uses.

The subject proposal conforms to the lands use designations and associated policies of Mississauga Official Plan.

Zoning

The proposed "R16-Exception" (Detached Dwellings on a CEC - Private Road), "G1" (Greenbelt) and "G2-4 (Exception)" (Greenbelt - Natural Features) zone categories are appropriate to accommodate the proposed development of 11 detached dwellings on a common element condominium private road and to recognize the greenbelt lands. These zones conform to the land use designations and policies of Mississauga Plan.

In order to ensure development that is consistent with the Revised Concept Plan and Draft Plan of Subdivision, it is recommended that the site-specific development standards identified in Appendix S-7 be adopted. These standards will regulate the number of units, lot sizes, frontages, building setbacks and parking. They represent minor changes from the proposed zoning standards presented in the Information Report and have been established with input from City staff and the applicant. The applicant has amended their proposal by requesting the zoning standards found in Appendix S-7.

The applicant has requested that a small portion of the greenbelt buffer zone be incorporated into three of the rear lots (Lots 5, 6 and 7) and remain in private ownership. CVC and City staff are satisfied with this minor revision, which requires a "G2-4 (Exception)" (Greenbelt - Natural Features) zone for implementation.

Consistent with staff's request, interior side yard setbacks have been increased from 1.5 m (4.9 ft.) to 1.8 m (5.9 ft.) to provide more space and landscaping between each dwelling. Also, the setback between the north property line and the proposed dwelling...
on Lot 7 has been increased from 1.5 m (4.9 ft.) to 4.5 m (14.8 ft.). This additional space will produce a better interface with the church property and will provide for an access easement as requested by the City.

Given the intent to have two car garages for each dwelling, a separate area for visitor parking is unnecessary. In order to ensure that there will be adequate parking for both residents and visitors, it is recommended that the Zoning By-law require three resident parking spaces per unit instead of two spaces, which is the base "R16" (Detached Dwellings on a CEC-Private Road) zone parking standard. As the applicant has demonstrated that there is sufficient contiguous space for trees, landscaping and utilities, the proposal for dual ("his and hers") attached garages is supportable for a maximum of five of the detached dwellings. Additional site development details will be finalized through the site plan approval process, including landscape treatment adjacent to Mississauga Road.

**Green Development Initiatives**

The applicant has identified that the following green development initiatives will be incorporated into the development:

- permeable pavement driveways to increase water infiltration (proposed to be incorporated into zoning by-law requirements);
- solar and wind powered streetlights;
- geothermal home and hot water heating for every home;
- energy efficient construction (to supersede Energy Star level construction standard).

**Draft Plan of Subdivision**

The proposed plan of subdivision was reviewed by City Departments and agencies and is acceptable subject to certain conditions as outlined in Appendix S-8.
Since the lands are the subject of a Draft Plan of Subdivision under File T-M09002 W8, development will be subject to the completion of services and registration of the plan.

**FINANCIAL IMPACT:**

Development charges will be payable in keeping with the requirements of the applicable Development Charges By-law of the City as well as financial requirements of any other official agency concerned with the development of the lands.

**CONCLUSION:**

In accordance with subsection 34(17) of the *Planning Act*, R.S.O. 1990, c.P. 13, as amended, Council is given authority to determine if further public notice is required. Since the applicant's modifications to the Draft Plan of Subdivision and Concept Plan are minor, it is recommended that no further public meeting need be held regarding the proposed changes.

The proposed rezoning and draft plan of subdivision are acceptable from a planning standpoint and should be approved for the following reasons:

1. The proposal for detached dwellings on a common element condominium private road is compatible with the surrounding land uses based on the provision of detached dwellings within an existing low density residential neighbourhood at a density that is lower than the maximum density permitted in the Official Plan for the lands.

2. The proposed "R16-Exception" (Detached Dwellings on a CEC - Private Road), "G1" (Greenbelt – Natural Hazards) and "G2-4 (Exception)" (Greenbelt – Natural Features) are appropriate zone categories to accommodate the requested uses and meet the overall intent, goals and objectives of Mississauga Plan and Mississauga Official Plan.

3. The proposal provides for additional greenbelt zoning for the property, which will assist in protecting the Credit River valley. The gratuitous land dedication to the City of these environmental lands will ensure their long-term preservation.
4. The draft plan of subdivision provides for an efficient use of land and services and results in the orderly development of the lands at an appropriate density and scale.

ATTACHMENTS:

Appendix S-1: Information Report
Appendix S-2: Recommendation PDC-0037-2010
Appendix S-3: Revised Land Use Map
Appendix S-4: Revised Concept Plan
Appendix S-5: Revised Draft Plan of Subdivision
Appendix S-6: Preliminary Building Elevations – Typical
Appendix S-7: Recommended Zoning Provisions
Appendix S-8: Conditions of Draft Plan Approval

Edward R. Sajecki
Commissioner of Planning and Building

Prepared By: Ben Phillips, Development Planner
DATE: June 8, 2010

TO: Chair and Members of Planning and Development Committee
Meeting Date: June 28, 2010

FROM: Edward R. Sajecki
Commissioner of Planning and Building

SUBJECT: Information Report
Rezoning and Draft Plan of Subdivision Applications
To permit 11 detached dwellings on a common element condominium private road
4583, 4589 and 4601 Mississauga Road
East side of Mississauga Road, south of Eglinton Avenue West
Owner: Glenstream Developments Inc. and Allan Fox
Applicant: Glen Schnarr and Associates Inc.
Bill 51

Public Meeting Ward 8

RECOMMENDATION: That the Report dated June 8, 2010, from the Commissioner of Planning and Building regarding the application under file OZ 09/004 W8 to change the Zoning from "R1" (Detached Dwellings - Typical Lots) and "G1" (Greenbelt - Natural Hazards) to "R16 - Exception" (Detached Dwellings on a CEC - Private Road), "G1" (Greenbelt - Natural Hazards) and "G2-1" (Greenbelt - Natural Features) and a Draft Plan of Subdivision under file T-M09002 W8 to permit 11 detached dwellings on a common element condominium private road, Glenstream Developments Inc. and Allan Fox, 4583, 4589 and 4601 Mississauga Road, east side of Mississauga Road, south of Eglinton Avenue West, be received for information.
**BACKGROUND:**

The above-noted applications have been circulated for technical comments.

The purpose of this report is to provide preliminary information on the applications and to seek comments from the community.

**COMMENTS:**

Details of the proposal are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Development Proposal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Applications submitted:** | April 23, 2009 (received)  
May 12, 2009 (deemed complete)  
April 26, 2010 (revised) |
| **Net Density:** | 13.9 units/ha  
5.6 units/acre |
| **Number of units:** | 11 |
| **Building Height:** | Maximum 10.7 m (35.1 ft.) |
| **Anticipated Population:** | 37*  
*Average household sizes for all units (by type) for the year 2011 (city average) based on the 2008 Growth Forecasts for the City of Mississauga. |
| **Parking Required:** | Resident spaces: 22  
Visitor spaces: 3  
Total spaces: 25 |
| **Parking Provided:** | Resident spaces: 33  
Visitor spaces: 3  
Total spaces: 36 |
| **Supporting Documents:** | Planning Justification Report  
Preliminary Noise Report  
Tree Inventory/Preservation Plan  
Functional Servicing Report  
Traffic Impact Study  
Archaeological Assessment  
Phase I Environmental Site Assessment  
Soil Investigation and Slope Stability Study |
### Site Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frontage</td>
<td>70.5 m (231.3 ft.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth</td>
<td>206.2 m (676.5 ft.) (irregular)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Lot Area</td>
<td>0.67 ha (1.66 ac.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing Use</td>
<td>Detached dwellings and greenbelt lands</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional information is provided in Appendices I-1 to I-10.

### Neighbourhood Context

The subject property is located in a mature residential community characterized by detached dwellings on large lots. The Mississauga Road corridor contributes to the area's rural quality through its narrow two lane profile, mature trees, generous building setbacks and views to the Credit River valley. While maintaining a rural feel, the area is experiencing redevelopment activity as evidenced by recent approvals for 8 semi-detached dwellings and 43 townhouse dwellings further south at 4390 Mississauga Road.

The site is currently occupied by three detached dwellings and is part of the Credit River valley system. Information regarding the history of the site is found in Appendix I-1.

The surrounding land uses are described as follows:

- **North:** Roman Catholic Church of Croatian Martyrs and detached dwellings further to the north
- **East:** The Credit River and associated valley system
- **South:** Detached dwellings and private park associated with the Church property
- **West:** Detached dwellings fronting onto Beaufort Terrace across Mississauga Road

"Residential - Low Density I" which permits detached and semi-detached dwellings to a maximum density of 17 units per net residential hectare (6.9 units per net residential acre).

"Greenbelt" which provides for the conservation of natural heritage features and areas and to recognize lands associated with natural hazards or significant natural areas where development is restricted to protect people and property from damage.

The applications are in conformity with the land use designations and no official plan amendments are proposed.

There are other policies in the Official Plan which are also applicable in the review of these applications, including:

Residential Intensification Policies (Section 3.2.4)

Intensification outside the Urban Growth Centre is to occur through the development of vacant or underutilized lands in accordance with the intent of Mississauga Plan. These policies outline the characteristics of compatible residential intensification, which should have regard for elements such as the natural environment, lot frontages and areas, street and block patterns, building height and transition, massing, streetscapes, heritage features and setbacks.

Greenbelt Policies (Section 3.9)

As the subject property includes lands within the Credit River valley system, consideration must be given to Greenbelt policies which speak to issues such as development setbacks, ecological buffers, land dedication and required studies.

Urban Design Policies (Section 3.18)

The urban design policies of Mississauga Plan require that building, landscaping and site design are compatible with site
conditions and will create appropriate visual and functional relationships between individual buildings, groups of buildings and open spaces. These elements are also intended to minimize the effects of noise, unattractive views, and other negative impacts and to buffer adjacent land uses.

Mississauga Road Specific Area Policies (Section 3.18.14)

This stretch of the Mississauga Road corridor is classified as a Scenic Route in Mississauga Plan and has special policies applied to it that seek to maintain its existing character. Policies include the retention of existing lot frontage widths, discouraging reverse frontage lot development and maintaining the surrounding building massing, design and setbacks.

Existing Zoning

"R1" (Detached Dwellings - Typical Lots) which permits detached dwellings on lots with a minimum lot frontage of 22.5 m (73.8 ft.), a minimum interior lot area of 750 m² (8,073 sq. ft.) and a minimum corner lot area of 835 m² (8,988 sq. ft.).

"G1" (Greenbelt - Natural Hazards) which permits areas for flood control, stormwater management, erosion management, natural heritage features and conservation.

Proposed Zoning By-law Amendment

"R16 - Exception" (Detached Dwellings on a CEC - Private Road), to permit 11 detached dwellings on a common element condominium private road in accordance with the applicant’s proposed zoning standards contained in Appendix 1-9.

"G1" (Greenbelt - Natural Hazards), to permit areas for flood control, stormwater management, erosion management, natural heritage features and conservation.

"G2-1" (Greenbelt - Natural Features), to permit natural protection area, natural heritage features and conservation. The minimum depth of this area is required to be 5.0 m (16.4 ft.).
COMMUNITY ISSUES

No community meetings were held and no written comments were received by the Planning and Building Department.

DEVELOPMENT ISSUES

Agency comments are summarized in Appendix I-7 and school accommodation information is contained in Appendix I-8. Based on the comments received and the applicable Mississauga Plan policies the following matters will have to be addressed:

Storm Water Outlet

Credit Valley Conservation and the City’s Transportation and Works Department have both indicated the need to limit new storm water outlets to the Credit River. The applicant is to provide evidence that they have made arrangements among affected landowners to facilitate a consolidated storm water outlet.

Outstanding Studies/Revised Reports

The City’s Transportation and Works Department has indicated that the applicant’s Traffic Impact Study must be revised to take into account proposed and approved development in the surrounding area. This will assist in determining if the proposed common element condominium private road provides adequate service to these and adjacent lands or if alternatives should be considered.

The applicant is also required to submit the following materials for review prior to preparation of the Supplementary Report: Heritage Impact Statement; Updated Functional Servicing Report; Slope Stability Study Addendum; and Updated Noise Report.

Building Elevations

Despite staff’s request, building elevation drawings have not been supplied by the applicant for the proposal. Prior to preparation of
the Supplementary Report, the applicant is required to submit detailed elevation drawings.

**OTHER INFORMATION**

**Development Requirements**

In conjunction with the proposed development, there are certain other engineering and conservation matters with respect to storm drainage, noise attenuation, land dedications, boulevard improvements/reinstatement, streetscape and utility requirements which will require the applicant to enter into the appropriate agreements with the City, the details of which will be dealt with during the processing of the plan of subdivision.

**FINANCIAL IMPACT:** Development charges will be payable in keeping with the requirements of the applicable Development Charges By-law of the City as well as financial requirements of any other official agency concerned with the development of the lands.

**CONCLUSION:** All agency and City department comments have been received and after the public meeting has been held and all issues are resolved, the Planning and Building Department will be in a position to make a recommendation regarding these applications.

**ATTACHMENTS:**
- Appendix I-1 - Site History
- Appendix I-2 - Aerial Photograph
- Appendix I-3 - Excerpt of Central Erin Mills District Land Use Map
- Appendix I-4 - Excerpt of Existing Land Use Map
- Appendix I-5 - Concept Plan
- Appendix I-6 - Draft Plan of Subdivision
- Appendix I-7 - Agency Comments
Appendix I-8 - School Accommodation
Appendix I-9 - Proposed Zoning Standards
Appendix I-10 - General Context Map

Edward R. Sajecki
Commissioner of Planning and Building

Prepared By: Ben Phillips, Development Planner
Site History

- May 5, 2003 - The Central Erin Mills District Policies and Land Use Map (Mississauga Plan) were partially approved with modifications by the Region of Peel. The subject lands were designated "Residential - Low Density I" and "Greenbelt".

