



ENGINEERING



LABORATORY



HYDROGEOLOGICAL INVESTIGATION



PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT, 3855 DUNDAS STREET EAST, MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO

400 Esna Park Drive, Unit 15
Markham, ON
L3R 3K2

Tel: (905) 475-7755
Fax: (905) 475-7718
www.fisherenvironmental.com

Prepared for:
Dymon Group of Companies

Project No. FE-P 20-10464H
Updated November 8, 2021



Issued to: Dymon Group of Companies
2-1830 Walkley Road,
Ottawa, ON K1H 8K3

Contact: James Byck
jbyck@dymon.ca

Project Name: Proposed New Development: Hydrogeological Investigation

Project Address: 3855 Dundas Street East, Mississauga, Ontario

Project Number: FE-P 20-10464-H

Issued on: Updated November 8, 2021

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'SF' followed by a long horizontal stroke.

Project Manager:
(Primary Contact)

Sean Fisher, M.Sc. Eng.,
Project Manager,
sean@fishereng.com


A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'CW' followed by a horizontal line and another signature.

Report Prepared By:

Clive Wiggan, PhD, PMP,
Project Manager
clive@fishereng.com

Report Reviewed By:

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to be 'Frank Fan'.



Frank Fan, PEng,
Geotechnical Engineer
frank@fishereng.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION.....	2
2. SITE AND PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS	2
3. SCOPE OF HYDROGEOLOGICAL INVESTIGATION	3
4. FIELD AND LABORATORY WORKS	4
5. PAVEMENT AND SOIL CONDITIONS	5
6. HYDROGEOLOGICAL STUDY.....	6
6.1 HYDROGEOLOGICAL CONDITIONS.....	6
6.2 HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY K MODELING RESULTS	9
6.3 GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS FOR HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY K.....	9
7. CONSTRUCTION DEWATERING & PERMANENT DRAINAGE	10
7.1 CONSTRUCTION DEWATERING.....	10
7.2 GROUNDWATER QUALITY.....	12
7.3 DEWATERING INFLUENCE ZONE	13
7.4 HYDROGEOLOGICAL IMPACT	13
8. ONTARIO MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT WATER WELL RECORDS.....	14
9. DISCUSSION.....	16
10. LIMITATIONS.....	17
APPENDIX A – SITE AND LOCATION PLANS.....	A
APPENDIX B – LOG OF BOREHOLES.....	B
APPENDIX C – GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION ANALYSES	C
APPENDIX D – SEWER BYLAWS RESULTS	D
APPENDIX E – HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY ANALYSES	E
APPENDIX F –DEWATERING RATES AND RADIUS OF INFLUENCE	F
APPENDIX G – PRIVATE WELL RECORDS	G

1. INTRODUCTION

Fisher Engineering Ltd (Fisher) was commissioned by Dymon Group of Companies to carry out a Hydrogeological Investigation at the property municipally addressed as 3855 Dundas Street East in Mississauga, Ontario, hereinafter referred to as the 'Site'.

The purpose of the Hydrogeological Investigation was to evaluate the groundwater conditions with respect to the proposed construction of a new building with no underground levels.

Updates in relation to the previous versions of the report are summarized as follows:

- Two additional buildings covering the southeastern quadrant of the property are proposed along with infiltration facilities at the west and southeast section of the site. An onsite sewer system, which includes tank and leaching bed areas are proposed at the northeastern quadrant based on the Site Servicing Plan prepared by Crozier Consulting Engineers, dated November 20, 2020.
- Finished Floor Elevations (FFE) are given as:
 - Building 1: 171.40m asl.
 - Building 2: 171.55m asl and
 - Building 3: 171.55m asl.
- Wilkinson Heavy Precast Fire Tank and Onsite Sewage System tank for Waterloo Biofilter or equivalent to be located as per site plan.

2. SITE AND PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS

Site Settings

The Site is located at the north side of Dundas Street East, approximately 350m west of Highway 403, in a mixed-use area, and is bounded by Ninth Line to the west, baseball diamonds to the north, undeveloped land to the east, beyond which is HWY403 and Dundas Street East to the south. The property has an approximate area of 8,053m² and is rectangular in shape.

At the time of the investigation, the Site was mainly covered with grass/weeds but appeared to have been graded in the recent past. Access to the property is via an unpaved entrance off Dundas Street East.



Topography

Site grades were generally flat sloping towards drainage ditches. An average ground surface elevation of 171.15m asl was used for this report based on elevations at BH/MW locations.

Proposed Development

It was understood that the proposed development will comprise the construction of three buildings with up to 5-storeys. The buildings will have no underground or basement levels and will cover the western portion of the Site, adjacent to Ninth Line, and the southeastern quadrant of the property. Finished Floor Elevation (FFE) are 171.40m, 171.55m and 171.55m asl for Buildings 1, 2 and 3 respectively according to the Site Servicing Plan by Crozier Consulting Engineers, dated November 20, 2020 and revised site drawings. Building areas of 3,471m², 1,248m² and 1,248m² are shown on the architectural plans, prepared by Nicholas Caragianis Architect Inc, dated August 26, 2021, which were provided to Fisher for the updated report. Average footing depths, for conventional footings, of 2.4m, 3.0m and 2.8m bgs were assumed for Buildings 1, 2 and 3 based on recommendations in the geotechnical engineering report.

3. SCOPE OF HYDROGEOLOGICAL INVESTIGATION

The Hydrogeological Investigation works were required to:

- 1) Establish groundwater conditions for the design of dewatering works, if required, prior to construction of the proposed building.
- 2) Determine the need for permanent drainage and
- 3) Conduct calculations/analyses of the groundwater quantity and quality to be used for the necessary permits applications prior to proceeding with construction dewatering and design of permanent drainage, if necessary.

The scope of this work generally consisted of the following:

- **Drilling/locating Monitoring Wells.** Drilling of, and locating existing, monitoring wells and reviewing / compiling borehole logs and onsite / laboratory testing.
- **Data Evaluation.** Evaluating the results of soil types, groundwater static levels, ground surface elevation, groundwater quality, flow direction and other available hydrogeological data for the Site and their potential impact on the proposed development.



- **Hydraulic Conductivity Tests.** Conduct single well response tests in monitoring wells and record groundwater level drawdown and recovery to model/calculate hydraulic conductivity.
- **Groundwater Quality Analysis.** Carry out laboratory analyses on soil and groundwater to determine compliance with the Ontario Reg. Mun of Peel Sanitary Bylaw #53-2010 and Peel Storm Sewer By-law #53-201 (Apr 2011).
- **Groundwater Level Monitoring.** Conduct long term monitoring of the groundwater levels to determine seasonal highwater levels at the Site.
- **Private Well Survey.** Carry out a search of private supply wells in proximity to the Site.
- **Hydrogeological Report.** Prepare and submit a report detailing the findings and recommendations of the Hydrogeological Investigation.

4. FIELD AND LABORATORY WORKS

Subsurface exploration for the initial Hydrogeological Investigation was conducted on August 19, 2020 and consisted of the drilling of four (4) boreholes to depths of 5.03m below existing grades (BH1 to BH4). Seven (7) additional boreholes were drilled on September 13 and 14, 2021 to depths of 5.03m bgs. All boreholes were instrumented as monitoring wells (MW101 to MW104 and MW201 to 207) for groundwater monitoring and testing. Groundwater monitoring was carried out in all installed wells and two existing monitoring wells (MW2 & MW4, installed during a previous geotechnical investigation in 2018). A clean silica sand pack was placed around the well screens and isolated with bentonite to depths below existing grade as shown in the borehole details in Appendix B. Six (6) shallow boreholes (TH1 to TH6) were drilled during the recent investigation to be used for infiltration tests.

Truck/track mounted drill rigs equipped with solid stem augers, supplied by Terra Firma Services, were used for all drilling work.

Laboratory Analyses

Five (5) representative soil samples, from BH101 were selected and submitted to Fisher Environmental laboratory for grain size distribution and moisture content analyses. Two (2) soil samples from BH102 and BH103 were submitted to ALS Environmental laboratory for grain size and hydrometer analyses. Six (6) soil samples from the shallow boreholes (TH1 to TH6) were submitted for grain size and moisture content analyses and three (3) samples for hydrometer testing. The laboratory results, which are presented in Appendix C, are consistent with the field description for subsurface soils discussed in Section 5.0.