- June 20, 2007 - Zoning By-law 0225-2007 came into force except for those sites which have been appealed. As no appeals have been filed in relation to the subject lands, the provisions of the new By-law apply. The subject lands are zoned "R1" (Detached Dwellings - Typical Lots) and "G1" (Greenbelt - Natural Hazards).
PART OF CENTRAL ERIN MILLS DISTRICT LAND USE MAP
CENTRAL ERIN MILLS DISTRICT POLICIES OF MISSISSAUGA PLAN

LAND USE DESIGNATIONS
Residential - Low Density I
Residential - Low Density II
Residential - Moderate Density I
Residential - Moderate Density II
Residential - High Density I
Residential - High Density II
General Commercial
Convenience Commercial
Water-Related Commercial
Office
Public Open Space
Greenbelt
Institutional
Parkway Belt Road
Utility

TRANSPORTATION LEGEND
Provincial Highway and Interchange
Arterial
Major Collector
Major Collector (Splinter Route)
Minor Collector
Local Road
Existing Commuter Rail
GO Transit Station
Bus Rapid Transit Corridor
Bus Rapid Transit Station
Major Transit Corridor

SUBJECT LANDS

SUBJECT: GLENSTREAM DEVELOPMENTS INC. AND ALLAN FOX

FILE NO: OZ 09004 W8 & T-M09002 W8
DWG. NO: 09004L
SCALE: N.T.S.
PDC DATE: 2010 06 28
DRAWN BY: K. PROKOP

Produced by T&W Geomatics
PROPOSED REZONING FROM "R1" (DETACHED DWELLINGS-TYPICAL LOTS) TO "R16-EXCEPTION" (DETACHED DWELLINGS ON A CEC-PRIVATE ROAD) TO PERMIT 11 DETACHED DWELLINGS ON A COMMON ELEMENT CONDOMINIUM PRIVATE ROAD.

PROPOSED REZONING FROM "R1" (DETACHED DWELLINGS-TYPICAL LOTS) AND "G1" (GREENBELT-NATURAL HAZARDS) TO "G1" (GREENBELT-NATURAL HAZARDS).

PROPOSED REZONING FROM "R1" (DETACHED DWELLINGS-TYPICAL LOTS) AND "G1" (GREENBELT-NATURAL FEATURES) TO "G2-1" (GREENBELT-NATURAL FEATURES) TO PERMIT 5.0m (16.4 ft.) WIDE BUFFER.

NOTE: EXISTING ZONING DELINEATED ON THE PLAN
PROPOSED ZONING INDICATED BY SHADING WITHIN THE APPLICATION AREA.

SUBJECT: GLENSTREAM DEVELOPMENTS INC.
AND ALLAN FOX

FILE NO: GZ 09004 WB & T-M09002 WB
DWG. NO: 09004R
SCALE: 1:2500
PDC DATE: 2010 06 28
DRAWN BY: K. PROKOP

Produced by T&W Geomatics
Lot 6
1,820ft²
- 580ft² Garage (3 car)
1,740ft² - 1st Storey
+1,820ft² - 2nd Storey
3,060ft²
+ 910ft² - Loft (½ 3rd Storey)
3,970ft² Approximate Dwelling
Total Floor Area.
Agency Comments

The following is a summary of comments from agencies and departments regarding these applications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency / Comment Date</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Region of Peel (May 17, 2010)</td>
<td>The subject land is located adjacent to the Credit River. The Regional Official Plan (ROP) designates the Credit River as a Core Area of the Greenlands System in Peel, under Policy 2.3.2.6. Within this designation, ROP policies seek to protect environmental resources. The Region relies on the environmental expertise of the Credit Valley Conservation staff for the review of development applications located within or adjacent to Core Areas of the Greenlands System in Peel and their potential impacts on the natural environment. Regional Planning staff therefore, request that City staff consider comments from the CVC and incorporate their conditions of approval appropriately. Sanitary sewer service consists of a 250 mm (10 in.) diameter sewer located on Mississauga Road. Extension of the 250 mm (10 in.) diameter sewer along Mississauga Road will be required to service the proposed development. The proposed development is located within Water Pressure Zone 3. Services consist of a 300 mm (12 in.) diameter watermain located on Mississauga Road. An existing 250 mm (10 in.) diameter municipal sanitary sewer is located on Mississauga Road. External easements and construction will be required. Regional roads are not adversely affected. According to current Region of Peel records, there is no indication of the existence of a municipal waste disposal site or hazardous wastes in the vicinity of the subject lands. The Region of Peel will provide curbside collection. For collection of garbage, recyclable materials, household organics and yard waste from private lanes, apartments and/or condominiums, an Acknowledgement and Release for Private Property Waste Collection Services and an Application for</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Glenstream Developments Inc. and Allan Fox

Files: OZ 09/004 W8
T-M09002 W8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency / Comment Date</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Private Property Waste Collection Services</strong></td>
<td>Private Property Waste Collection Services must be completed prior to the commencement of collection. The developer will be responsible for collection and disposal of waste until 80 per cent occupancy of the development has been reached. The developer will be required to contact the Region of Peel, Waste Management Division to authorize commencement of collection. Waste Management staff will visit the site to confirm that the development has reached 80 per cent occupancy. Upon confirmation and provided that there is safe access for the waste collection vehicle, Waste Management staff will recommend that waste collection service commence. The developer will be required to enter into a Condominium Water Servicing Agreement with the local municipality and Region for the construction of sewer and water associated with the lands. These services will be constructed and designed in accordance with the latest Region standards and requirements. The applicant/owner shall grant/obtain (at no cost to the Region) all necessary easements for Regional infrastructures, as may be required by the Region to service proposed development and/or external lands.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dufferin-Peel Catholic District School Board and the Peel District School Board (May 3, 2010)</strong></td>
<td>Both School Boards responded that they are satisfied with the current provision of educational facilities for the catchment area and, as such, the school accommodation condition as required by City of Mississauga Council Resolution 152-98 pertaining to satisfactory arrangements regarding the adequate provision and distribution of educational facilities need not be applied for these development applications. In addition, if approved, both School Boards require that warning clauses with respect to temporary school accommodation and transportation arrangements be included in the Development and/or Servicing Agreement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Credit Valley Conservation (May 17, 2010)</strong></td>
<td>The development concept plan is generally satisfactory subject to technical matters related to hazard land dedication, easements, site servicing and landscape restoration being satisfactorily addressed prior to the Supplementary Report.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Glenstream Developments Inc. and Allan Fox

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency / Comment Date</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City Community Services Department — Planning, Development and Business Services Division (May 13, 2010)</td>
<td>Woodland Chase (P-268) is located west of Mississauga Road approximately 600 m (1,969 ft.) from the site, and contains a play structure, ball diamond, and soccer fields. Hewick Meadows (P-286), is located on the east side of the Credit River, approximately 250 m (820 ft.) from the site. This park contains connections to the Culham Trail, providing for recreational uses such as walking and biking along the Credit River. Should these applications be approved, hoarding, fencing, and associated securities will be required to ensure protection of the dedicated greenbelt lands. Securities for any clean-up works that may be necessary and a cash contribution for street trees and trail signage will also be required. Prior to the issuance of building permits, cash-in-lieu of parkland for park or other public recreational purposes is required pursuant to Section 42 of the Planning Act (R.S.O.1990, c.P.13, as amended) and in accordance with the City's Policies and By-laws. Heritage comments dated May 20, 2010 state that: The subject lands are all listed on the City's Heritage Register as these properties fall within both the Mississauga Road Scenic Route and Credit River Valley cultural landscapes. The proponent will need to submit a Heritage Impact Statement that provides the history of these properties, complete with all land title records and justification as to how the proposed development will benefit the existing cultural landscape. The Heritage Impact Statement should also address satisfactory setbacks from Mississauga Road, and how the development addresses Official Plan policies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Community Services Department - Fire and Emergency Services Division (May 20, 2010)</td>
<td>This proposal is located within the response area of Fire Station 115. Flow test data from the existing water supply system indicates the potential for an adequate supply of water for fire protection purposes. Fire has reviewed the applications from an emergency</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Glenstream Developments Inc. and Allan Fox

Files: OZ 09/004 W8
T-M09002 W8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency / Comment Date</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| City Transportation and Works Department      | The Traffic Impact Study dated December 29, 2008 by LMM Engineering Limited has been received with the application. The consultant has been requested to update the study to include the impact of the current development applications in the immediate area. The applicant has also been requested to provide a functional plan for Mississauga Road including the existing conditions, current access locations and a proposal for a centre left turn lane in support of the proposed access to the development.  
  
  The noise report dated March 24, 2009 by Jade Acoustics submitted with the application confirms that all proposed dwelling units will be required to be equipped with the provision for the future installation of central air conditioning units. The rear yards and/or flankage of Lots 1 and 7-11 will require the installation of 2.0 m (6.6 ft.) high acoustic fence to screen the outdoor living areas (OLAs) from traffic noise associated with Mississauga Road and Eglinton Avenue West. The applicant’s acoustical consultant has been requested to substantiate the “light duty” acoustical fencing proposed across the northerly limit of the site and confirm that no noise mitigation measures are required to shield the OLA for proposed Lot/POTL 2.  
  
  The Functional Servicing Report dated March 6, 2009 prepared by Skira and Associates proposes to outlet the storm drainage from the site to an existing private storm sewer system within the church property to the north, which outlets to the Credit River. The applicant is to provide functional details of the proposed external storm drainage system including any necessary erosion protection and public and/or private easements to the satisfaction of the City and the Credit Valley Conservation. The applicant has been requested to investigate the feasibility of a single outfall to accommodate these lands, the church and potential development of the lands to the north to minimize erosion potential and the number of outlets to the Credit River.  
  
  The applicant shall submit a supporting site plan which illustrates the feasibility of the proposed common element.                                                                                                                                                                                      |
### Glenstream Developments Inc. and Allan Fox

**Files:** OZ 09/004 W8  
T-M09002 W8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency / Comment Date</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|                       | condominium development, including the minimum 3.0 m (9.8 ft.) utility corridor, the common element road cross-section and preliminary fencing/buffering details.  
  Detailed comments will be finalized prior to preparation of the Supplementary Report, upon receipt and review of the updated information and applicant's response to the above items. |
| Other City Departments and External Agencies | The following City Departments and external agencies offered no objection to these applications provided that all technical matters are addressed in a satisfactory manner:  
  - Bell Canada  
  - Canada Post  
  - Development Services, Planning and Building Department  
  - Enersource Hydro Mississauga  
  - Hydro One Networks Inc. |
|                       | The following City Departments and external agencies were circulated the applications but provided no comments:  
  - Credit Valley Hospital  
  - Enbridge Gas  
  - French District Catholic School Boards  
  - Peel Regional Police  
  - Realty Services, Corporate Services Department  
  - Rogers Cable  
  - Trillium Hospital |
### School Accommodation

**School Accommodation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School Name</th>
<th>Enrolment</th>
<th>Capacity: *</th>
<th>Portables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Credit Valley Public School</td>
<td>637</td>
<td>711</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Street Middle School</td>
<td>815</td>
<td>755</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Fraser Secondary School</td>
<td>1,254</td>
<td>1,236</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Note: Capacity reflects the Ministry of Education rated capacity, not the Board rated capacity, resulting in the requirement of portables.

**School Accommodation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School Name</th>
<th>Enrolment</th>
<th>Capacity: *</th>
<th>Portables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Junior Kindergarten to Grade 8</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 9 to Grade 12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Note: Capacity reflects the Ministry of Education rated capacity, not the Board rated capacity, resulting in the requirement of portables.
### Proposed Zoning Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Existing By-law Standard - &quot;R1&quot; Zone</th>
<th>Base &quot;R16&quot; By-law Standard</th>
<th>Proposed &quot;R16-Exception&quot; By-law Standard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Lot Area – Interior Lot</td>
<td>750 m² (8,073 sq. ft.)</td>
<td>550 m² (5,920 sq. ft.)</td>
<td>470 m² (5,059 sq. ft.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Lot Area – Corner Lot</td>
<td>835 m² (8,988 sq. ft.)</td>
<td>720 m² (7,750 sq. ft.)</td>
<td>680 m² (7,320 sq. ft.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Lot Frontage – Interior Lot</td>
<td>22.5 m (73.8 ft.)</td>
<td>15.0 m (49.2 ft.)</td>
<td>15.0 m (49.2 ft.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Lot Coverage</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Front Yard – Interior lot/corner lot</td>
<td>9.0 m (29.5 ft.)</td>
<td>7.5 m (24.6 ft.)</td>
<td>6.0 m (19.7 ft.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum set back from a front garage face to a street – Interior Lot</td>
<td>9.0 m (29.5 ft.)</td>
<td>7.5 m (24.6 ft.)</td>
<td>6.0 m (19.7 ft.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Interior Side Yard – Interior Lot/Corner Lot</td>
<td>1.8 m (5.9 ft.) on one side of the lot 4.2 m (13.8 ft.) on the other side</td>
<td>1.2 m (3.9 ft.) plus 0.61 m (2.0 ft.) for each additional storey above 1 storey</td>
<td>1.5 m (4.9 ft.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Exterior Side Yard</td>
<td>7.5 m (24.6 ft.)</td>
<td>6.0 m (19.7 ft.)</td>
<td>7.2 m (23.6 ft.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum number of units</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific Standards for Lot 6</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Min. front yard - 7.5 m (24.6 ft.)</td>
<td>Min. front yard - 4.5 m (14.8 ft.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific Standards for Lot 8</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Min. corner lot frontage - 19.5 m (64.0 ft.); Min. corner lot area - 720 m² (7,750 sq. ft.); Min. exterior side yard - 6.0 m (19.7 ft.)</td>
<td>Min. corner lot frontage - 15.5 m (50.8 ft.); Min. corner lot area - 500 m² (5,382 sq. ft.); Min. exterior side yard - 3.0 m (9.8 ft.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Recommendation PDC-0037-2010

"That the Report dated June 8, 2010, from the Commissioner of Planning and Building regarding the application under file OZ 09/004 W8 to change the Zoning from "R1" (Detached Dwellings - Typical Lots) and "G1" (Greenbelt - Natural Hazards) to "R16- Exception" (Detached Dwellings on a CEC - Private Road), "G1" (Greenbelt - Natural Hazards) and "G2-1" (Greenbelt - Natural Features) and a Draft Plan of Subdivision under file T-M09002 W8 to permit 11 detached dwellings on a common element condominium private road, Glenstream Developments Inc. and Allan Fox, 4583, 4589 and 4601 Mississauga Road, east side of Mississauga Road, south of Eglinton Avenue West, be received for information, and notwithstanding Planning Protocol, that the Supplementary Report be brought directly to a future Council Meeting."
PROPOSED REZONING FROM "R1" (DETACHED DWELLINGS-TYPICAL LOTS) TO "R.6-EXCEPTION" (DETACHED DWELLINGS ON A CEC-PRIVATE ROAD) TO PERMIT 11 DETACHED DWELLINGS ON A COMMON ELEMENT CONDOMINIUM PRIVATE ROAD.

PROPOSED REZONING FROM "R1" (DETACHED DWELLINGS-TYPICAL LOTS) AND "G1" (GREENBELT-NATURAL HAZARDS) TO "G1" (GREENBELT-NATURAL HAZARDS).

PROPOSED REZONING FROM "R1" (DETACHED DWELLINGS-TYPICAL LOTS) AND "G1" (GREENBELT-NATURAL HAZARDS) TO "G2-4 (EXCEPTION)" (GREENBELT-NATURAL FEATURES) TO PERMIT A BUFFER ZONE OF VARYING WIDTH.

NOTE: EXISTING ZONING DELINEATED ON THE PLAN
PROPOSED ZONING INDICATED BY SHADING WITHIN THE APPLICATION AREA.

SUBJECT:
2164566 ONTARIO INC. (HUSH HOMES)
Recommended Zoning Provisions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>&quot;R16-Exception&quot; By-law Standard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Parking</td>
<td>3 spaces per unit and 0 visitor spaces per unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Number of Dwelling Units</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Lot Area</td>
<td>Interior Lot – 475 m² (5,113 sq. ft.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Corner Lot – 670 m² (7,212 sq. ft.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Lot Frontage</td>
<td>Interior Lot – 15.0 m (49.2 ft.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Corner Lot – 22.0 m (72.2 ft.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Lot Coverage</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Lot Coverage</td>
<td>6.0 m (19.7 ft.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Exterior Side Yard – Lot with an</td>
<td>4.5 m (14.8 ft.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exterior side lot line abutting a CEC – private</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>road</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum interior side yard</td>
<td>1.8 m (5.9 ft.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum setback from Mississauga Road</td>
<td>7.5 m (24.6 ft.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum setback from a front garage face to a</td>
<td>6.0 m (19.7 ft.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>street, CEC – private road or CEC- sidewalk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Rear Yard</td>
<td>7.5 m (24.6 ft.) setback to rear lot line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum number of units with 2 attached garages</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum driveway width for each driveway on a</td>
<td>3.5 m (11.5 ft.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a lot with two individual driveways</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum driveway area per lot</td>
<td>50% of the front yard area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driveway material</td>
<td>Must consist of pervious stable surfaces (i.e. permeable pavers)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum setback of outdoor pools from lands with</td>
<td>1.5 m (4.9 ft.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>any “Greenbelt” zone, including “G1” and “G2-4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Exception)”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The final implementing Zoning By-law may require other provisions to be consistent with the Revised Concept Plan (Appendix S-4) and/or Appendix S-7, Page 2 (Schedule ‘R16-A’, as prepared by the applicant).
MISSISSAUGA ROAD

PART OF LOTS 3 & 4,
RANGE 5, N.D.S.
CITY OF MISSISSAUGA

THIS IS SCHEDULE 'R16-A' TO
BY-LAW _____ PASSED BY
COUNCIL ON ____________.

MAYOR

CLERK
SCHEDULE A
CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

FILE: T-M09002 W8

SUBJECT: Draft Plan of Subdivision
Part of Lot 3, Range 5, N.D.S.
East side of Mississauga Road, south of Eglinton Avenue West
4583, 4589 and 4601 Mississauga Road
City of Mississauga
2164566 Ontario Inc. (Hush Homes)

Approval of a draft plan of subdivision granted under Section 51 of the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, c.P.13, as amended, will be valid until approval is either withdrawn or the plan is registered. Approval may be withdrawn by the Commissioner, Planning and Building Department if approval of the final plan has not been given three (3) years after the date of approval of the draft plan.

NOTE: City is "The Corporation of the City of Mississauga"
Region is "The Regional Municipality of Peel"

The City has not required either the dedication of land for park or other public recreational purposes, or a payment of money in lieu of such conveyance as a condition of subdivision draft approval authorized by Section 51.1 of the Planning Act R.S.O. 1990, c.P.13, as amended. The City will require payment of cash-in-lieu for park or other recreational purposes as a condition of development for each lot and block, prior to the issuance of building permits pursuant to Section 42(6) of the Planning Act, R.S.O., 1990, c.P.13, as amended, and in accordance with the City's policies and by-laws.

1.0 Approval of the draft plan applies to the plan dated April 9, 2009, last revised on November 28, 2011.

2.0 That the owner agree, in writing, to satisfy all the requirements, financial and otherwise of the City and the Region.
3.0 That the applicant/owner shall enter into Servicing, Development and any other necessary agreements, satisfactory to the City, Region or any other appropriate authority, prior to ANY development within the plan. These agreements may deal with matters including, but not limited to, the following: engineering matters such as municipal services, road widenings, construction and reconstruction, signals, grading, fencing, noise mitigation, and warning clauses; financial issues, such as cash contributions, levies (development charges), land dedications or reserves, securities, or letters of credit; planning matters such as residential reserve blocks, buffer blocks, site development plan and landscape plan approvals and conservation. THE DETAILS OF THESE REQUIREMENTS ARE CONTAINED IN COMMENTS IN RESPONSE TO THE CIRCULATION OF THE PLAN FROM AUTHORITIES, AGENCIES, AND DEPARTMENTS OF THE CITY AND REGION WHICH HAVE BEEN FORWARDED TO THE APPLICANT OR HIS CONSULTANTS, AND WHICH COMMENTS FORM PART OF THESE CONDITIONS.

4.0 All processing and administrative fees shall be paid prior to the registration of the plan. Such fees will be charged at prevailing rates of approved City and Regional Policies and By-laws on the day of payment.

5.0 The applicant/owner shall agree to convey/dedicate, gratuitously, any required road or highway widenings, 0.3 m (1 ft.) reserves, walkways, sight triangles, buffer blocks and utility or drainage easements to the satisfaction of the City, Region or other authority.

6.0 The applicant/owner shall provide all outstanding reports, plans or studies required by agency and departmental comments.

7.0 That a Zoning By-law for the development of these lands shall have been passed under Section 34 of the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, c.P.13, as amended, and be in full force and effect prior to registration of the plan.

8.0 The proposed private road shall be named to the satisfaction of the City and the Region. In this regard, a list of street names shall be submitted to the City Transportation and Works Department as soon as possible after draft plan approval has been received and prior to any servicing submissions. The owner is advised to refer to the Region of Peel Street Names Index to avoid proposing street names which conflict with the approved or existing street names on the basis of duplication, spelling, pronunciation, and similar sounding.

9.0 Prior to final approval, the Engineer is required to submit, to the satisfaction of the Region, all engineering drawings in Micro-Station format as set out in the latest version of the Region of Peel "Development Procedure Manual".

10.0 Prior to final approval or preservicing, the developer will be required to monitor wells, subject to the homeowner's permission, within the zone of influence, and to submit results to the satisfaction of the Region.
11.0 That prior to signing of the final plan, the Commissioner of Planning and Building is to be advised that all of the above noted conditions have been carried out to the satisfaction of the appropriate agencies and the City.

THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE CITY WILL BE EFFECTIVE FOR THIRTY-SIX (36) MONTHS FROM THE DATE THE CONDITIONS ARE APPROVED BY THE COMMISSIONER, PLANNING AND BUILDING DEPARTMENT. AFTER THIS DATE REVISED CONDITIONS WILL BE REQUIRED. NOTWITHSTANDING THE SERVICING REQUIREMENTS MENTIONED IN SCHEDULE A, CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL, THE STANDARDS IN EFFECT AT THE TIME OF REGISTRATION OF THE PLAN WILL APPLY.
TO: MAYOR AND MEMBERS OF COUNCIL

The Planning and Development Committee presents its sixth report of 2012 and recommends:

PDC-0022-2012
That the Report dated March 13, 2012 from the Commissioner of Planning and Building regarding Sign By-law 0054-2002, as amended, and the requested one (1) Sign Variance Application described in Appendix 1 of the Report, be adopted in accordance with the following:
1. That the following Sign Variances be granted:
   (a) Sign Variance Application 11-07084
       Ward 4
       KFC
       45559 Hurontario St.
       To permit the following:
       i) One (1) fascia sign on the west elevation of the building not located on the unit occupied by the business.

BL.03-SIG (2012)

PDC-0023-2012
1. That the report titled “City Initiated Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning - Fieldgate Drive and Audubon Boulevard” dated March 13, 2012 from the Commissioner of Planning and Building, be received for information and, notwithstanding Planning Protocol, that the Supplementary Report be brought directly to a future Council meeting.

2. That staff report back to Planning and Development Committee on the submissions made with respect to the March 13, 2012 report.

3. That the email dated March 19, 2012 from Diana Dawson, Land Administrator, Enbridge, be received.

File: CD.04.FOR
PDC-0024-2012
That a public meeting be held to consider proposed official plan and zoning amendments as recommended in the report titled “Funeral, Burial and Cremation Services Act, 2002 - Proposed Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendments Respecting Crematoriums” dated March 13, 2012, from the Commissioner of Planning and Building.
File: CD.02-CRE

PDC-0025-2012
1. That a by-law be enacted respecting Construction, Demolition and Changes of Use Permits (Building By-law), in accordance with Appendix 2 of the report of the Commissioner of Planning and Building to Planning and Development Committee dated March 13, 2012.

2. That Building By-law 0029-2011 be repealed.

PDC-0026-2012
That the Report dated March 13, 2012, from the Commissioner of Planning and Building recommending approval of the application under File OZ 10/009 W5, Heartland (Seven) Limited, 6250 Hurontario Street, west side of Hurontario Street, north of Highway 401, be adopted in accordance with the following:

1. That notwithstanding that subsequent to the public meeting, changes to the application have been proposed, Council considers that the changes do not require further notice and, therefore, pursuant to the provisions of subsection 34(17) of the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, c.P.13, as amended, any further notice regarding the proposed amendment is hereby waived.

2. That the application to change the Zoning from "D" (Development) to "E1-6" (Employment in Nodes) and "E1-21" (Employment in Nodes) to permit employment uses including offices, manufacturing and warehousing in accordance with the proposed zoning standards described in the Information Report, be approved subject to the applicant agreeing to satisfy all the requirements of the City and any other official agency concerned with the development.

3. That the decision of Council for approval of the rezoning application be considered null and void, and a new development application be required unless a zoning by-law is passed within 18 months of the Council decision.

File: OZ 10/009 W5
General Committee of Council presents its seventh Report of 2012 and recommends:

GC-0202-2012
1. That the Commissioner of Transportation and Works and the City Clerk be authorized to amend and affix the corporate seal on behalf of The Corporation of the City of Mississauga (the "City") to the Universal Transit Pass Agreement between the City, the Governing Council of the University of Toronto (the "University") and the Erindale College Student Union (the "UTMSU") to include a summer pilot program for both full and part-time students, in a form satisfactory to Legal Services, as outlined in the report dated March 19, 2012 from the Commissioner of Transportation and Works.

2. That the MiWay, the new Mississauga Transit Fares By-Law #284-11 be amended to reflect Summer Universal Pass (U-Pass) fee of $86.70 for a transit pass and to reflect the U-Pass card replacement fee of $20.00.

3. That all necessary by-laws be enacted.

4. That the correspondence from H. Deep Saini, Vice-President, University of Toronto & Principal, University of Toronto Mississauga regarding the inclusion of part-time students in the 8-month U-Pass transit program, be received.

5. That the deputation by Gilbert Cassar, President, University of Toronto Mississauga Student Union and Roxanne Vera, Treasurer, Erindale Part-Time Undergraduate Students regarding the U-Pass program be received

GC-0203-2012
That the matter of a 8-month U-pass for part-time students attending University of Toronto Mississauga be referred to the April 11, 2012 Council meeting for consideration of a staff report.

GC-0204-2012
That the Corporate Report entitled, "Mississauga Culture on the Map", dated March 14, 2012 from the Commissioner of Community Services, be received for information.

GC-0205-2012
That the proposed changes to the Purchasing By-law 374-06, as outlined in the Corporate Report dated March 20, 2012 from the Commissioner of Corporate Services and Treasurer entitled "Revisions to Purchasing By-law 374-06, as amended" be enacted.