One groundwater sample from MW202 was submitted to ALS Environmental laboratory for analysis of water quality under the Ontario Reg. Mun of Peel Sanitary Bylaw #53-2010 and Peel Storm Sewer By-law #53-201 (Apr 2011). The results are presented in Appendix D.

The soil samples recovered during the recent investigation will be stored in the Fisher Environmental laboratory for a period of 30 days after submitting this report and will be discarded thereafter unless otherwise instructed by the client.

Site Survey

Elevations at borehole/monitoring well locations were interpolated from a survey plan prepared by Speight, Van Nostrand & Gibson Limited dated September 10, 2018 which was provided to Fisher during the investigation.

5. PAVEMENT AND SOIL CONDITIONS

Surface and subsurface conditions encountered at borehole locations are shown in Appendix B - Log of Boreholes, and are summarized as follows:

- **Fill/Disturbed Soil** – A layer of fill/disturbed soil was encountered in all boreholes at ground surface and extended to depths of 0.30 to 3.00m below prevailing grade. The fill materials generally consisted of reddish brown/to grey, silt/clayey silt, with trace of gravel/shale fragments, asphalt and bricks. Brown to grey silty clay with sand seams followed by black organic silty clay fill was reported in BH2 below the earth fill layer. The encountered layer of fill, which appears to be due to recent earth work, was moist to dry and was in a loose to compact state and was generally deeper in the northern section of the property covered by BH2, BH201 and BH202. A deeper layer of fill/disturbed soil was also encountered in the southeast section covered by BH207.

Depth and elevation of the fill encountered in all boreholes are presented in Table 1.

- **CLAYEY SILT TILL** – Reddish brown to greyish brown, moist to dry clayey silt till with trace gravel and pieces of shale were encountered below the fill and extended to termination depth in most boreholes. The encountered clayey silt till was overlain by a dark brown to grey clayey silt layer in BH102.



- **Suspected Shale** – Reddish brown, dry, hard shale/weathered shale was encountered at 3.2m bgs in BH203. SPT values of over 100 were observed in the shale. Refusal to power auguring occurred at approximately 4.72m bgs in the shale material.

Table 1: Fill depths and Elevations

Borehole No.	BH201	BH202	BH203	BH204	BH205	BH206	BH207	BH101	BH102	BH103	BH104	BH2	BH4
Surface Elevation (m asl)	171.50	171.09	170.98	170.41	171.33	171.42	170.89	171.40	171.22	171.25	171.35	171.09	170.98
Depth of Borehole (m bgs)	5.03	5.03	5.03	4.72	5.03	5.03	4.99	5.03	5.03	5.03	5.03	6.71	6.55
Elevation at Bottom of Borehole (m asl)	166.47	166.06	165.95	165.69	166.30	166.39	165.90	166.37	166.19	166.22	166.32	164.38	164.43
Depth of Fill (m bgs)	3.05	1.98	0.23	1.07	1.07	1.37	2.44	0.76	1.22	0.3	0.61	1.85	0.46
Elevation at Bottom of Fill (m asl)	168.45	169.11	170.75	169.34	170.26	170.05	168.45	170.64	170.00	170.95	170.74	169.24	170.52

6. HYDROGEOLOGICAL STUDY

Hydrogeological study for the subject Site was conducted based on the boreholes/wells' exploration, observation and Site/Laboratory testing. Groundwater details from the eleven newly installed and two existing monitoring wells were used in the Hydrogeological Study. The wells were constructed with 3.05 (10') long, 51mm diameter PVC slotted screen pipes and risers as shown in Appendix B. Clean silica sand packs were placed around each well screen which was isolated with bentonite extending to slightly below existing grade.

6.1 Hydrogeological Conditions

Review of the available surficial geological and hydrogeological information for the area shows that the soils comprise generally of Glacial Ice Deposits consisting predominantly of Young Till, clayey silt till and sandy silt till (Quaternary Geology, Toronto and Surrounding Area, Ontario Geological Survey Map 2204,



1998). Underlying bedrock is represented by shale, limestone, dolostone and siltstone of the Queenston Formation and is generally less than 10m below existing grade.

The subsoils and hydrogeological conditions were observed and recorded during the previous Geotechnical and current Hydrogeological Investigations. Based on the boreholes/wells' exploration, the Site subsoils were dominated by a layer of reddish brown, moist to dry, stiff to hard clayey silt till beneath the fill/disturbed soil layers. A layer of grey to brown, moist, firm clayey silt was observed in some boreholes overlying the clayey silt till. Pieces of shale were observed embedded in the clayey silt till at further depths. Dry, hard, weathered shale was observed in one borehole at 3.2m bgs. The observed soil stratigraphy is consistent with the regional geology.

All monitoring wells used for the investigation, including existing wells, were purged/developed, and groundwater levels measured on subsequent occasions. Measured groundwater depths and elevations are summarized in Table 2.

Notes on Table 2:

The following general comments regarding groundwater conditions are based on the groundwater level data and the Site investigation:

- All boreholes were observed to be generally dry on completion of drilling. Small quantity of water was observed in the open borehole at the bottom of BH202.
- Groundwater levels were between 0.42m and 5.10m below existing grade (elevations of 165.99m to 169.99m asl). Depths to observed groundwater were generally greater than 1.2m. Higher gwls were observed in MW204 towards the eastern boundary of the property.
- No defined aquifer was encountered within the investigated depths on the Site.
- Given the proposed development, recommended average conventional footing elevations would be approximately 168.82m, 167.94m and 168.36m asl for Buildings 1, 2 and 3 respectively with no UG levels. Footings for the elevator shaft are expected to be another 1.5m below. Therefore, conventional footings would extend just below the groundwater levels observed during the Hydrogeological Investigation.
- The nearest body of surface water is the Credit River located approximately 5.1km east of the Site. Historical maps show numerous small creeks/drainage ditches within 1km radius of the Site.



Table 2: Groundwater Levels and Elevations

Well No.	Elev. at Ground (m)	Depth of Well/BH		On Completion		02-Nov-18		27-Aug-20		04-Sep-20		17-Sep-21		29-Sep-21		13-Oct-21		27-Oct-21	
		m bgs	m asl	GW level, m bgs	GW Ele, m asl	GW level, m bgs	GW Ele, m asl	GW level, m bgs	GW Ele, m asl	GW level, m bgs	GW Ele, m asl	GW level, m bgs	GW Ele, m asl	GW level, m bgs	GW Ele, m asl	GW level, m bgs	GW Ele, m asl	GW level, m bgs	GW Ele, m asl
MW201	171.50	4.57	166.93	Dry	-	n/a	-	n/a	-	n/a	-	2.89	168.61	2.81	168.69	2.59	168.91	2.42	169.08
MW202	171.09	4.57	166.52	4.55	166.54	n/a	-	n/a	-	n/a	-	1.43	169.66	1.33	169.76	1.43	169.66	1.40	169.69
MW203	170.98	4.57	166.41	Dry	-	n/a	-	n/a	-	n/a	-	1.62	169.36	1.53	169.45	1.55	169.43	1.48	169.50
MW204	170.41	4.57	165.84	Dry	-	n/a	-	n/a	-	n/a	-	1.45	168.96	0.71	169.70	0.49	169.92	0.42	169.99
MW205	171.33	4.57	166.76	Dry	-	n/a	-	n/a	-	n/a	-	3.94	167.39	3.91	167.42	3.40	167.93	2.99	168.34
MW206	171.42	4.57	166.85	Dry	-	n/a	-	n/a	-	n/a	-	4.11	167.31	4.03	167.39	3.64	167.78	3.98	167.44
MW207	170.89	4.57	166.32	Dry	-	n/a	-	n/a	-	n/a	-	3.72	167.17	3.67	167.22	2.83	168.06	2.19	168.70
MW101	171.40	4.57	166.83	Dry	-	n/a	-	Dry	-	Dry	-	1.83	169.57	1.71	169.69	1.72	169.68	1.74	169.66
MW102	171.22	4.57	166.65	Dry	-	n/a	-	Dry	-	3.77	167.45	1.46	169.76	1.28	169.94	1.41	169.81	1.32	169.90
MW103	171.25	4.57	166.68	Dry	-	n/a	-	Dry	-	Dry	-	4.12	167.13	4.10	167.15	3.96	167.29	3.87	167.38
MW104	171.35	4.57	166.78	Dry	-	n/a	-	4.32	167.03	3.89	167.47	3.38	167.97	3.39	167.96	2.95	168.40	1.27	170.08
MW2	171.09	6.10	164.99	Dry	-	5.10	165.99	4.12	166.97	3.04	168.05	1.64	169.45	1.62	169.47	1.51	169.58	2.69	168.40
MW4	170.98	6.10	164.88	Dry	-	1.67	169.31	2.09	168.89	1.40	169.58	1.56	169.42	1.37	169.61	1.26	169.72	1.25	169.73



6.2 Hydraulic Conductivity K Modeling Results

Single Well Response Tests

Single well response tests (SWRT) were conducted in MW102 and MW104 on September 4, 2020 and in MW204, MW205 and MW207 on September 17, 2021. The water bearing media, consisting of silt seams/pockets embedded in the predominantly clayey silt till, were assumed to be unconfined, homogenous, isotropic and of uniform thickness. It was also assumed that the wells fully penetrated the water bearing seams/pockets. Data from the single well response tests were used to calculate the hydraulic conductivity values using Luthin's method.

Details of the hydraulic conductivity analyses derived from single well response tests are presented in Appendix E and summarized in Table 3.

Table 3: Summary of Single Well Response Tests and Hydraulic Conductivity Results

Test Wells	Well Surface Elevation (m asl)	Groundwater Depth (m)	Screen Elevation (m asl)	Variance of water head created (m)	30 Minutes/ Recovery Percentage	Hydraulic Conductivity, K (Luthin's Method)	
						m/s	m/day
MW204	170.41	1.45	165.84 - 168.89	2.595	30 min / 19%	1.36×10^{-7}	0.012
MW205	171.33	3.94	166.76 - 169.81	0.53	30 min / 22%	1.06×10^{-7}	0.009
MW207	170.89	3.72	166.32 - 169.37	0.73	30 min / 17%	7.57×10^{-8}	0.007
MW102	171.22	3.77	166.65 - 169.70	0.66	25 min / 15%	1.06×10^{-7}	0.009
MW104	171.35	3.89	166.78 - 169.83	0.61	25 min / 14%	9.08×10^{-8}	0.008

The average hydraulic conductivity was used in the calculation of dewatering volumes.

6.3 Grain Size Analysis for Hydraulic Conductivity K

Representative soil samples from BH102, BH103, TH2, TH4 and TH6 were selected from depths associated with the recommended footing locations or change in soil stratigraphy and submitted to ALS Environmental Laboratory and Fisher Environmental Laboratory for grain size distribution and hydrometer analyses. The results for the grain size distribution and hydrometer analyses are presented in Appendix C.

The grain size distribution results were used to estimate the hydraulic conductivity (k) of the overburden soils. The hydraulic conductivity values at various depths, based on grain size, are summarized in Table 4. The estimated K values are expectedly lower than those obtained during the single well response tests as



the insitu tests account for more permeable horizontal seams which are not represented in the laboratory samples.

Table 4: Hydraulic Conductivity Estimated from Grain Size Analysis

Location	Depth of soil sample (m)	Soil Classification	Estimated Hydraulic Conductivity (Hazen Number)	
			m/s	m/day
TH1	5 – 6½	Clay and Silt, some Sand, trace gravel (Till)	9×10^{-10}	0.0000778
TH4	2½ - 4	Clay and Silt, some Sand, Trace gravel (Till)	9×10^{-10}	0.0000778
TH6	5 – 6½	Sandy Clay, trace Gravel (Till)	4×10^{-9}	0.0003456
BH102	10 – 11½'	Clayey, Sandy Silt, trace gravel (Till)	1×10^{-9}	0.0000864
BH103	10 – 11½'	Sandy, Clayey Silt, trace Gravel (Till)	1×10^{-8}	0.000864

7. CONSTRUCTION DEWATERING & PERMANENT DRAINAGE

7.1 Construction Dewatering

Finished floor elevations were taken as 171.40m, 171.55m and 171.55m asl for Buildings 1, 2 and 3 respectively. Underside of footings were assumed at 168.82m, 167.94m and 168.36m asl respectively. Building areas of 3,471m², 1,248m² and 1,248m² were used in the calculation of dewatering quantities.

The average of the highest groundwater levels observed in wells covering the areas of Buildings 1, 2 and 3 (1.56m, 1.23m and 2.83m bgs) were used to calculate construction dewatering rates. The highest observed groundwater level in each building area (1.26m, 0.42m and 2.83m bgs for Buildings 1, 2 and 3 respectively) was used to calculate permanent drainage rates. Based on the highest groundwater levels, observed during the investigation, the recommended average footing depths will be below the groundwater levels, depending on the time of year that construction takes place. Groundwater levels should therefore be lowered to at least 1m below the base of the footings to prevent hydraulic uplift/piping during construction.

Based on the calculations, shown in Appendix F, construction dewatering flowrates of **3.59, 3.05 and 1.38 m³/day (3,590, 3,050 and 1,380 L/day)** were obtained for Buildings 1, 2 and 3 respectively. A factor of



safety of 1.5 should be applied to construction dewatering rates to give **5.39, 4.58 and 2.07 m³/day (5,390, 4,580 and 2,070 L/day)**.

Provisions should be made to pump any encountered groundwater from the excavation area for the Wilkinson Heavy Precast Fire Tank and Onsite Sewage System tank for Waterloo Biofilter or equivalent to be located as per site plan. A nominal flowrate of **1.0 m³/day** for each structure should be used for planning purposes.

Seasonal High Groundwater Levels

Further groundwater level monitoring was carried out during September and October 2021. The highest groundwater levels observed on the site may be taken as representative of seasonal highwater levels at the site. These were used to estimate permanent drainage rates and construction dewatering quantities.

Accounting for Accumulated Precipitation

Provisions should be made to pump any accumulated water from the excavation areas during construction, particularly following a period of heavy rainfall. For example, 25mm rainfall in 24 hrs may result in accumulation of approximately 87, 31 and 31 m³ in the excavated area for Buildings 1, 2 and 3 respectively (predominantly clayey silt till). Considering the low infiltration capacity of the soils at the expected excavation base, some of this water will accumulate and some will be lost otherwise. Conservative accumulated volumes of **35, 15 and 15 m³/day** may be assumed for extreme rainfall events in the excavation area for Buildings 1, 2 and 3 respectively. Accumulated precipitation may be stored on Site for subsequent disposal to an MOECC-licensed facility. If the water is to be discharged into the public sewer system, then an application for the discharge of private water will have to be made to the City of Mississauga (storm) or the Region of Peel (sanitary). The water quality, at the time of the application, will need to be ascertained to ensure compliance with the Ontario Reg. Mun of Peel Sanitary Bylaw #53-2010 and Peel Storm Sewer By-law #53-201 (Apr 2011).

The **maximum factored construction discharge** rates, taking into consideration accumulated precipitation volumes and seasonal high groundwater levels, are **40.39, 19.58 and 17.07 m³/day (40,390, 19,580 and 17,070 L/day)** for Buildings 1, 2 and 3 respectively.



Permanent Drainage

The highest groundwater elevations observed at the Site during the investigation are 169.94m, 169.99m and 168.06m asl in the areas of Buildings 1, 2 and 3 respectively with FFE of 171.40m, 171.55m and 171.55m asl. These represent differences of 1.46m, 1.56m and 3.49m above observed highest groundwater elevations in each building area. Under the observed groundwater conditions, for the buildings with no underground levels, neither permanent under slab nor perimeter drainage will be required. Ground surface around the buildings should however be graded away to prevent buildup or drainage of stormwater towards the building.

It should be noted that any loading dock which is below, or within 1m of the observed highest groundwater levels should be provided with under slab drainage unless designed as watertight. A nominal drainage rate of **1m³/day** should be used for design of drainage for loading bays described above.

It is also recommended that the subsurface portion of the elevator shaft be designed as watertight.