GC-0206-2012
That a by-law be enacted to authorize the Commissioner of Community Services and the City Clerk to enter into a TD Green Streets agreement with Tree Canada and Evergreen on behalf of the City of Mississauga in a form satisfactory to Legal Services to implement one year tree planting and stewardship program on City land.
(Ward 3 & 6)
GC-0207-2012
That the minutes of the Audit Committee meeting held on November 9, 2011 be approved as presented.
(SFC-0001-2012)

GC-0208-2012
That the deputation by Peter DeSouza, Acting Inspector Manager and Catherine Mar, Fish Policy Officer be received.
(SFC-0002-2012)

GC-0209-2012
That the letter dated November 30, 2011 from The Honourable Leona Aglukkaq in response to the Mayor’s letter requesting the support of banning shark fin products, be received for information.
(SFC-0003-2012)

GC-0210-2012
That the letter dated January 16, 2012, from the Minister of Fisheries and Oceans in response to the Mayor’s indicating that Canada has prohibited the practice of shark finning since 1994 and will continue to work closely with other countries to promote the sustainable management and conservation of sharks worldwide, be received for information.
(SFC-0004-2012)

GC-0211-2012
That the food analysis from Maxxam Analytics International Corporation, be received for information.
(SFC-0005-2012)

GC-0212-2012
That the chart from Lake Ontario 5 – Credit River, be received for information.
(SFC-0006-2012)

GC-0213-2012
That the PowerPoint presentation, dated March 20, 2012 and entitled “Proposed Amendments to Tree Permit Bylaw 475-05,” by Jane Darragh, Planner, Park Planning Section, and Mike Maloney, Supervisor, Tree Preservation and Protection, Urban Forestry, to the Heritage Advisory Committee on March 20, 2012 be received.
(HAC-0022-2012)

GC-0214-2012
That the Briggs House, 913 Sangster Avenue, be designated under the Ontario Heritage Act for its design/physical, historical/associative, and contextual value and that the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.
Ward 2
(HAC-0023-2012)
GC-0215-2012
That the request to alter the Brookbank-Carlson property, 54 William Street, as described in the report from the Commissioner of Community Services, dated February 28, 2012, be approved.
Ward 11
(HAC-0024-2012)

GC-0216-2012
That the correspondence with respect to the Ontario Heritage Conference 2012 on May 31-June 3, 2012 in Kingston, Ontario be received.
(HAC-0025-2012)

GC-0217-2012
That the correspondence dated March 7, 2012 from Michael Chiu, Consultant Project Manager, McCormick Rankin, with respect to Queen Elizabeth Way Credit River Bridge, Notice of Public Information Centre #3, Preliminary Design and Class Environmental Assessment Study be received.
(HAC-0026-2012)

GC-0218-2012
That the correspondence dated March 12, 2012 from Bert Duclos, Heritage Outreach Consultant, Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport with respect to the waiving of normal tariff fees at land registry offices for Municipal Heritage Committee members and their assistants be received.
(HAC-0027-2012)

GC-0219-2012
That the chart dated March 20, 2012 from Julie Lavertu, Legislative Coordinator, Heritage Advisory Committee, identifying the status of outstanding issues from the Heritage Advisory Committee be received.
(HAC-0028-2012)

GC-0220-2012
That an additional $150 for mileage costs be approved and allocated in the Heritage Advisory Committee's 2012 budget (Account #28609) for Matthew Wilkinson, Heritage Advisory Committee Citizen Member, to attend the Heritage Resources Centre's "Architectural Styles" Workshop in St. Mary's, Ontario on Saturday, April 14, 2012 and Sunday, April 15, 2012.
(HAC-0029-2012)

GC-0221-2012
That the Mississauga Cycling Advisory Committee (MCAC) endorses the Bike Licensing letter as distributed at the March 20, 2012 MCAC meeting and that staff circulate the letter to Members of Council for information.
(MCAC-0016-2012)

GC-0222-2012
That the Legislative Coordinator prepare a letter on behalf of the Mississauga Cycling Advisory Committee to forward to the organizers of the Toronto Bike Show regarding the Committee's concerns that were encountered while participating in the Toronto Bike Show held on March 2, 3 and 4, 2012.
(MCAC-0017-2012)
GC-0223-2012
Recommendation number inadvertently missed.
(MCAC-0018-2012)

GC-0224-2012
That the draft Mississauga Cycling Advisory Committee (MCAC) budget be deferred to the April MCAC meeting date for further consideration.
(MCAC-0019-2012)

GC-0225-2012
That a representative from the Mississauga Cycling Advisory Committee (MCAC) attend the 2012 Ontario Bike Summit in Toronto on April 24 and 25, 2012 and that the cost to attend the event be funded from MCAC 2012 budget.
(MCAC-0020-2012)

GC-0226-2012
That the 2012 calendar of events regarding Mississauga cycling related events in 2012 be received for information.
(MCAC-0021-2012)

GC-0227-2012
That the action list from the meeting held on February 12, 2012 be received for information.
(MCAC-0022-2012)

GC-0228-2012
1. That the Benares Conservation and Preservation of an Artifact project (Account 12-498) be established with a gross and net budget of $5,100 and that the funds be transferred from the Benares Endowment Reserve Fund of the Miscellaneous Contributions Reserve Fund account (#35515) to the project, as outlined in the Corporate Report dated March 13, 2012, from the Commissioner of Community Services entitled Request to the Benares Endowment Fund Reserve for Artifact Conservation Initiative.

2. That all necessary by-laws be enacted.
(MOMAC-0006-2012)

GC-0229-2012
That the Memorandum entitled Emolument in the Cultural Access Pass Program of The Institute for Canadian Citizenship by the Museums of Mississauga, on behalf of the City of Mississauga dated March 26, 2012 from Annemarie Hagan, Museums Manager, be received.
(MOMAC-0007-2012)

GC-0230-2012
That the Museums of Mississauga Draft Vision Statement, as amended by the Committee, be received.
(MOMAC-0008-2012)

GC-0231-2012
That the Museums of Mississauga - Advocacy Working Party document, be received.
(MOMAC-0009-2012)
GC-0232-2012
That the Report from the February 7, 2012 Collections and Storage Subcommittee (CASS), be received.
(MOMAC-0010-2012)

GC-0233-2012
That the Report from the March 6, 2012 Collections and Storage Subcommittee (CASS), be received.
(MOMAC-0011-2012)

GC-0234-2012
That the update from The Friends of the Museum as presented by Fred Durdan, Citizen Member, be received.
(MOMAC-0012-2012)

GC-0235-2012
That the Museum Manager’s Report, dated March 26, 2012, from Annemarie Hagan, Museums Manager, be received.
(MOMAC-0013-2012)

GC-0236-2012
That the Capital Projects Report, dated March 26, 2012, from Annemarie Hagan, Museums Manager, be received.
(MOMAC-0014-2012)

GC-0237-2012
That the Memorandum dated February 29, 2012, from Annemarie Hagan, Museums Manager, and Andrew Whittemore, Manager, Cultural Operations, to the Mayor and Council entitled The Waterloo Curatorial and Museum: Learnings for the Mississauga Artifact Preservation Centre, be received.
(MOMAC-0015-2012)

GC-0238-2012
That the Corporate Report entitled Mississauga Culture Master Plan Progress Report, dated January 3, 2012, which was presented at General Committee on February 1, 2012, be received.
(MOMAC-0016-2012)

GC-0239-2012
That the Collections and Exhibit Supervisor Report to the Collections and Storage Subcommittee dated February 7, 2012 from Stephanie Meeuwse, Collections and Exhibit Supervisor, be received.
(MOMAC-0017-2012)

GC-0240-2012
That the Collections and Exhibit Supervisor Report to Collections and Storage Subcommittee dated March 6, 2012 from Stephanie Meeuwse, Collections and Exhibit Supervisor, be received.
(MOMAC-0018-2012)
GC-0241-2012
That the letter dated March 28, 2012, from Tom Howe, Manager, Student Transportation of Peel Region, with respect to bussing for students attending Queenston Drive Public School, 3520 Queenston Drive, be added to the March 28, 2012 Traffic Safety Council Agenda.
(TSC-0031-2012)
(Ward 6)

GC-0242-2012
1. That the request for a Crossing Guard at the pathway east of Shelter Bay Public School for students attending Shelter Bay Public School, 6735 Shelter Bay Road, be denied as the warrants have not been met.

2. That the Principal at Shelter Bay Public School be requested to direct drivers to utilize the School Zone Safety (Kiss and Ride) lanes when dropping off or picking up students.

3. That the Transportation and Works Department be requested to review the placement of the No Stopping and No U-turn signs on the north side of Shelter Bay Road.
(TSC-0032-2012)
(Ward 9)

GC-0243-2012
1. That the request for a Crossing Guard at the intersection of Hillcrest Avenue and Confederation Parkway, for students attending Father Daniel Zanon Catholic School, 450 Hillcrest Avenue, be denied as the warrants have not been met.

2. That the Principal of Father Daniel Zanon Catholic School direct students to push the pedestrian button to activate the "walk phase" prior to crossing the intersection of Hillcrest Avenue and Confederation Parkway.
(TSC-0033-2012)
(Ward 7)

GC-0244-2012
1. That the email dated March 20, 2012, from Councillor Pat Saito, Ward 9, with respect to traffic congestion related to Plum Tree Park Public School, 6855 Tenth Line West, along Plum Tree Crescent be received.

2. That the site inspection subcommittee of Traffic Safety Council be requested to conduct a site inspection to review traffic congestion along Plum Tree Crescent for students attending Plum Tree Park Public School, 6855 Tenth Line West.
(TSC-0034-2012)
(Ward 9)

GC-0245-2012
That the School Zone Safety (Kiss and Ride) Report, for the month of March 2012, be received.
(TSC-0035-2012)
That the Peel District School Board be requested to do the following for students attending Mineola Public School, 145 Windy Oaks:

a. Re-paint the School Zone Safety (Kiss and Ride) pavement markings and the bus drop off lane.

b. Install Entry Only signs at the east driveway and No Entry signs at the south driveway to define separate entrance and exit driveways.

(TSC-0036-2012)
(Ward 1)

That the Peel Regional Police be requested to enforce traffic infractions in the vicinity of St. Gerard Catholic School, 1300 McBride Avenue, between 8:45 a.m. and 9:00 a.m.

(TSC-0037-2012)
(Ward 6)

That the Transportation and Works Department be requested to review the feasibility of installing No Parking signs along Dunrankin Drive, opposite to Dunrankin Public School, 3700 Dunrankin Drive.

(TSC-0038-2012)
(Ward 5)

That the Dismissal Report for the months of February and March 2012 be received for information.

(TSC-0039-2012)

That Parking Enforcement be requested to enforce parking infractions in front of Shelter Bay Public School, 6735 Shelter Bay Road, and at the pathway east of the school, between 3:05 p.m. and 3:30 p.m.

(TSC-0040-2012)
(Ward 9)

That Parking Enforcement be requested to enforce parking infractions in front of Father Daniel Zanon Catholic School, 450 Hillcrest Avenue, between 3:15 p.m. and 3:40 p.m.

That the Walk to School Subcommittee of Traffic Safety Council be requested to consider Father Daniel Zanon Catholic School as a possible participant in the School Walking Routes Program.

(TSC-0041-2012)
(Ward 7)
GC-0252-2012
1. That the Peel District School Board be requested to paint the School Zone Safety (Kiss and Ride) with lane designations, directional arrows and stop bars in the spring of 2012 at Mineola Public School, 145 Windy Oaks.

2. That the Principal of Mineola Public School be requested to arrange for volunteers, to monitor the School Zone Safety (Kiss and Ride) during the dismissal period.

(TSC-0042-2012)
(Ward 1)

GC-0253-2012
That Parking enforcement be requested to enforce parking infractions in front of St. Raymond Public School, 5735 Whitehorn Avenue, between 2:45 p.m. and 3:10 p.m.

(TSC-0043-2012)
(Ward 6)

GC-0254-2012
1. That the Transportation and Works Department review the No Stopping and No Parking signs on Cobalt Street and Indian Road in the vicinity of St. Luke Catholic School, 1280 Cobalt Street.

2. That Parking Enforcement be requested to enforce parking infractions along Cobalt Street, in the vicinity of St. Luke Catholic School, between 2:45 p.m. and 3:10 p.m.

(TSC-0044-2012)
(Ward 2)

GC-0255-2012
1. That the Transportation and Works Department review the signage along Mirage Place, Esprit Crescent and Patriot Drive in the vicinity of St. Gertrude Catholic School, 815 Ceremonial Drive.

2. That Parking Enforcement be requested to enforce parking infractions along Ceremonial Drive in front of St. Gertrude Catholic School between 2:45 p.m. and 3:10 p.m.

(TSC-0045-2012)
(Ward 5)

GC-0256-2012
That the email dated March 21, 2012 from Sheelagh Duffin, Crossing Guard Supervisor, advising of various School Walking Routes program events and requesting that Traffic Safety Council members volunteer to attend the events be received.

(TSC-0046-2012)

GC-0257-2012
That the minutes from the February 24, 2012 Public Information Subcommittee meeting be received.

(TSC-0047-2012)
1. That in 2012, Traffic Safety Council be requested to award the Wilde Wood School Zone Safety (Kiss and Ride) Award to one (1) school from the Dufferin – Peel Catholic District School Board and one (1) school from the Peel District School Board.

2. That the Public Information Subcommittee of Traffic Safety Council be requested to create the criteria and award process for an award to be presented by Traffic Safety Council for school zone safety including walking to school.