Permission to take water (PTTW)

As the calculated total construction dewatering flow rate is more than 50,000 L/day, registration on the MOECC Environmental Activity and Sector Registry (EASR) for Water Taking will be required. An application for permission to take water (PTTW) is not required as the daily flow rate is less than 400,000 litres for construction and less than 50,000 litres for permanent drainage.

7.2 Groundwater Quality

The results (September 23, 2021) of analyses for water quality under the Ontario Reg. Mun of Peel Sanitary Bylaw #53-2010 and Peel Storm Sewer By-law #53-201 (Apr 2011), show compliance with all parameters except as listed in Table 5.

Based on the results in Table 5, the groundwater will need to be treated before it can be discharged into the public storm or sanitary sewer system.

It should also be noted that testing of groundwater at the depths observed during the investigation would not be representative of the water that might accumulate during a high rainfall event. Any accumulation of precipitation occurring in the excavation during construction, that may require offsite discharge, will have to be tested at the time of the event to determine the quality of water for discharge.



Table 5: Results from Sewer Use Bylaw tests

Parameters	Guide Limits		Results
	Table 1	Table 2	MW102
Total suspended solids, mg/L	350	15	161
Manganese, mg/L	5	0.05	1.21
Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN), mg/L	100	1.0	1.40
Sulphate, mg/L	1500	-	1540
Fecal Coliforms, CFU/100mL	-	0	770

7.3 Dewatering Influence Zone

Based on the preceding calculations for dewatering quantities during excavation/footing construction, groundwater drawdown influence zones are up to 1.78m from the edge of the excavation areas in the mainly clayey silt till. As the dewatering quantity, if any, will be nominal, dewatering can be carried out by pumping from sump pits. Consequently, there should be no impact on surrounding structures due to construction dewatering.

7.4 Hydrogeological Impact

During the investigation, it was determined that there will not be any negative impact to the natural environment, City of Mississauga/Peel Region Sewer works nor surrounding properties due to construction dewatering because of the depth at which groundwater was observed and the short influence zone in the mainly clayey silt till. No groundwater induced depression at surface level is expected. Consequently, it is not expected that construction will impact public infrastructure, the natural environment nor will there be any settlement issues.



8. ONTARIO MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT WATER WELL RECORDS

The MOE Water Well Records for existing private wells in a 500m radius of the Site were obtained to determine the characteristics of existing private wells in the vicinity of the subject Site. A Total of sixteen (16) well records were reviewed from the MOE online water well record mapping resource. The records show that fourteen (14) wells were installed in shale/limestone, encountered at depths of eight to fifty-five feet (8-55') below prevailing grades. Well depths vary from twenty-eight to two hundred and twenty-four feet (28-224') with an average approximate depth of 93'. Two wells were reportedly decommissioned. A summary of the well characteristics for the fourteen (14) water wells within 500m of the Site is presented in Table 6 with details in Appendix G.

The MOE Water Well Records for drinking water wells surrounding the subject Site show that water in existing private wells was encountered at depth of twelve to one hundred and thirty (12-130') feet during installation with an average approximate depth of 65 feet bgs. Recommended pumping depths vary between 27 and 105 feet with an average recommended depth of 75'. Recommended pumping rates vary between 1 and 5 gpm with an average recommended rate of 2.3 gpm. One well was abandoned due to insufficient supply.



Table 6. MOECC details of wells within 500m radius of Site boundary

No	MOE Well ID	Date Completed	Well Completion	Well Type	Bedrock depth, feet	Water Found at, feet	Static Water Level, feet	Well Depth, feet	Recommended pumping rate, GPM	Recommended Pumping Depth, feet
1	910346653	30-Sept-1959	Shale	Domestic Water Supply	26	66	15	81.0	2.5	70
2	910337800	7-Sept-1955	Shale	Domestic Water Supply	55	70	25	75.0	0.5	65
3	910337978	n/a	Limestone	Commercial Water Supply – drive in theatre	8	n/a	50	224.0	3.0	n/a
4	910337984	14-Sept-1967	Shale	Domestic Water Supply	23	69-85	20	85.0	1.0	80
5	910339323	2-Dec-1971	Shale	Domestic Water Supply	20	25	10	28.0	n/a	27
6	910485009	24-Apr-1982	Shale	Domestic Water Supply	22	98	12	110.0	5.0	105
7	910103887	29-Jul-1985	Shale	Commercial Water Supply	50	52	15	70.0	1.0	67
8	910103357	25-Apr-1992	Shale	Domestic Water Supply	35	88	9	93.0	3.5	89
9	910103811	8-May-1996	Shale	Domestic Water Supply- Abandoned – insufficient supply	26	n/a	n/a	100.0	n/a	n/a
10	910550859	19-Dec-2002	n/a	Decommissioning	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
11	910532650	14-Aug-2001	Shale	Domestic Water Supply	32	12-32	12	47.5	3.0	45
12	21071884	-Oct-2007	Shale	Commercial Water Supply	15.54m	26m	n/a	28.65m	4.5 L/min	27m
13	1003476639	08-Nov-2010	Shale	Place of Worship	29	35-60	n/a	70	4.0	65
14	1004128056	23-Jul-2012	Shale	Commercial Water Supply	52	130	n/a	130.0	1.0	125
15	1005391871	02-Dec-2014	n/a	Commercial Water Supply	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
16		05-Oct-2019	n/a	Decommissioning	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a



9. DISCUSSION

Hydraulic conductivity values from the single well response tests vary between 7.57×10^{-8} m/s (0.007 m/day) and 1.36×10^{-7} m/s (0.012 m/day). An average value was used to calculate dewatering rates.

Groundwater levels vary between 0.42m and 5.10m bgs (elevations of 165.99m to 169.99m asl). An average of the highest observed groundwater level in each building location was used to calculate construction dewatering quantities. The highest observed groundwater level in each building location was used to estimate permanent drainage rates.

Maximum construction groundwater dewatering flowrates of **3.59, 3.05 and 1.38 m³/day** were estimated for the buildings with no underground levels. Based on the groundwater levels observed during the investigation, permanent under slab and perimeter drainage will not be required. Ground surface should however be sloped away from the buildings to prevent ponding of water in proximity to the building.

Based on the groundwater levels observed during the investigation pumping from sump pits should be adequate for construction dewatering.

It should be noted that if it is intended that any accumulated water/groundwater, following periods of heavy rainfall, be discharged into the public sewer, then a permit to discharge would be required along with laboratory analyses to ensure compliance with Ontario Reg. Mun of Peel Sanitary Bylaw #53-2010 and Peel Storm Sewer By-law #53-201 (Apr 2011).

Based on the total dewatering quantities, including accumulated precipitation in the excavation areas, registration on the EASR for water taking will be required during construction. An application for PTTW will not be required.

Fourteen active supply wells were observed in proximity to the property with recommended pumping rates of 1-5 gpm from average depths of approximately 75 feet below prevailing grades.



10.LIMITATIONS

This report is limited in scope to those items specifically referenced in the text. The discussions and recommendations presented in this report are intended only as guidance for the named client, design engineers and those directly associated with the implementation and monitoring of the project. The information on which these recommendations are based is subject to confirmation by engineering personnel at the time of construction. Localized variations in the subsoil conditions may be present between and beyond the boreholes and should be verified during construction.

As more specific subsurface information becomes available during excavations on the Site, this report should be updated. Contractors bidding on or undertaking the work should decide on their own investigations, as well as their own interpretations of the factual borehole results. This concern specifically applies to the classification of the subsurface soil and the potential reuse of these soils on/off Site. Contractors should draw their own conclusions as to how the near surface and subsurface conditions may affect them.



APPENDIX A – SITE AND LOCATION PLANS





400 Esna Park Dr., #15
Markham, Ontario
L3R 3K2

Tel: 905 475-7755
Fax: 905 475-7718

KEY PLAN



LEGEND



PROJECT NAME AND ADDRESS
HYDROGEOLOGICAL
INVESTIGATION

3855 DUNDAS STREET E,
MISSISSAUGA, ON


PROJECT NO.
FE-P20-10464

DATE
SEPTEMBER 2020

SCALE
As shown

FIGURE: A


Site Location Map.



400 Esna Park Dr., #15
Markham, Ontario
L3R 3K2

Tel: 905 475-7755
Fax: 905 475-7718

NORTH



LEGEND

— SITE BOUNDARY

● BOREHOLE WITH MONITORING WELL LOCATION

● TEST HOLE LOCATION

● EXISTING BOREHOLE WITH MONITORING WELL LOCATION

PROJECT NAME AND ADDRESS

**HYDROGEOLOGICAL &
GECOTECHNICAL
INVESTIGATIONS**

3855 Dundas Street East,
Mississauga, ON.

PROJECT NO.

FE-P 21-11439/40

DATE.

13 October 2021

SCALE.

AS SHOWN

FIGURE 1.1:

**SITE PLAN WITH
TEST HOLE AND
MONITORING WELL
LOCATIONS**

SHEET NO.

A2

TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY OF
PART OF LOT 9
REGISTRAR'S COMPILED PLAN 1542
CITY OF MISSISSAUGA
REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF PEEL
SCALE 1 : 500

SPEIGHT, VAN NOSTRAND & GIBSON LIMITED
ONTARIO LAND SURVEYORS
2018

THE REPRODUCTION, ALTERATION OR USE OF THIS PLAN,
IN WHOLE OR IN PART, WITHOUT THE EXPRESS PERMISSION OF
SPEIGHT, VAN NOSTRAND & GIBSON LIMITED IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED.

ELEVATION NOTE

ELEVATIONS ARE GEODETIC AND ARE DERIVED FROM THE CITY OF
MISSISSAUGA BENCHMARK No. 075023031.

LOCATION :

BRASS CAP SET AT TOP OF CONCRETE CYLINDER LOCATED AT THE
NORTH-EAST CORNER OF THE INTERSECTION OF DUNDAS STREET
WEST AND VEGA BOULEVARD, 16M EAST OF THE CENTRELINE OF VEGA
BOULEVARD AND 27M NORTH OF CENTRELINE OF DUNDAS STREET WEST.

ELEVATION:

PUBLISHED ELEVATION = 169.073 metres.

TO OBTAIN GEODETIC ELEVATIONS (1978 G.S.C. RE-ADJUSTMENT) SUBTRACT (0.121 metres)
FROM VALUES SHOWN HEREIN.

BEARING NOTE

BEARINGS SHOWN HEREON ARE GRID AND ARE REFERRED TO THE
EASTERLY LIMIT OF PART 9, AS SHOWN ON PLAN 43R-32759,
HAVING A BEARING OF N54°08'10"W.

METRIC

DISTANCES SHOWN ON THIS PLAN ARE IN METRES
AND CAN BE CONVERTED TO FEET BY DIVIDING BY 0.3048.

LEGEND

■	DENOTES	SURVEY MONUMENT FOUND
■	WIT	SURVEY MONUMENT PLANTED
■	SSIB	WITNESS MONUMENT
■	IB	STANDARD IRON BAR
■	CC	SHORT STANDARD IRON BAR
■	N.S.E.W	IRON BAR
■	OU	CUT CROSS
■	950	NORTH, SOUTH, EAST, WEST
■	JOB	ORIGIN UNKNOWN
■	P1	CUNNINGHAM MCCONNELL LIMITED, O.L.S.
■		J. D. BARNES LIMITED, O.L.S.
■		PLAN 43R-32759

MH	MANHOLE
GUY	GUY WIRE
WMH	WATER MANHOLE
HMH	HYDRO MANHOLE
BMH	BELL MANHOLE
CB	CATCH BASIN
FB	FIRE HYDRANT
WV	WATER VALVE
GV	GAS VALVE
HW	HAND WELL
ATS	AUTOMATIC TRAFFIC SIGNAL
WHP	WOODEN HYDRO POLE
CLS	CONCRETE LIGHT STANDARD
MLS	METAL LIGHT STANDARD
HB	HYDRO BOX
WELL	MONITORING WELL
B	BOLLARD
○	DECIDUOUS TREE
●	CONIFEROUS TREE
■	CONCRETE
■	GRAVEL

SURVEYOR'S CERTIFICATE

I CERTIFY THAT :


- THIS SURVEY AND PLAN ARE CORRECT AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SURVEYS ACT,
THE SURVEYORS ACT AND THE REGULATIONS MADE UNDER THEM.
- THE SURVEY WAS COMPLETED ON SEPTEMBER 7th, 2018.

DATE : SEPTEMBER 10th, 2018

D. A. WILTON
ONTARIO LAND SURVEYOR


SPEIGHT, VAN NOSTRAND & GIBSON LIMITED
ONTARIO LAND SURVEYORS
750 OAKDALE ROAD, Units 65 & 66
TORONTO, ONTARIO M3N 2Z4
TEL 416 749-SVNG(7864) FAX 416 749-7866
E-MAIL: toronto@svng.on.ca


ASSOCIATION OF ONTARIO
LAND SURVEYORS
PLAN SUBMISSION FORM
2068653



THIS PLAN IS NOT VALID
UNLESS IT IS AN EMBOSSED
ORIGINAL COPY
ISSUED BY THE SURVEYOR
in accordance with
Regulation 1026, Section 29(3)

DRAWN : E. D./F. P. B.	FILE NAME : A1800104.DWG
CHECKED : D. A. W.	PLOT SCALE : MET.1=0.50
JOB No. : 180-0104	PLOTTED : APRIL 25, 2018
REF. No. : 1-RCP 1542 PEEL	UPDATED :






400 Esna Park Dr., #15
Markham, Ontario
L3R 3K2

Tel: 905 475-7755
Fax: 905 475-7718

NORTH



LEGEND

- SITE BOUNDARY
- BOREHOLE WITH MONITORING WELL LOCATION
- TEST HOLE LOCATION
- EXISTING BOREHOLE WITH MONITORING WELL LOCATION
- CROSS SECTION LINE

PROJECT NAME AND ADDRESS

HYDROGEOLOGICAL &
GECOTECHNICAL
INVESTIGATIONS

3855 Dundas Street East,
Mississauga, ON.

PROJECT NO.

FE-P 21-11439/40

DATE.

13 October 2021

SCALE.

AS SHOWN

FIGURE 1.2:

SITE PLAN WITH
TEST HOLE AND
MONITORING WELL
LOCATIONS

SHEET NO.

A4



400 Esna Park Dr., #15
Markham, Ontario
L3R 3K2

Tel: 905 475-7755
Fax: 905 475-7718

NORTH

LEGEND

- ASPHALT
- FILL
- SILT
- CLAY
- SHALE
- GROUNDWATER POTENTIOMETRIC LEVEL

PROJECT NAME AND ADDRESS

HYDROGEOLOGICAL INVESTIGATION

3855 Dundas Street East,
Mississauga, ON.

FIGURE 2.1:

CROSS-SECTION A - A';


PROJECT NO.
FE-P 20-11439

DATE
13 October 2021

SCALE
AS SHOWN

SHEET NO.

A4.1






400 Esna Park Dr., #15
Markham, Ontario
L3R 3K2

Tel: 905 475-7755
Fax: 905 475-7718

NORTH

LEGEND

- ASPHALT
- FILL
- SILT
- CLAY
- GROUNDWATER
POTENTIOMETRIC LEVEL



PROJECT NAME AND ADDRESS

HYDROGEOLOGICAL
INVESTIGATION

3855 Dundas Street East,
Mississauga, ON.

FIGURE 2.2:

CROSS-SECTION B - B';


PROJECT NO.
FE-P 20-11439

DATE
13 October 2021

SCALE
AS SHOWN

SHEET NO.