3. That the Budget subcommittee of Traffic Safety Council be requested to review the feasibility of amending the 2012 Traffic Safety Council Budget to increase the amount of award money that could be presented for the new School Zone Safety Award to be created by the Public Information Subcommittee of Traffic Safety Council.

(TSC-0048-2012)

GC-0259-2012
That for identification purposes, Traffic Safety Council members be requested to display Official City Business signs on their vehicles when attending Traffic Safety Council site inspections.
(TSC-0049-2012)

GC-0260-2012
That the minutes from the March 13, 2012 Public Information Subcommittee meeting be received.
(TSC-0050-2012)

GC-0261-2012
That David Brown, Traffic Safety Council Chair, be nominated for the 2012 Dr. Arthur Wood Award.
(TSC-0051-2012)

GC-0262-2012
That the minutes from the March 13, 2012 Peel District School Board Maintenance Meeting be received.
(TSC-0052-2012)

GC-0263-2012
That the memorandum from the Manager of Parking Enforcement reporting on parking enforcement in school zones for the month of February 2012 be received.
(TSC-0053-2012)

GC-0264-2012
That the email dated March 26, 2012, from Sheelagh Duffin, Crossing Guard Supervisor requesting the following be received and referred to the Site Inspection subcommittee of Traffic Safety Council to review and report back to Traffic Safety Council:

a. Two site inspections to review the warrants for removing a Crossing Guard from the intersection of Confederation Parkway and Fairview Road, for students attending St. Philip Catholic School, 345 Fairview Road West and Fairview Public School, 3590 Joan Drive, Ward 7.
b. Two site inspections to review the warrants for removing a Crossing Guard from the intersection of Rathburn Road and Willowbank Trail for students attending St. Vincent de Paul Catholic School, 665 Willowbank Trail, Ward 3.

c. Two site inspections to review the warrants for removing a Crossing Guard from the intersection of Rathburn Road and Golden Orchard Drive for students attending St. Basil Catholic School, 4235 Golden Orchard Drive, Ward 3.

(TSC-0054-2012)

GC-0265-2012
That the April 2012 Traffic Safety Council site inspection calendar and site inspection packages be received.
(TSC-0055-2012)

GC-0266-2012
1. That the email dated March 23, 2012, from Soni Gill, Principal, Thorn Lodge Public School, with respect to issues related to the School Zone Safety (Kiss and Ride) at Thorn Lodge Public School, 2730 Thorn Lodge Drive be received.

2. That the Site Inspection subcommittee of Traffic Safety Council be requested to conduct a site inspection in front of Thorn Lodge Public School and report back to Traffic Safety Council.

(TSC-0056-2012)
(Ward 2)

GC-0267-2012
That the report dated January 2012 from the Transportation and Works Department advising of the actions taken regarding recommendations from Traffic Safety Council be received.

(TSC-0057-2012)

GC-0268-2012
1. That the letter dated March 28, 2012 from Tom Howe, Manager, Student Transportation of Peel Region, with respect to bussing for students attending Queenston Drive Public School, 3520 Queenston Drive, be received.

2. That the Site Inspection subcommittee of Traffic Safety Council be requested to conduct a site inspection to review pedestrian safety at the intersection of Burnhamthorpe Road and Creditview Road/ Central Parkway and along the school walking route from the area of Burnhamthorpe Road and Creditview Road to Queenston Drive Public School for students attending Queenston Drive Public School, 3520 Queenston Drive.

(TSC-0058-2012)

GC-0269-2012
That Louise Goegan, Boris Swedak and Peter Westbrook, citizen members of Traffic Safety Council, be authorized to attend the 62nd Annual Ontario Traffic Conference Convention in Ottawa, June 3 – 5, 2012 and that an amount be allocated in the 2012 Traffic Safety Council budget to cover the cost of expenses to attend this event.

(TSC-0059-2012)
GC-0270-2012
That staff implement a phased approach for a Speaker’s Corner on the Mississauga Celebration Square and that phase 2 for a temporary Speaker’s Corner booth be launched on Canada Day. (MCSEC-0008-2012)

GC-0271-2012
1. That Transportation and Works staff be directed to report to the Mississauga Celebration Square Events Committee on the design proposals for the permanent closure of City Centre Drive between Duke of York Boulevard and Living Arts Drive and a lay-by for drop-off/pick-up at the Mississauga Celebration Square.

2. That Mississauga Celebration Square staff be directed to review signage that provides a welcoming message to pedestrian traffic once City Centre Drive between Duke of York Boulevard and Living Arts Drive is closed to vehicular traffic and report back to the Mississauga Celebration Square Events Committee. (MCSEC-0009-2012)

GC-0272-2012
That the report dated March 21, 2012 from the Commissioner of Community Services, entitled Digital Program Screens in Mississauga Celebration Square be endorsed. (MCSEC-0010-2012)

GC-0273-2012
That the Mississauga Celebration Square Events Committee approve an exception to the Outdoor Events in the Civic District Policy by endorsing a paid admission concert/event on the Square in 2012, hosted by the Living Arts Centre in recognition of the 15th anniversary of the Centre. (MCSEC-0011-2012)

GC-0274-2012
That the memorandum dated March 28, 2012 from Melissa Agius, Manager, Mississauga Celebration Square Events with respect to performers at City-run events on Mississauga Celebration Square, be received. (MCSEC-0012-2012)

GC-0275-2012
That the 2011 Performance Measure Options for the Mississauga Celebration Square distributed at the April 2, 2012 Mississauga Celebration Square Events Committee meeting be received. (MCSEC-0013-2012)
2. PUBLIC MEETING
City Initiated Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning – Fieldgate Drive and Audubon Boulevard (Ward 3)

Councillor Nando Iannicca, Ward 7, Chair, called this public meeting to order at 7:12 p.m.

Frank Marzo, Policy Planner, made a deputation with respect to the Corporate Report dated March 13, 2012 from the Commissioner of Planning and Building entitled City Initiated Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning – Fieldgate Drive and Audubon Boulevard. He outlined the subject lands, the background of the property, the neighborhood context and the intention of the proposed amendments.

Simi Kapur, President, Rockwood Homeowner's Association, addressed the Planning and Development Committee with respect to the matter and noted that the homeowner's association supported the zoning proposal for single detached homes only.

Councillor Chris Fonseca, Ward 3, moved the following motion, which was voted on and carried:

PDC-0023-2012
1. That the report titled “City Initiated Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning - Fieldgate Drive and Audubon Boulevard” dated March 13, 2012 from the Commissioner of Planning and Building, be received for information and, notwithstanding Planning Protocol, that the Supplementary Report be brought directly to a future Council meeting.

2. That staff report back to Planning and Development Committee on the submissions made with respect to the March 13, 2012 report.

3. That the email dated March 19, 2012 from Diana Dawson, Land Administrator, Enbridge, be received.

File: CD.04.FOR
APPROVED – (Councillor C. Fonseca)

This public meeting closed at 7:20 p.m.
This is an extract of the Planning and Development Committee meeting held on April 2, 2012.

4. **PUBLIC MEETING**

   **A By-law respecting Construction, Demolition and Change of Use Permits (The Building By-law)**

   Councillor Nando Iannicca, Ward 7, Chair, called this public meeting to order at 7:20 p.m.

   No members of the public wished to address the Planning and Development Committee and there was no discussion.

   Madam Mayor moved the following motion, which was voted on and carried.

   **PDC-0025-2012**

   1. That a by-law be enacted respecting Construction, Demolition and Changes of Use Permits (Building By-law), in accordance with Appendix 2 of the report of the Commissioner of Planning and Building to Planning and Development Committee dated March 13, 2012.

   2. That Building By-law 0029-2011 be repealed.

   **APPROVED** – (Madam Mayor)

   This public meeting closed at 7:21 p.m.
5. **SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT AND PUBLIC MEETING**

Rezoning Application - to permit employment uses including offices, manufacturing and warehousing, 6250 Hurontario Street, west side of Hurontario Street, north of Highway 401. Owner: Heartland (Seven) Limited

Applicant: Goldberg Group, Bill 51

File: OZ 10/009 W5

Councillor Nando Iannicca, Ward 7, Chair, called this public meeting to order at 7:21 p.m.

No members of the public wished to address the Planning and Development Committee with respect to this matter. Madam Mayor spoke to the City of Mississauga's vision of Hurontario Street. Rob Hughes, Planner, advised that the application is in keeping with the Official Plan designation and that the zoning is consistent with plans that were approved for adjacent lands. He further noted that the zoning was created to support the upper Hurontario Street corridor.

Councillor Bonnie Crombie moved the following motion which was voted on and carried:

PDC-0026-2012

That the Report dated March 13, 2012, from the Commissioner of Planning and Building recommending approval of the application under File OZ 10/009 W5, Heartland (Seven) Limited, 6250 Hurontario Street, west side of Hurontario Street, north of Highway 401, be adopted in accordance with the following:

1. That notwithstanding that subsequent to the public meeting, changes to the application have been proposed, Council considers that the changes do not require further notice and, therefore, pursuant to the provisions of subsection 34(17) of the *Planning Act*, R.S.O. 1990, c.P.13, as amended, any further notice regarding the proposed amendment is hereby waived.
2. That the application to change the Zoning from "D" (Development) to "E1-6" (Employment in Nodes) and "E1-21" (Employment in Nodes) to permit employment uses including offices, manufacturing and warehousing in accordance with the proposed zoning standards described in the Information Report, be approved subject to the applicant agreeing to satisfy all the requirements of the City and any other official agency concerned with the development.

3. That the decision of Council for approval of the rezoning application be considered null and void, and a new development application be required unless a zoning by-law is passed within 18 months of the Council decision.

File: OZ 10/009 W5
APPROVED - (Councillor B. Crombie)

This public meeting closed at 7:24 p.m.
St Joseph Syriac Catholic Church
Father Thaer Abba
999 Lakeshore East. Mississauga, ON

AND

Gospel Assembly Church
Pastor Singh
1023 Greaves Avenue, Mississauga, ON

We are churches, Christian communities of believers; a family that strives to bring glory to God through worship preaching and living the truth, and loving one another in tangible ways. We’re passionate about what our Lord Jesus has accomplished for us in His death and resurrection and desire to make Him Lord in every area of our lives.
PETITION TO REMOVE THE "NO PARKING ON SUNDAY 10-1PM" SIGN

We, the undersigned residents of Canada, draw the attention of Councilor Ward 1, Jim Tovey, to the following:

THAT:

A no parking on Sunday between the 10am-1pm sign recently introduced in the neighborhood and particularly in front of our Church is unconstitutional. Under the CANADIAN CHARTER OF RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS, a law will be found to violate the freedom of expression where the law either has the purpose or effect of violating the right. Where a law does not intend to limit the freedom of expression it may still infringe through its effects. A law will be found to restrict expression if it has the effect of frustrating "the pursuit of truth, participation in the community, or individual self-fulfillment and human flourishing". Every individual is equal before and under the law and has the right to the equal protection and equal benefit of the law without discrimination and, in particular, without discrimination based on race, national or ethnic origin, colour, religion, sex, age or mental or physical disability.

Due to the restriction of parking on Sunday in the area, this newly introduced law is impeding individuals from attending mass on Sunday.

The new parking restriction is directed against certain groups of good abiding Christians practicing their faith and is discriminatory.

THEREFORE, your petitioners request the remedy of the situation by removing the "NO PARKING ON SUNDAY 10-1PM" SIGN in the neighborhood.

Signatures
(Sign your own name. Do not print.)

Adresses
(Give your full home address, or your city and province, or province and postal code.)

Basil Benman
3653 Badminton Dr., Mississauga, L5M 3H8

Ghassan Chali Kheyer
3325 High Springs Cres., Mississauga, L5A 4G8

Ahmad Chalabi
27 Maciver Avenue Blvd, Brampton, L6P 1W8

Khalid Intakhab
51 Nathaniel Cres., Brampton, L6Y 5M5

Nasir Youmang
5171 Mississaga Cres, Mississauga, L5V 1A3

Aymed Youmang
4736 Fullmoon Crt, Mississauga, L5V 2W7

Zina Akram
4736 Fullmoon Crt, Mississauga, L5V 2W7

Sam Bacos
3456 Martin's Ave Crescent, L5L-1G4

Sassan E. George
63 Blackham Ave., Etobicoke, M9R 3S5

Sam Toschis
3891 Banchester CRT, Mississauga, L5S 2Z

Dahi Peter
37 Cyril St., Richmond Hill, ON, L4B 1E8

Saeed Chali
30-38 Fairview Rd W, Mississauga, L5G 4J8

Ramiz Gabriel
2309-3605 Kariya Dr., Mississauga, L5B 3J3
THEREFORE, your petitioners request the remedy of the situation by removing the “NO PARKING ON SUNDAY 10-1PM” SIGN in the neighborhood.

Signatures
(Sign your own name. Do not print.)

Nabil Jeji
Athraa Katta
Ziyad Behnam
Ralph Vanni
Ghassan Rammo
Anwar Rezaa
Bashar Mikhael
Daoud Kazaifi
Farhi Helou
Samer Dauood
Reneen Atiiba
Fadi Ateiba
Nimaat Paul
Sinan Gueshe
Haram Burri
Haidhauri Inturwan
Nahla Chamoun
Ghazwan Alchi
Amar Ibrahim

Adresses
(Give your full home address, or your city and province, or province and postal code.)

5000 - 1419 JANEST M3N2WS

5000 - 1419 JANE St North York

5431 freshwater Dr., Mississauga, Mississauga

5183 Oscar Peterson Miss., ON

3275 sheppard N. E.

1670 Princelea Pl, Mississauga

151 Fairhill Ave Brampton

252 Blackthorn Dr Richmond Hill

147 Be Toni Drive, Richmond Hill

49 Langsford Dr. Ajax

3921 Budette Terrace Miss. ON

3921 Budette Terrace Miss. ON

2468 Pathfinder Dr. Burlington

3525 Kanya Dr Mississauga ON

4357 Credit Pointe Dr, Mississauga, ON

3761 Sorie cres Mississauga ON

130 Andrew park, woodbridge ON

5360 Dryden Ave Burlington ON L7L 6Y7

1647 Mississauga Ave Mississauga

2770 Mississauga, windwood dr

9 Sabine Re Etobicoke, ON M9B 3NX

Waadallah Rahmeh EL Min - A. Marcel 14 Dundas
THEREFORE, your petitioners request the remedy of the situation by removing the “NO PARKING ON SUNDAY 10-1PM” SIGN in the neighborhood.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signatures (Sign your own name. Do not print.)</th>
<th>Adresses (Give your full home address, or your city and province, or province and postal code.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sabah Attisha</td>
<td>91-6399 spinnaker cIR mississu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sabah Attisha s.a.o</td>
<td>611- 6399 spinnaker cIR Mississu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nazar Mitey</td>
<td>647- 700 behaviorwood blvd on</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nizar Yousef</td>
<td>133 maroon drive, richmond hill, l96587</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nourw Mahfooth</td>
<td>645 cranleigh court, mississauga l6h 4w6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fadi Baghadadi</td>
<td>550 webb drive #501, mississauga l5p 3y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audan Malo Malo</td>
<td>4736 fullmore cIR missus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akrarn Jablile</td>
<td>4736 fullmore cIR missus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ziad Yousef</td>
<td>924 sung meadow blvd, bryton on</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saed Nioshy</td>
<td>624 peter dawson bryton on</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duld Noghshy</td>
<td>7805 dagview ave. act 205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young petros</td>
<td>7805 dagview ave. thankill on</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bashar Petros</td>
<td>525 huntington dr. dr. mississau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hlazik Yousef</td>
<td>134 andrew park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hansama Yousef</td>
<td>7265 verner dr. mississauga</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sadi F Aziza</td>
<td>80-2676 folkway dr. mississauga</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nashwan Saleem</td>
<td>285 deavborn blvd. waterloo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athroea Kata</td>
<td>14303 trail master dr. mission on</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wahid ala</td>
<td>29-335 webb dr. 25b 4a1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yathya mujeeed</td>
<td>705 sury inn burlington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samir Kasko</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
THEREFORE, your petitioners request the remedy of the situation by removing the “NO PARKING ON SUNDAY 10-1PM” SIGN in the neighborhood.

**Name + Signatures**
(Sign your own name. Do not print.)

Salim Hazad
Moazzam Qazi
Khalida Darward
Farid Naami
Farhul Fakhoury
Faris Meenoo
Naweer Hanna Nasir
Rana Faraz
Suhail Abdul-Karim
Laith Shamshee
Salwa Dawda
Anwer Ghisn
Tariq Yardess
Saud Al-Sammak
Lika Dawod
Faseh Alheid
Kareem Yagoon
Ashraf Yassif
Ali Rizkallah

**Adresses**
(Give your full home address, or your city and province, or province and postal code.)

205 Wynford Dr 10016 Toronto
205 Wynford Dr 10016 Toronto
9900 Keele Ave
7115 Magistrate Terr w 139 Mississauga
46B Airport Rd 1M8 L3A9
4639 Caledon Town way
229 Boodle Trail Richmond Hill
6443 Yonge Street Toronto
1111 Blue Heron Blvd Mississauga 02/L5E 0J7
6045 Cawthar Cres 617 Brampton
23 Redhaven Dr St David ON
46 panoramic Cr
1511 3605 Kanya Dr Mississauga
53, Maple Meadows Long Maple L6A 2X5
416-3590 Kannel L6A 3X3
3030 Pharmacy Ave 02W 2T7
029-1812
THEREFORE, your petitioners request the remedy of the situation by removing the “NO PARKING ON SUNDAY 10-1PM” SIGN in the neighborhood.

Signatures
(Sign your own name. Do not print.)

Adnan Azzawi
Abdul Abualila
DASHAR MAHFOOT
Barsha Ali
Salman Hayek
Ghaela Khalil
Vasiv Al Amadiano
Nagy Alnaami
Nour Danoa
Azzam Sayegg
Alaa Dano
Hamood Baroo
Bassam Albert
Fawaz A C Bano
Ziad Abdooni
Lujay Almajar
Aliedd Jaddou
AYMAN Madi
Amir Bazzaz
Robert Yacoub
HAMDIN ZAKOIA
Banan S Dshan
Wisam Farjow

Adresses
(Give your full home address, or your city and province, or province and postal code.)

1189 Mount Street
1776 Bennett Rd. Woodbridge, ON
6456 BRIGHTFORTH COURT MISS LS14T5G
60 Abule Ave, Mississauga
34 olympus dr. Richard Hill
20 S 13ingely crescent 10018B2
30 8Y Turbine Cres Mississauga
5030 Hetherly Ave
700 hamby wood blvd Etobicoke
2599 Mactwell Dr Mississauga
99-6797 Fermenta Ave Mississauga
116 st John of Arc Ave
3120 Kirwa Ave 2001
3181 keven Aare
5053A DR - 5
34 Beaverbank
114 Acorn Pl Mississauga
14 chuter Dr
116 st John of Arc Maple ON
1380 Mississauga Valley Blvd Mississauga
3981 McDouay Dr L6M 6A6
3528 Harnya Dr L560C2 Mississauga
12 Ballyw Ave, Richmond Hill, L4B 5B3
THEREFORE, your petitioners request the remedy of the situation by removing the "NO PARKING ON SUNDAY 10-1 PM" SIGN in the neighborhood.

Signatures
(Sign your own name. Do not print.)

Adresses
(Give your full home address, or your city and province, or province and postal code.)
THEREFORE, your petitioners request the remedy of the situation by removing the “NO PARKING ON SUNDAY 10-1PM” SIGN in the neighborhood.

Signatures
(Sign your own name. Do not print.)

JAWAD ARSHAR
Fadi Yousif
ELIZABETH SAJOU
Muhammad Kani
NoRA SAMOUHIE
SABAH KASKO
Muhammad
Noor Daud
Banham Daud
Zina Daud
AKRAM JIBRAIL
BASHAR ASMAR
Lucy Abada
Lucy Saig
Noel Swaidah

Adresses
(Give your full home address, or your city and province, or province and postal code.)

MISS L5N 7N5
6654 Oakdow Drive, Mississauga, ON
MISS ON L5V 3C4 Trailmaster Dr.
MISS S5W Timber Mill
Etobicoke So panorama
panamou cgr
#99-6797 Formentara Ave., Miss., ON
#99-6797 Formentara Ave., Miss., ON
#99-6797 Formentara Ave., L5N 2L6
#99-6797 Formentara Ave., Miss., L5N 2L6
#736 Full Circle Circle, L4Z 2L6
89 TRIPLE CROWN AVE., Toronto, ON
35 Moon Valley Dr., M9W 3N5
232 Saint Francis Avenue, Woodbridge, ON
3124 Clipperton Dr., Miss., L5M 0C2
THEREFORE, your petitioners request the remedy of the situation by removing the “NO PARKING ON SUNDAY 10-1PM” SIGN in the neighborhood.

Signatures
(Sign your own name. Do not print.)

Hani Sameh
RAAD WAKEL
Nazer Ibrahim
Wahed Munaf
Fadi Habeeb
Abdul barghi
Nad Barbary
Raed Wakel
Salma Maffa
JAD MATHI

Addresses
(Give your full home address, or your city and province, or province and postal code.)

686 Gypsy Figures L5W 1H6
Partition 3787 Mississauga
46 Alpaca Dr. Richmond Hill, ON
BNE3, KANAF

3041 Jaguar Valley Dr. St. S
Toronto
721 22 Weisendorf GDN'S Toronto
3787 Partition Rd. Mississauga
770 Bethany Cres. Mississauga
Novo St. R S 25-1811
PETITION TO REMOVE THE "NO PARKING ON SUNDAY 10-1PM" SIGN

We, the undersigned residents of Canada, draw the attention of Councillor Ward 1, Jim Tovey, to the following:

THAT:

A no parking on Sunday between the 10am-1pm sign recently introduced in the neighborhood and particularly in front of our Church is unconstitutional. Under the CANADIAN CHARTER OF RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS, a law will be found to violate the freedom of expression where the law either has the purpose or effect of violating the right. Where a law does not intend to limit the freedom of expression it may still infringe through its effects. A law will be found to restrict expression if it has the effect of frustrating "the pursuit of truth, participation in the community, or individual self-fulfillment and human flourishing". Every individual is equal before and under the law and has the right to the equal protection and equal benefit of the law without discrimination and, in particular, without discrimination based on race, national or ethnic origin, colour, religion, sex, age or mental or physical disability.

Due to the restriction of parking on Sunday in the area, this newly introduced law is impeding individuals from attending mass on Sunday.

The new parking restriction is directed against certain groups of good abiding Christians practicing their faith and is discriminatory.

THEREFORE, your petitioners request the remedy of the situation by removing the "NO PARKING ON SUNDAY 10-1PM" SIGN in the neighborhood.

Signatures
(Sign your own name. Do not print.)

Adresses
(Give your full home address, or your city and province, or province and postal code.)

1034 HAIG BLVD. MISSISSAUGA L5G 2M5

100 VINTAGE GATE BRAMPTON ONT. L6X 5C2
THEREFORE, your petitioners request the remedy of the situation by removing the "NO PARKING ON SUNDAY 10-1PM" SIGN in the neighborhood.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signatures</th>
<th>Adresses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brian</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jasmine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grace Williams</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andy Monett</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.P.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giselle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June P. Marlow</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrea Sunnarain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monica Atikson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marjorie Cai</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sally Cai</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tara Singh</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarah Ramsawack</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramella Ramsawack</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brenda Ramsawed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne Gods</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. &amp; Mrs. Sanjana</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morriati</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amanda Phillips</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patricia Ferreira</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
THEREFORE, your petitioners request the remedy of the situation by removing the “NO PARKING ON SUNDAY 10-1PM” SIGN in the neighborhood.

Signatures
(Sign your own name. Do not print.)

[Signatures]

Adresses
(Give your full home address, or your city and province, or province and postal code.)

[Adresses]
May 31st, 2011

Jennifer Mowbray
24 Clarence Street
Ottawa, Ontario
Canada K1N 5P3

Reference: Mayor Andrée Boucher Memorial Scholarship

Dear Ms. Mowbray and Members of the Selection Committee,

It is an honour to be able to present to you my essay on women in Canadian politics. I have read the short biographies of all previous awardees, and it gives me great motivation to see so many young women empowered to create political spaces for other women, through their research and action. Research certainly provides individuals with the tools to push all levels of government and lobby for change.

My research focuses on women’s political participation from a comparative perspective. In the essay attached, I analyze Canadian and Swedish political cultures, and how they are foundational to increasing, or decreasing, the percentage of women in politics. The statistics that form the basis of the analysis focus on women’s participation in federal politics, after the Canadian and Swedish 2006 elections. The analysis itself, however, applies to all levels of government, given that the political cultures of a place affect all levels of public participation. Further, even though the statistics used are from 2006 (the essay was to address women in each country’s politics after elections occurring at the same time, which was in 2006), the study of the political cultures and the conclusions reached are still relevant today. Not only is the difference between the percentage of women in Canadian and Swedish politics, after the 2011 and 2010 elections respectively, still substantive, but the political cultures of a place do not change in five years, so the study of both countries is pertinent to any government action or lobbying that is presently taking place.

As a History and Political Studies student at Queen’s University, I find incredible value in making comparisons not only between countries, but between times and societies. I especially find comparative political research to be necessary when
proposing changes in government policies, as we can take the lead of others in the implementation of programs that can have a lasting social and political impact. One of those programs is, for instance, the day care system implemented by Swedish municipalities. The Swedish government offers its municipal governments a lot of autonomy and support to make changes that can have substantive effects in the percentage of women who decide to run for office and be politically involved. It is my strong opinion that we need to demand that the federal government provides more financial support for municipalities, given the fact that they are the ones in closest contact with Canadian residents, know their needs at the immediate local level, and can trigger effective change. One of those needs is a day-care system, which would challenge the socially constructed "motherly" private sphere women are often confined to. Another example of an interesting program that could potentially be implemented in Canada is the "Daddy Month," which sets a minimum amount of time fathers must spend on leave with their children, once they are born. This addresses the problem of having women's work devalued because of the potential for maternity leave, by setting expectations that tackle gender differences and make that same potential for leave apply to men as well. These are certainly policy suggestions that can make a difference in the Canadian context, and which explain the substantive incongruencies between gender political inequalities in both democracies.

My study of political culture in Canada has motivated me to "walk the talk," and not just say that women need to get involved in politics, but actually get involved. I thus understand my surroundings and gender construction in a way that propels me to participate in politics, rather than move away from it. I started getting involved with the federal government after graduating from high school, by volunteering with my then Member of Parliament, Omar Alghabra. I then became his constituency assistant, and even though I did not get to directly participate in policy-making processes as he did, I was able to get a sense of what politicians at the federal level do and the services they offer their constituents. I wanted to get more involved and decided to run for the Secretary General position of the Liberal Party Executive in Mississauga-Erindale, which I was ultimately voted for. This role gave me an opportunity to contribute to my riding through active political participation.