A4.2



LEGEND


- PROPOSED BUILDING LOCATION
- EXISTING NEIGHBORING BUILDINGS
- LANDSCAPED AREA
- CONCRETE/ SIDEWALK
- BARRIER FREE PARKING CLEARANCE
- CURB
- DEPRESSED CURB
- TWIS TACTILE WALKING SURFACE INDICATOR
- NEW TREE/ VEGETATION (REFER TO LANDSCAPE PLAN FOR TYPE, SIZE AND LOCATION)
- EXISTING TREE (FOR REFERENCE ONLY, REFER TO LANDSCAPE PLAN)
- BARRIER FREE PARKING
- INTERIOR PARKING
- MAN HOLE, CATCH BASIN
- SIAMSESE CONNECTION
- ENTRANCE/ EXIT LOCATION
- PRINCIPAL ENTRANCE
- TRANSFORMER
- FENCE & GATE
- UTILITY POLE
- FIRE HYDRANT
- NLS (NEW LIGHT STANDARD, REFER TO ELECTRICAL)
- BOLLARD
- HYDRO POLE
- PEDESTRIAN CROSSING DEMARCATION PAINTED
- DENOTES PAINTED LINES
- DENOTES FIRE ROUTE ACCESS
- ONE-WAY SIGN
- NO ENTRY SIGN
- FIRE ROUTE ACCESS / NO PARKING SIGN
- TRAFFIC DIRECTION

BOUNDARY INFORMATION FROM 'SURVEY BY: SPEIGHT, VAN NOSTRAND & GIBSON LIMITED' ONTARIO LAND SURVEYORS COMPLETED ON APRIL 22, 2018

SCALE 1 : 300

nicholas
caragianis
architect
inc.

137 Pamilla Street
Ottawa ON K1S 3K9
613 237 6801 ncarchitect.ca



© Copyright 2018, Nicholas Caragianis Architect Inc. All Rights Reserved.

Notes: Contractor must verify all drawings, dimensions, details and specifications and report any discrepancies to the architect before proceeding with work. All drawings and specifications are instruments of service and the property of the architect, and these must be returned at the completion of the project, and may not be reproduced without the architect's written permission. All drawings are to be read in conjunction with specifications and consultants' documents. Do not scale drawings. Do not use for construction unless both indicated as "For Construction" and bearing the architect's stamp and signature. All construction to meet local, provincial and federal requirements.

PLANNING & URBAN DESIGN
MHBC Planning, Urban Design & Landscape Architecture
7050 Weston Road, Suite 230, Woodbridge ON L4L 8G7
tel: (905) 761-5588 fax: (905) 761-5589
www.mhbcplan.com

CIVIL ENGINEER
C.F. Crozier & Associates Consulting Engineers
211 Yonge street, Suite 301, Toronto ON M5B 1M4
tel: (416) 477-3392
cfrozier.ca

DESIGN ARCHITECT
TACT Architecture Inc
660R College Street (Rear Lane) Toronto ON M6G 1B8
tel: (416) 516-1949
email: info@tactdesign.ca

DATE	DESCRIPTION	FOR COORDINATION
28	2021/08/26	FOR COORDINATION
27	2020/11/25	FOR COORDINATION
26	2020/11/18	FOR COORDINATION
25	2020/10/08	FOR COORDINATION
24	2020/09/29	FOR COORDINATION
23	2020/09/16	FOR COORDINATION
22	2020/09/09	FOR DISCUSSION
21	2020/08/28	FOR DISCUSSION
20	8/20/2020	FOR DISCUSSION
19	2020/06/27	FOR DISCUSSION
18	2020/03/06	FOR DISCUSSION
17	2020/02/13	FOR DISCUSSION
16	2018-11-21	ISSUED FOR ZBA
ISSUE	YYYYMMDD	ISSUES DESCRIPTION

DYMON
STORAGE

CLIENT NAME AND ADDRESS:
DYMON CAPITAL CORP.
2-1830 WALKLEY ROAD
OTTAWA ON. K1H 8K3

PROJECT NAME & LOCATION:
9th LINE & DUNDAS W

3855 DUNDAS ST. W
MISSISSAUGA ON

NCA PROJECT NUMBER: 2018.0020 FILE NUMBER:

OWNER'S CONTRACT NUMBER: OWNER'S PROJECT NUMBER:

CAD FILE NAME: 18020 A-100 SP


SHEET TITLE: SITE PLAN

SCALE: 1:300 SHEET ID:

DRAWN BY: CLW/SG

DATE CREATED: 2018.03.07

A-100



400 Esna Park Dr., #15
Markham, Ontario
L3R 3K2

Tel: 905 475-7755
Fax: 905 475-7718

KEY PLAN



LEGEND



**Glacial Ice Deposits: Young tills - Clayey silt till
and sandy silt till.**

PROJECT NAME AND ADDRESS

HYDROGEOLOGICAL
INVESTIGATION
3855 Dundas Street East,
MISSISSAUGA, ON

PROJECT NO.

FE-P20-10464

DATE

OCT 2021

SCALE

As shown

FIGURE: A6

Surficial
Geology Map.