I have also been really involved in student politics at Queen's University, and was appointed as the Social Issues Commissioner of my student government last year. This is the most political position in the student union at Queen's as it mandates the commissioner to lobby the administration and other student organizations. It was through this position that I started to bring the gender disparities in the administration to the attention of my peers. I strongly believe that the small percentage of women in the senior administration is symptomatic of the larger political culture and social structures that devalue women's work and which cause the gender gap in many professions, including that of politicians. I also co-created a Sexual Violence Action Network that brought together different groups and individuals to share resources regarding violence against women on campus. This is an issue really close to my heart, and which, again, speaks to the patriarchal and sexist systems under which our society operates. It is important to take a holistic look at women in society, and tackle gender disparities from every angle if
any real change is to occur. The hypersexualization and objectification of women, which is the basis of the very real rape culture in our society, is the cause of the oppression of female politicians in the government and the media, which ultimately push women away from the political realm.

I still have one more year to finish my Undergraduate Studies, as I will complete a Gender Studies' academic certificate on gender and sexual diversity. After graduation, I plan to either do a Masters in Public Administration, or do a Masters in Political Studies and research female participation in politics in both Canada and Peru, where I was born and grew up. I plan to study Law afterwards. I hope to one day run for either municipal or provincial office, as I believe they have the most direct impact on the lives of their constituents. I know it will be a very difficult journey, but I am ready to take it so that I can shape the path for future generations of women to enjoy gender equity in the public realm.

Thank you for supporting women like myself in their quest to attain office and challenge the obstacles to political gender equality. I have financed my entire education, and this award will certainly help me achieve my academic and professional goals.

I appreciate the opportunity to introduce myself and present my essay to you. I hope you enjoy reading it as much as I enjoyed researching and writing it.

Kind regards,

Daniella Dávila Aquije
Dear Jennifer Mowbray,

I am writing this letter in support of Daniella Dávila for the Mayor Andrée Boucher Memorial Scholarship. I have known Daniella for two years during which we have worked together on a variety of social causes and campaigns, becoming close friends in the process. She has served as a great source of support and inspiration for me and is a charismatic leader that exemplifies a woman deserving of this scholarship.

Two years ago, Daniella approached me about applying for a position in our student union at Queen’s University in which I had previously served. The job of the Social Issues Commissioner is a difficult one which requires an incredible amount of commitment and is often extremely trying and difficult. The commissioner is responsible for creating programming and supporting student initiatives which aim to educate the university community on issues of social identity and discrimination. This is done with the aim of empowering marginalized students and combating oppression in our community.

In this position, Daniella has worked hard to create profound and lasting change on our campus and has impacted countless individuals including myself. Most noticeably she has created a supportive and loving environment for her volunteers to engage with difficult issues safely. This has been seen in the large numbers of students who have dedicated so much of their lives to the commission, spending days and nights in the office talking enthusiastically about their experiences, both positive and negative, in the university climate. Many of these students are women who have taken up leadership positions within the commission and have developed new initiatives under the guidance and support of Daniella. She has been able to empower so many women to take ownership in the organization, its goals and its projects.

Additionally, Daniella co-founded the Sexual Violence Action Network, a coalition of campus and community organizations who came together to address concerns of gender based violence in Kingston as well as to work towards women’s empowerment. This group was the first of its kind and has initiated much needed dialogue and action in our community.

Daniella’s passion and commitment to social justice is contagious and has acted as an inspiration for many. She has provided both emotional and mental support for countless students, myself included. Her position and visibility as a woman in a leadership position on campus has provided a positive environment for other female students. I consider her not only a great friend but a member of my community and my family. She inspires and challenges me to be a better student and leader. Our relationship is one of mutual support and respect.

It is with great confidence that I encourage you to select her as the recipient of the 2011 Mayor Andrée Boucher Memorial Scholarship.

Thank you for your consideration,
Kavita Bissoondial
First World Countries Do Not Guarantee First Class Democracies: A Comparison between Female Political Representation in Canada and Sweden

Mayor Andrée Boucher Memorial Scholarship 2011
Daniella Dávila Aquije
May 31st, 2011
During the presidential campaign of her husband, Eleanor Roosevelt suggested: "Campaign behaviour for wives: Always be on time. Do as little talking as humanly possible. Lean back in the parade car so everybody can see the president." It is curious how Eleanor Roosevelt did not give campaigning advice for presidential candidates' spouses, but naturally assigned women the supporting role, and not the leading one, in politics. President Roosevelt campaigned during the 1930s, when women had just been given the right to vote ("Women's Suffrage," 2008). Thus, his wife's sexist expertise and knowledge could be justified, as they reflect women's lack of sense of belonging in the public world. The fact that almost a century later women are still underrepresented in the governments of most countries is, however, inadmissible. This is the case in Canada, where the women elected in the 2006 national elections represent only 20.8% of the members in the House of Commons (Cool, 2006). In Sweden, an equally democratic country in theory, however, 47.3% of the Riksdag is currently comprised of women ("Women in the Rigsdag," 2007). This paper will focus its analysis on Canadian and Swedish women in politics, to explain why women in some countries are successful in the public sphere and others are not. Further, this paper will argue that Swedish women are more successful than Canadian women in their quest to attain office due to the substantive effort and commitment by the Swedish government to enhancing gender equality.

The first part of the essay will study the Canadian socio-cultural environment. Canadian political culture will be examined, paying close attention to the way in which women are perceived by society. In addition, an analysis of how this political culture has influenced the government's actions in regards to women will be conducted. Furthermore, a connection between the state's women-geared policies and the strengthening of the Canadian political culture will be made. Second, the paper will lead a discussion related to the political culture in Sweden and the way it differs from that in Canada. The role of the Swedish welfare state, based on its political culture, will be established, followed by an exploration of how the Riksdag's women-friendly policies have allowed for the reinforcement of Swedish view of women. Concluding remarks will be made on the importance of the political culture and the state's social policies in the participation of women in politics and the perpetuation and enhancement of democracy.
Before discussing the arguments this paper puts forth, it is worth noting that there are several other socio-cultural and structural factors that determine women's active involvement in politics. One major socio-cultural factor regards to the constraints that flow from funding issues, as the wage gap in each country influences the amount of money women can gear towards the financing of their political campaigns (Bashevkin, 1985; Maillé, 1990; Trimble, 1995). To continue, the media plays an extremely important role in the social acceptance of women in the public sphere, allowing for the movement of the political culture of each country towards equality, or away from it (Sharpe, 1994; Jacquetta and White, 2006; Brodie 1985). In addition, the degree of female participation in politics relies on the type of electoral system each country has, which falls under the category of structural factors. These issues are, nevertheless, not studied in this paper mainly because of space constraints, but also due to the fact that these three factors are very closely related to the political culture in each country, which is indeed discussed. By understanding the political culture one can understand the part each of the factors mentioned plays in the "feminization" of politics. Very generally explained, if the political culture supports women's involvement in the public sphere, women in society will be regarded as equal to men, and the wage gap will be narrow, if not non-existent (Bashevkin, 1985; Maillé, 1990; Trimble, 1995), allowing women to better fund their political careers. In addition, the media will not ridicule women but treat them seriously (Sharpe, 1994; Jacquetta and White, 2006; Brodie 1985), as the audience it will target will accept women's political role, and going against this "feminine" notion would mean denying the political culture of a place. If this political culture, however, sees women as "aliens" to politics and to public life in general, then it is certain that a significant wage gap will exist and that the media will undermine women's political actions, as their very "nature" prevents them from effectively participating in the public sphere.

The way in which the electoral systems of each country, in combination with their respective political cultures, determine women's public involvement is more complex to explain. In general terms, if a country has a proportional representation electoral system, as Sweden does, then a women-supportive
political culture will trigger higher demand for women in government as the electorate pressures parties to increase female candidates. It only takes one party, regardless of its size, to increase female participation for “contagion” to occur (Matland and Studlar, 1996; Moser, 2001), meaning for other parties to follow suit. This would be the case as under a PR system seats are awarded in proportion to the percentage of votes earned (O’Neill, 2006). Thus, every vote makes a difference. If the political culture is not supportive of women in the public sphere, however, no ideological contagion will occur, as the electorate will not pressure parties to have women be better represented (1996; Caul, 2001; Freidenvall 2003). On the other hand, in the case of the single-member district system, which Canada has, “contagion” does not occur as rapidly as it does in a PR system (1996; Moser, 2001; Caul, 2001). Only the candidates who receive the majority of votes are selected from each district in the country (O’Neill, 2006). Therefore, only the votes that make the majority truly count, and the electorate cannot forcefully impose its views on and pressure the government or the parties that attempt to “democratically” represent them. This “democratic” representation will be analyzed next, in the Canadian and Swedish contexts.

The participation of women in politics is, first and foremost, encouraged and challenged by the socio-cultural environment women live and develop in. In most countries, if not all, patriarchal organizational structures have been instilled in society. Patriarchy is a system that places men as the head of the family, society, and the state (Jacquetta and White, 2006). It recognizes men as “normal” beings and women as “deviations of the norm” (Pierson 1993), denying women “the subjectivity [and] autonomy [that] are accorded the man.” (Young, 1990) Patriarchy has given men and women gender identities and gender roles. Before discussing these roles, however, it is important to make a distinction between the terms “sex” and “gender.” Sex is determined by the biological characteristics of a person, whereas gender is a cultural and social construction (Jacquetta and White, 2006). Thus, human beings are born “man” or “woman”, and become “male” or “female”. The male and female roles created are based on the differentiation between the appropriate spheres, whether public or private, individuals belong to, and because these gender roles are socially constructed, they are subject to change as the political culture of a place changes. Under a patriarchal system, men are expected to be involved in the public sphere, making
decisions in regards to the common welfare of the people; in other words, men are expected to take political action (2006). Women, on the other hand, are given the role of homemaker and child-rearer, being restrained to the private sphere of society (Bashevkin, 1985). In the quote presented above, it is clear that Eleanor Roosevelt very well internalized these gender roles, gearing her message towards women only, whose role in life is to support their husbands and families, while men, who posses the autonomy and public-life savvy women lack, exercise their gender role in politics. These gender roles have shaped the political culture of both Canada and Sweden, influencing each state's women-friendly actions.

Patriarchy is still very much entrenched in Canadian political culture. Indeed, Canada can be defined as a "familistic gender regime" (Drew, 1998:28), as women and men are given roles in society based on the roles they would traditionally have in a patriarchal family. Therefore, men and women are seen as unequal actors in the public sphere, women being perceived as more apt for the private rather than public area of society. In addition, Canadian society is based on a gender contract (1998), men being the ones expected to provide financial support and women being responsible for home-making and child-rearing. These family responsibilities have restricted women's involvement in politics, as they have been socialized into thinking that politics is an "unsuitable or undesirable vocation." (Cool, 2006) These gender roles have been adopted by the Canadian government, which, even though may have made attempts as a welfare state to incorporate women in politics, has not taken any real action. There are a number of welfare state policies that regard to women, like family allowance and tax relief (Ohlander, 1992). This paper, however, will focus on childcare and parental leave, as they clearly reflect both the strengthening of the "familistic" type of society Canadians live in, and the government's acknowledgement of women's belonging to the private sphere only.

Canada lacks welfare policy that effectively incorporates women into the public sphere, not only preventing them from becoming politicians, but also restricting their wider array of labour opportunities. For instance, in Canada, childcare is treated as "primarily a private responsibility to be provided either by families or by services purchased on the market." (Briskin and Eliasson, 1999: 55) Childcare services are,
nevertheless, very expensive. In 2004, for example, the average cost of full-time, monthly childcare service for a four-year old child was “$250 in Montreal, (...) $720 in Toronto, and $750 in Ottawa.” (Jacquetta and White, 2006: 239) Both provincial and territorial governments do subsidize childcare for low-income families, yet income cut-offs are very difficult to meet and constantly vary according to governments’ budget cutbacks (Briskin and Eliasson 1999; Jacquetta and White, 2006; McKeen, 2001). This creates uncertainty as low-income families are not permanently guaranteed childcare funding. Furthermore, in regards to middle and upper-class families, support for childcare is provided through federal tax deductions, by means of the Child Care Expense Deduction, or CCED (Jacquetta and White, 2006). The CCED allows for the lower-earning parent in the family to deduct childcare expenses from his or her income, even though it is mostly her income expenses are deducted from (2006). The maximum deduction was $7,000 in 2006, yet many parents were and are still unable to claim their deductions because “many caregivers refuse to issue receipts so that they can avoid paying income taxes” (2006). Thus, the nature of Canadian childcare policies poses many problems for women, who cannot find refuge in parental leave policies either.

Canadian parental leave policies put women at a disadvantage due to the conditions that must be met in order to receive it. For instance, only women with full time jobs can claim parental leave benefits (2006; Cohen, 1993). Women who work part-time, temporarily, or by contract are less eligible to receive full benefits, and those who are self-employed or who have not met the sufficient number of working hours are not entitled to receive benefits at all (2006; Briskin and Eliasson, 1999). In addition, through the federal employment insurance (EI), Canadian parents can receive income replacement accounting to 55 per cent of the salary received, “up to a cap of $413 per week,” in 2006 (2006: 236). Thus, women receive little federal income replacement and taking a full year maternity leave may be impossible for them to afford. This prevents women from fully participating in the public sphere, whether it is in the labour market or as politicians, as they do not count with the entire support of their governments.