APPENDIX B – LOG OF BOREHOLES



PROJECT NAME: Geotechnical & Hydrogeological Investigation

LOCATION: 3855 Dundas St. East, Mississauga, ON

DRILLING METHOD: Marooka, Solid Stem

DRILLING DATE: September 13th, 2021


Groundwater Depth (m): on completion: Dry/ On September 29, 2021: 2.81m

DRAWN: AM

LOGGED: SP

CHECKED: CW




LOG OF BOREHOLE NO. MW202 SHEET. 1 of 1

PROJECT NO.: FE-P 21-11439/40

PROJECT NAME: Geotechnical & Hydrogeological Investigation

LOCATION: 3855 Dundas St. East, Mississauga, ON


DRILLING METHOD: Marooka, Solid Stem

DRILLING DATE: September 13th, 2021

PROJECT NAME: Geotechnical & Hydrogeological Investigation

LOCATION: 3855 Dundas St. East, Mississauga, ON


DRILLING METHOD: Marooka, Solid Stem

DRILLING DATE: September 13th, 2021


PROJECT NAME: Geotechnical & Hydrogeological Investigation

LOCATION: 3855 Dundas St. East, Mississauga, ON

DRILLING METHOD: Marooka, Solid Stem

DRILLING DATE: September 13th, 2021


Groundwater Depth (m): on completion: Dry/ On September 29, 2021: 0.71m

DRAWN: AM


LOGGED: SP

CHECKED: CW

PROJECT NAME: Geotechnical & Hydrogeological Investigation

LOCATION: 3855 Dundas St. East, Mississauga, ON

DRILLING METHOD: Marooka, Solid Stem

DRILLING DATE: September 13th, 2021





LOG OF BOREHOLE NO. MW206 SHEET. 1 of 1

PROJECT NO.: FE-P 21-11439/40

PROJECT NAME: Geotechnical & Hydrogeological Investigation

LOCATION: 3855 Dundas St. East, Mississauga, ON


DRILLING METHOD: Marooka, Solid Stem

DRILLING DATE: September 13th, 2021

PROJECT NAME: Geotechnical & Hydrogeological Investigation

LOCATION: 3855 Dundas St. East, Mississauga, ON

DRILLING METHOD: Marooka, Solid Stem

DRILLING DATE: September 14th, 2021


Groundwater Depth (m): on completion: Dry/ On September 29, 2021: 3.67m

DRAWN: AM

LOGGED: SP

CHECKED: CW




LOG OF BOREHOLE NO. TH1 SHEET. 1 of 1

PROJECT NO.: FE-P 21-11439/40

PROJECT NAME: Geotechnical & Hydrogeological Investigation

LOCATION: 3855 Dundas St. East, Mississauga, ON


DRILLING METHOD: Marooka, Solid Stem

DRILLING DATE: September 14th, 2021

PROJECT NAME: Geotechnical & Hydrogeological Investigation

LOCATION: 3855 Dundas St. East, Mississauga, ON


DRILLING METHOD: Marooka, Solid Stem

DRILLING DATE: September 14th, 2021


PROJECT NAME: Geotechnical & Hydrogeological Investigation

LOCATION: 3855 Dundas St. East, Mississauga, ON

DRILLING METHOD: Marooka, Solid Stem

DRILLING DATE: September 14th, 2021


DRAWN: AM


LOGGED: SP

CHECKED: CW

PROJECT NAME: Geotechnical & Hydrogeological Investigation

LOCATION: 3855 Dundas St. East, Mississauga, ON

DRILLING METHOD: Marooka, Solid Stem

DRILLING DATE: September 14th, 2021


DRAWN: AM


LOGGED: SP

CHECKED: CW

PROJECT NAME: Geotechnical & Hydrogeological Investigation

LOCATION: 3855 Dundas St. East, Mississauga, ON

DRILLING METHOD: Marooka, Solid Stem

DRILLING DATE: September 14th, 2021


DRAWN: AM


LOGGED: SP

CHECKED: CW

PROJECT NAME: Geotechnical & Hydrogeological Investigation

LOCATION: 3855 Dundas St. East, Mississauga, ON

DRILLING METHOD: Marooka, Solid Stem

DRILLING DATE: September 14th, 2021




LOG OF BOREHOLE No. BH101(MW) SHEET. 1 of 1


PROJECT NO.: FE-P 20-10464

PROJECT NAME: Hydrogeological Investigation

LOCATION: 3855 Dundas St. E., Oakville, ON

DRILLING METHOD: D-50, Solid Stem

DRILLING DATE: August 19, 2020





LOG OF BOREHOLE No.BH102(MW) SHEET. 1 of 1


PROJECT NO.: FE-P 20-10464

PROJECT NAME: Hydrogeological Investigation

LOCATION: 3855 Dundas St. E., Oakville, ON

DRILLING METHOD: D-50, Solid Stem

DRILLING DATE: August 19, 2020





LOG OF BOREHOLE No. BH103(MW) SHEET. 1 of 1


PROJECT NO.: FE-P 20-10464

PROJECT NAME: Hydrogeological Investigation

LOCATION: 3855 Dundas St. E., Oakville, ON

DRILLING METHOD: D-50, Solid Stem

DRILLING DATE: August 19, 2020





LOG OF BOREHOLE No. BH104(MW) SHEET. 1 of 1


PROJECT NO.: FE-P 20-10464

PROJECT NAME: Hydrogeological Investigation

LOCATION: 3855 Dundas St. E., Oakville, ON

DRILLING METHOD: D-50, Solid Stem

DRILLING DATE: August 19, 2020





LOG OF BOREHOLE NO. 2 SHEET. 2 of 5



PROJECT NO.: FE-P 18-9089


PROJECT NAME: Geotechnical Investigation


LOCATION: 3855 Dundas St East, Mississauga ON

DRILLING METHOD: Solid Stem

DRILLING DATE: 26 October, 2018

SOIL PROFILE			SAMPLES			PENETRATION TESTING (SPT) ▲				VAPOUR READING (ppm) □				PIEZOMETER OR WELL CONSTRUCTION	
DEPTH (feet) DEPTH (metres)	DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	NUMBER	TYPE	"N" VALUE	20 40 60 80				20 40 60 80				
							SHEAR STRENGTH (Kpa) ■				MOISTURE CONTENT (%) ○				
							40	80	120	160	10	20	30	40	
0	GROUND SURFACE (m asl)		100.10												
2	FILL: Clayey silt, trace shale fragments, reddish brown, moist, loose.			1	SS	7									
4	Silty clay, brown to grey, trace sand seams, moist, brown sand layers at 4'			2	SS	5									
6	FILL: Silty clay, organics, black, moist, soft.		98.58/ 1.52 98.27/ 1.83	3	SS	3									
8	CLAYEY SILT TILL: Trace gravel, limestone, and shale pieces, reddishbrown, moist, very stiff. Gray silty sand, silty clay at 6'.			4	SS	19									
10				5	SS	31									
16	Greyish brown below 15'.			6	SS	30									
20	Redish brown clayey silt with weathered shale complex at 20' very dense.			7	SS	83									
22	End of Borehole		93.39/ 6.71												
Groundwater Depth (m): On Completion: Dry. On 2 Nov 2018: 5.10m															
LOGGED: DL														CHECKED: FF	





Groundwater Depth (m): On Completion: Dry. On 2 Nov 2018: 5.10m

LOGGED: DL

CHECKED: FF

CHECKED: FF

APPENDIX C – GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION ANALYSES





FISHER ENVIRONMENTAL LABORATORIES

FULL RANGE ANALYTICAL SERVICES • SOIL/WATER/AIR TESTING • ENVIRONMENTAL
COMPLIANCE PACKAGES • 24 HOUR EMERGENCY RESPONSE • CALA ACCREDITED

400 ESNA PARK DRIVE #15
MARKHAM, ONT. L3R 3K2
TEL: 905 475-7755
FAX: 905 475-7718
www.fisherenvironmental.com

Client: Dymon Group of Companies
Address: 2-1830 Walkley Road
Ottawa, ON
K1H 8K3
Tel.:
Email:
Attn.:

F.E. Job #: 21-7241A
Project Name: Infiltration Tests
Project ID: FE-P 21-11439
Date Sampled: 14-Sep-2021
Date Received: 17-Sep-2021
Date Reported: 24-Sep-2021
Location: 3855 Dundas Street East

Certificate of Analysis

Analyses	Matrix	Quantity	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Lab SOP	Method Reference
Moisture Content	Soil	6	N/A	17-Sep-21	Support Procedures F-99	Carter (1993)
Grain Size	Soil	6	N/A	21-Sep-21	Grain Size F-28	ASTM D6913-04

Fisher Environmental Laboratories is accredited by CALA (the Canadian Association for Laboratory Accreditation Inc.) for specific parameters as required by Ontario Regulation 153/04. All analytical testing has been performed in accordance with ISO 17025 and the Protocol for Analytical Methods Used in the Assessment of Properties under Part XV.1 of the Environmental Protection Act published by Ontario Ministry of the Environment.

Authorized by:


Roger Lin, Ph. D., C. Chem.
Laboratory Manager



Certificate of Analysis

Analysis Requested:	Moisture Content, Grain Size
Sample Description:	8 Soil Sample(s)

Parameter	21-7241-1 TH1 0.75-1.20m	21-7241-3 TH2 1.50-1.95m	21-7241-4 TH3 1.50-1.95m	21-7241-5 TH4 0.75-1.20m	21-7241-6 TH5 0.75-1.20m	21-7241-8 TH6 0.75-1.20m
Geo Moisture Content (%)	14	13	20	15	22	12

QA/QC Report

Parameter	Blank	RL	LCS	AR	Duplicate	AR
			Recovery (%)		RPD (%)	
Geo Moisture Content (%)	<0.1	0.1	100	70-130	4.9	0-20

LEGEND:

RL - Reporting Limit

LCS - Laboratory Control Sample

AR - Acceptable Range

RPD - Relative Percent Difference

Certificate of Analysis

Analysis Requested:	Moisture Content, Grain Size
Sample Description:	8 Soil Sample(s)

Parameter	21-7241-1 TH1 0.75-1.20m	21-7241-3 TH2 1.50-1.95m	21-7241-4 TH3 1.50-1.95m	21-7241-5 TH4 0.75-1.20m	21-7241-6 TH5 0.75-1.20m	21-7241-8 TH6 0.75-1.20m
Grain Size (%)						
>19mm	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9.5mm-19mm	0.0	0.0	2.3	0.0	0.0	2.3
4.75mm-9.5mm	1.4	4.2	4.2	2.2	0.7	4.2
1.18mm-4.75mm	4.4	3.2	2.3	2.5	0.6	8.5
300um-1.18mm	5.3	4.1	2.4	3.1	1.9	9.6
75um-300um	9.1	7.8	5.6	6.3	4.5	12.7
<75um	79.9	80.8	83.2	85.9	92.3	62.8
Clay & Silt	80	81	83	86	92	63
Sand	19	15	10	12	7	31
Gravel	1	4	7	2	1	6

Grain Size Distribution

Sample ID: 21-7241-1


TH1

0.75-1.20m

Clay & Silt: 80%

Sand: 19%

Gravel: 1%



Grain Size Distribution

Sample ID: 21-7241-3


TH2

1.50-1.95m

Clay & Silt: 81%

Sand: 15%

Gravel: 4%



Grain Size Distribution

Sample ID: 21-7241-4


TH3

1.50-1.95m

Clay & Silt: 83%

Sand: 10%

Gravel: 7%



Grain Size Distribution

Sample ID: 21-7241-5


TH4

0.75-1.20m

Clay & Silt: 86%

Sand: 12%

Gravel: 2%



Grain Size Distribution

Sample ID: 21-7241-6


TH5

0.75-1.20m

Clay & Silt: 92%

Sand: 7%

Gravel: 1%



Grain Size Distribution

Sample ID: 21-7241-8


TH6

0.75-1.20m

Clay & Silt: 63%

Sand: 31%

Gravel: 6%





FISHER ENVIRONMENTAL LABORATORIES

FULL RANGE ANALYTICAL SERVICES • SOIL/WATER/AIR TESTING • ENVIRONMENTAL
COMPLIANCE PACKAGES • 24 HOUR EMERGENCY RESPONSE • CALA ACCREDITED