Even though childcare and parental leave policies exist in Canada, they are ineffective in allowing women to participate fully in the public sphere, especially in the political arena. First, childcare
policies do not allow women to leave their homes and enter the political workforce with the ease with which men do so. As Hoogensen and Solheim note, “political party meetings have not been traditionally organized to meet the family demands of their representatives” (2006:12). Thus, women, who still “bear a disproportionate burden of family responsibilities” (Jacquetta and White, 2006:110), have to try and balance their public and private lives as best as they can, even though it is in most cases impossible without outside help. This problem is referred to as the “private-versus-public role strain”, which emerges when there is a need to fulfil two or more roles that do not harmonize well together, demanding some compromising to be done (Brodie, 1985; Trimble, 1995). Childcare facilities would certainly solve this role strain, as female politicians would be able to exercise their public role in society, without having to worry about their children, and their gendered “motherly” roles, at home. Even though the Canadian government has identified as one of its goals the incorporation of women in politics, through its current childcare policies, it is, consciously or not, discriminating against women, as it fails to provide them with the necessary services for them to be able to lead political lives. Their policies only serve to discourage women from leaving their homes and trying to do a “man’s job”, reinforcing the male breadwinner, or patriarchal, Canadian political culture.

To continue, parental leave policies in Canada reflect the political culture women “develop” in. They do not facilitate the greater engagement of women in the public life, nor do they stimulate a greater male participation in the private one either. Even though these policies are “parental”, they are primarily taken advantage of by women. This is the case because most men do not feel they should abandon the public sphere, where they “naturally belong” (Bashevkin, 1985; Ohlander, 1992), to take care of their children, when, in heterosexual relationships, their wives can do so. Thus, these policies have not been designed to accommodate women in the public and political spheres, but they only serve to reinforce gender roles and relegate women to their traditional patriarchal spaces, just as Canadian childcare policies accomplish. The Swedish government, which also aims at giving women more political clout, differs from the Canadian government in that its childcare and parental leave policies do indeed make a difference in the lives of women, especially female politicians.
In Sweden, as in Canada, patriarchy has relegated women to the home. However, Swedish political culture has evolved from being outwardly sexist to being committed to gender inclusivity and equity, making Sweden an “individualistic” gender regime (Drew, 1998; le Grand and Tsukaguchi-le Grand, 2004; Hobson, 2003). This means that, unlike in Canada where the government views the citizens as part of a family and not as individuals, despite its liberal foundations, the Swedish government does not define its citizens by giving them a role within a family-based social structure. Instead, it sees them all as deserving of equal access to government resources and opportunities, believing that the only way the country can grow is if all its members are allowed to develop their talents, without having to face any socially-imposed obstacles to do so (Tilton, 1992; Hobson, 2003). In addition, an “equality”, as opposed to a “gender”, contract exists (Drew, 1998; Briskin and Eliasson, 1999), which has weakened the male-breadwinner family organization, allowing for women and men to become increasingly equally responsible for the private and public areas of their lives. The government of Sweden is attempting to dismantle the oppressive patriarchal system in society, by allowing men and women to perform different gender roles than the ones assigned to them through patriarchy. This could not have been achieved, however, without the creation of government policies, especially childcare and parental leave policies, regarding equalization.

The Swedish government has identified the problems of working parents as public rather than private issues, which is why it has developed childcare policies that lessen the private-versus-public role strain of working parents, especially mothers (le Grand and Tsukaguchi-le Grand, 2004; Briskin and Eliasson, 1999). In order for mothers to be able to disassociate themselves from their patriarchal gender roles, they must be able to solve the conflict between work and parenthood, and be able to have a choice between dedicating themselves to housework or to paid work (1999). Unlike Canadian childcare policies, Swedish ones have allowed women (and men) to choose, as they have been designed to be universal and accessible to all. For instance, pre- and after-school childcare exits in Sweden, being mainly organized by the local municipalities (2004). In addition, children up to the age of ten are cared for by their municipalities at greatly subsidized costs (le Grand and Tsukaguchi-le Grand, 2004; Björklund, 2004),
and since the early 1990s the local community has “a legal obligation to cover all the day-care needs for children over two years old” (Le Grand and Tsukaguchi-Le Grand, 2004:170). It is understandable that Swedish communities support this government initiative and abide by this woman-friendly law because of their political culture which strives to be egalitarian, and the support municipalities receive from the federal government. If the Canadian government was to take legal action involving Canadian communities’ funding, however, it would face opposition, given the fact that other issues have been historically prioritized over parental services with the potential of encouraging more female public participation. The Riksdag, on the other hand, has gone one step further in equalizing society and supporting women through its truly parental leave policies.

Working Swedish men and women are fortunate to be able to take parental leave that allows them to become more engaged with their private lives. For example, income-compensated parental leave was adopted in 1974 (2004; Briskin and Eliasson, 1999), which, unlike Canadian parental leave policies, allow both men and women to fully earn their salaries, while supporting their participation in the caring of their children. In addition, Swedish parents have the “right to be absent from work with compensation for 60 days per year and child in order to take care of sick children” (2004: 169). This policy is important because it enables men and women to balance their private and public lives, knowing that the government recognizes their roles as parents and encourages them to fulfil them without any restrictions or obstacles. Finally, the government has also recognized that women are the ones who take the most advantage of these parental leaves, just as it occurs in greater magnitude in Canada (2004). For this reason, the Riksdag introduced a “daddy month”, which sets a quota into the parental leave system that demands that fathers spend a full month with their children, not being able to trade this chance of parenthood with their spouses (2004; Briskin and Eliasson 1999). It is clear that these policies are only aimed at promoting gender equality in Swedish society, which has stimulated the increased participation of women in politics.

Both childcare and parental leave policies in Sweden have accomplished its main purpose: give men and women equal share of responsibilities in the private sphere of society. As Ohlander (1992), Briskin and Eliasson (1999) point out, by allowing women to decide whether or not they want to stay at
home or enter the public sphere, the Swedish childcare system is equalizing the roles within the family
and the larger society. Women are not relegated to the home any longer, and men are not expected to
exercise solely their public roles, as the government has taken real steps to ensure this is the case. In
addition, female politicians in Sweden do not have to worry about their children, who are well taken care
of by municipal services, or feel as if they had neglected them and their socially constructed “motherly”
duties. They are also free from their role strain, not having to compromise their public life with their
private one, as the government helps them be active in both. In this way, the equal share of public and
private responsibilities between men and women, and the government, has allowed for the mitigation of
inequality and for the increased participation of Swedish women in politics.

Women have come a long way, from not being considered human beings to being given the right
to vote. There is still major work to be done, however, especially in regards to women’s participation in
politics. As was explored in the Canadian and Swedish contexts, the political culture of a place influences
the way in which women are perceived by society and their governments, which in turn determines which
issues are prioritized and the actions the state will take to tackle “female” issues specifically, ultimately
allowing women’s entrance into the public sphere. The still very much patriarchal society in Canada, for
example, has not yet accepted women’s visible participation in politics. The Canadian government has not
taken any significant action, through childcare and parental leave policies, to allow women to more
actively engage in the public sphere, ultimately disassociating all of them, mothers and non-mothers, from
their “maternal” image and roles. This explains why the female turnout in Canadian politics is very low.
On the other hand, Swedish political culture is committed to egalitarianism and gender equity, and this is
reflected in the childcare and parental leave policies that the Riksdag has instituted to allow women to
leave their homes and participate in Swedish politics, acknowledging that women are first individuals and
not mothers. Canadian citizens must take action and demand more adamantly that all levels of
government support women in their quest to attain office, by introducing women-friendly policies and
allowing them to be seen as individuals, equal to men, and not solely procreators. Only in this way will
the so-called “democratic” Canadian government achieve the first-class Swedish democracy that does not
structurally discriminate against women but recognizes how imperative their input and participation in politics are.
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March 22, 2012

Her Worship Hazel McCallion
Mayor
The Corporation of the City of Mississauga
300 City Centre Drive
Mississauga, Ontario
L5B 3C1

Dear Mayor McCallion:

I would like to acknowledge receipt of your correspondence addressed to the Prime Minister, with which you enclosed a resolution of the City of Mississauga regarding the Federation of Canadian Municipalities pre-budget submission to the House of Commons Standing Committee on Finance. I regret the delay in replying.

You may be assured that the views expressed in the resolution have been carefully noted. I have taken the liberty of forwarding a copy of your correspondence to the Honourable James Flaherty, Minister of Finance. I am certain that the Minister will also appreciate receiving this information.

Thank you for writing to the Prime Minister.

Yours sincerely,

B. Funes
Executive Correspondence Officer

RECEIVED

REGISTRY No. [247]
DATE MAR 29 2012
FILE No.
MAYORS OFFICE
February 10, 2012

The Right Honourable Stephen Harper
Prime Minister of Canada
80 Wellington Street
Ottawa, Ontario
K1A 0A2

Dear Mr. Prime Minister:

Re: Federation of Canadian Municipalities Pre-Budget Submission Fall 2011

The Council of the Corporation of the City of Mississauga at its meeting on February 8, 2012, adopted the enclosed Resolution 0023-2012 with respect to ensuring that the Federation of Canadian Municipalities (FCM) pre-budget submission priorities will be fully reflected in the 2012 federal budget.

The Government of Canada asked for input from Canadians on the 2012 federal budget and the prosperity of all Canadian municipalities is directly affected by the federal budget and will determine whether or not Canada can be competitive.

On behalf of the members of Council, I urge the Government of Canada to support the pre-budget submission by the Federation of Canadian Municipalities (FCM) which outlines the issues of infrastructure, transportation and public transit, policing and public safety, housing and homelessness as federal budget priorities. I look forward to your favourable reply.

Sincerely,

HAZEL McCALLION, C.M., LL.D.
MAYOR

cc: Mississauga MPs
Members of Council
Federation of Canadian Municipalities

Enc.
RESOLUTION 0023-2012
adopted by the Council of
The Corporation of the City of Mississauga
at its meeting on February 8, 2012

0023-2012    Moved by: Chris Fonseca    Seconded by: Frank Dale

Whereas the Government of Canada seeks input from Canadians on the 2012 federal budget;

And Whereas the prosperity of all Canadian municipalities is directly affected by the federal budget and will determine whether or not Canada can be competitive;

And Whereas the City of Mississauga supports the pre-budget submission by the Federation of Canadian Municipalities (FCM) entitled, 'Keep Moving Forward' (Fall, 2011) which outlines the issues of infrastructure, transportation and public transit, policing and public safety, housing and homelessness, as federal budget priorities;

Now therefore be it resolved that the Corporation of the City of Mississauga requests the Government of Canada ensure that the FCM pre-budget submission priorities will be fully reflected in the 2012 federal budget; and

Further, that this resolution be forwarded to the Prime Minister, federal Minister of Finance; our local MPs, and the Federation of Canadian Municipalities.
Hi Carmela,
Thank you for getting back to me, with the available dates for the above. On behalf of the Brampton, Mississauga & District Labour Council, I am confirming our deputation on March 7th on CETA. As requested the Presenters names are: Motilall Sarjoo, Gogi Bhandal & James Macdowell.
The purpose of the deputation is as follow:

The Harper government continues to push forward the Comprehensive and Economic Trade Agreement (CETA) between Canada and the European Union. This is a massive bilateral trade deal that many Canadians know nothing about due to almost no public debate on the issue. If signed, this Agreement would threaten almost every aspect of Canada's social, economic and policy framework. Among its most significant impacts, is it will make it illegal for any level of government, including municipalities, to enact procurement policies that include 'buy local' provisions. We will be urging the Council to endorse the attached resolution.

Thanks.

Gogi Bhandal
Regional Representative
Canadian Labour Congress

"Injustice anywhere is a threat to justice everywhere" Martin Luther King
Canada-EU CETA
Proposed Municipal Resolution

WHEREAS the Canadian government is close to concluding negotiations with the European Union (EU) on a Comprehensive Economic and Trade Agreement (CETA), with participation from provinces and territories; and

WHEREAS (the Municipality) recognizes the importance of trade to local, provincial/territorial and national economies but also the impact that trade agreements can have on the powers of local governments; and

WHEREAS in the CETA, Canada has exchanged an initial procurement offer with the EU (listing sub-federal entities that will be bound by the rules of the procurement chapter) that may include (the Municipality) and that would explicitly tie the (the Municipality) to the terms and conditions of an international trade agreement; and

WHEREAS the EU is insisting on full access to procurement by municipalities, school boards, universities, hospitals, utilities and other provincial agencies, which could significantly reduce the freedom of these bodies to hire or source locally on public contracts, or to use public spending as a tool for economic development, environmental protection and support for local farmers and small businesses; and

WHEREAS procurement rules in the CETA combined with investment protections related to transit, water, electricity and other public services delivered locally may lock in privatization and make it prohibitively expensive to apply new regulations, to re-municipalize services, or create new municipal programs; and

WHEREAS (the Municipality) already has an open and fair procurement policy, and that it is not the international norm for municipal governments to be covered by procurement agreements such as the one proposed in the CETA; and

Canadian Labour Congress
Www.canadianlabour.ca
WHEREAS disputes by private firms against local policy decisions could be taken before private trade tribunals that lack transparency and have the authority to impose fines;

THEREFORE be it resolved that Council requests:

• that (the Province/Territory) issue a clear, permanent exemption for (the Municipality) from the Canada-EU CETA, and that it otherwise protect the powers of municipalities, hospitals, school boards, utilities, universities and other sub-federal agencies to use public procurement, services and investment as tools to create local jobs, protect the environment, and support local development; and that

• the (Province/Territory) disclose its initial procurement, services and investment offers to the EU, explain the impacts CETA would have on municipal governance, and give M.U.S.H sector entities the freedom to decide whether or not they will be bound by the procurement, investment and regulatory rules in the agreement; and that

• this resolution be sent to the Provincial/Territorial Municipal Association and the Federation of Canadian Municipalities, the (Provincial/Territorial) Hospital Association, the (Provincial/Territorial) University Association and the (Provincial/Territorial) School Board Association and any other relevant bodies for consideration and circulation.