400 ESNA PARK DRIVE #15
MARKHAM, ONT. L3R 3K2
TEL: 905 475-7755
FAX: 905 475-7718
www.fisherenvironmental.com

Client: Dymon Group of Companies
Address: 2-1830 Walkley Road
Ottawa, ON
K1H 8K3
Tel.:
Email:
Attn.:


F.E. Job #: 21-7241B
Project Name: Infiltration Tests
Project ID: FE-P 21-11439
Date Sampled: 14-Sep-2021
Date Received: 17-Sep-2021
Date Reported: 24-Sep-2021
Location: 3855 Dundas Street East

Certificate of Analysis

Analyses	Matrix	Quantity	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Lab SOP	Method Reference
Hydrometer	Soil	3	N/A	22-Sep-21	Hydrometer SOP	ASTM D7928-17

Fisher Environmental Laboratories is accredited by CALA (the Canadian Association for Laboratory Accreditation Inc.) for specific parameters as required by Ontario Regulation 153/04. All analytical testing has been performed in accordance with ISO 17025 and the Protocol for Analytical Methods Used in the Assessment of Properties under Part XV.1 of the Environmental Protection Act published by Ontario Ministry of the Environment.

Authorized by:


Roger Lin, Ph. D., C. Chem.
Laboratory Manager



Client: Dymon Group of Companies

F.E. Job #: 21-7241B

Certificate of Analysis


Analysis Requested:	Hydrometer
Sample Description:	3 Soil Sample(s)

Parameter	21-7241-2 TH1 1.50-1.95m	21-7241-5 TH4 0.75-1.20m	21-7241-7 TH6 1.50-1.95m			
Grain Size (%)						
>19mm	0.0	0.0	0.0			
9.5mm-19mm	0.0	0.0	2.3			
4.75mm-9.5mm	3.8	2.2	4.2			
1.18mm-4.75mm	2.4	2.5	8.5			
300um-1.18mm	4.0	3.1	9.6			
75um-300um	14.0	6.3	12.7			
5um-75um	36	36	29			
2um-5um	8	11	10			
<2um	32	39	24			
Clay	40	50	34			
Silt	36	36	29			
Sand	20	12	31			
Gravel	4	2	6			

Grain Size Distribution

Sample ID: 21-7241-2 TH1 1.50-1.95m

Gravel: 4% Sand: 20% Silt: 36% Clay: 40%



Sample ID: 21-7241-2 TH1 1.50-1.95m		
Diameter	Weight (%)	Grain Size
>4.75mm	3.8	Gravel
1.18mm-4.75mm	2.4	Coarse Sand
300um-1.18mm	4.0	Medium Sand
75um-300um	14.0	Fine Sand
5um-75um	36	Silt
2um-5um	8	Clay
<2um	32	


Client: Dymon Group of Companies

F.E. Job #: 21-7241B

Grain Size Distribution

Sample ID: 21-7241-5 TH4 0.75-1.20m

Gravel: 2% Sand: 12% Silt: 36% Clay: 50%



Sample ID: 21-7241-5 TH4 0.75-1.20m		
Diameter	Weight (%)	Grain Size
>4.75mm	2.2	Gravel
1.18mm-4.75mm	2.5	Coarse Sand
300um-1.18mm	3.1	Medium Sand
75um-300um	6.3	Fine Sand
5um-75um	36	Silt
2um-5um	11	Clay
<2um	39	


Client: Dymon Group of Companies

F.E. Job #: 21-7241B

Grain Size Distribution

Sample ID: 21-7241-7 TH6 1.50-1.95m


Gravel: 6% Sand: 31% Silt: 29% Clay: 34%



Sample ID: 21-7241-7 TH6 1.50-1.95m		
Diameter	Weight (%)	Grain Size
>4.75mm	6.4	Gravel
1.18mm-4.75mm	8.5	Coarse Sand
300um-1.18mm	9.6	Medium Sand
75um-300um	12.7	Fine Sand
5um-75um	28.8	Silt
2um-5um	10.0	Clay
<2um	24	

Temp(K/C)	Soil Weight (g)	Water g/ml	viscosity (poise)	G(solid)	Specific Gravity							
					3 F	FS	FSW	FW	S	DW	DS	G
297	40	0.997329795	0.009120211		122.804	138.649	335.394	324.193	15.845	1	15.845	3
24												

Time	Reading	Comp Correction	Corrected Reading	Hm (cm)	Particle size (μm)	Percent Finer	% finer whole
30	43	4	39	11.46204	56.59980633	94.557375	71.75013615
60	40.5	3.5	37	11.79742	40.60341802	89.887875	68.20691955
120	37	3.5	33.5	12.38435	29.41647038	81.71625	62.0062905
240	35	3.5	31.5	12.71973	21.08035801	77.04675	58.4630739
480	32	3.5	28.5	13.22281	15.19797992	70.0425	53.148249
900	30	3.5	26.5	13.55819	11.23891236	65.373	49.6050324
1800	27.5	3	24.5	13.89358	8.044803257	60.7035	46.0618158
3600	25	3	22	14.31281	5.773721257	54.866625	41.63279505
7200	22.5	3	19.5	14.73204	4.141997379	49.02975	37.2037743
14400	21	3	18	14.98358	2.953732428	45.527625	34.54636185
73800	18	3	15	15.48665	1.32646337	38.523375	29.23153695
86400	17.5	3	14.5	15.5705	1.229246711	37.356	28.3457328
		3	-3	18.50511	#DIV/0!	-3.502125	-2.65741245
		3	-3	18.50511	#DIV/0!	-3.502125	-2.65741245




100	100
19	100
9.5	100
4.75	96.18
1.18	93.81
0.3	89.86
0.075	75.88
0.0566	71.7501
0.040603	68.2069
0.029416	62.0063
0.02108	58.4631
0.015198	53.1482
0.011239	49.6050
0.008045	46.0618
0.005774	41.6328
0.004142	37.2038
0.002954	34.5464
0.001326	29.2315
0.001229	28.3457
#DIV/0!	-2.6574
#DIV/0!	-2.6574

"corrected" for sieve data

Temp(K/C)	Soil Weight (g)	Water g/ml	viscosity (poise)	G(solid)	Specific Gravity									
297	49.06	0.997329795	0.009120211	2.75	F	FS	FSW	FW	S	DW	DS	G		
24						122.804	138.649	335.394	324.193	15.845	1	15.845	2.75	


Time	Reading	Comp Correction	Corrected Reading	Hm (cm)	Particle size (µm)	Percent Finer	% finer whole
30	51	4	47	10.1205	56.85661106	96.72037156	83.03443898
60	48	3.5	44.5	10.53973	41.02794334	91.73478539	78.75431326
120	45.5	3.5	42	10.95896	29.58248771	86.74919923	74.47418754
240	43	3.5	39.5	11.37819	21.31432723	81.76361307	70.19406182
480	40.5	3.5	37	11.79742	15.34664949	76.77802691	65.9139361
900	38	3.5	34.5	12.21665	11.40500542	71.79244074	61.63381038
1800	34.5	3	31.5	12.71973	8.228929168	65.80973735	56.49765951
3600	32.5	3	29.5	13.05511	5.894944552	61.82126842	53.07355894
7200	29	3	26	13.64204	4.261024241	54.84144779	47.08138293
14400	26.5	3	23.5	14.06127	3.058944762	49.85586163	42.80125721
72900	22.5	3	19.5	14.73204	1.391580317	41.87892377	35.95305605
79200	22.5	3	19.5	14.73204	1.335086642	41.87892377	35.95305605
		3	-3	18.50511	#DIV/0!	-2.991351698	-2.568075432
		3	-3	18.50511	#DIV/0!	-2.991351698	-2.568075432



100	100	
19	100	
9.5	100	
4.75	97.79	
1.18	95.25	
0.3	92.11	
0.075	85.85	
0.056857	83.0344	83.0344 "corrected" for sieve data
0.041028	78.7543	78.7543
0.029582	74.4742	74.4742
0.021314	70.1941	70.1941
0.015347	65.9139	65.9139
0.011405	61.6338	61.6338
0.008229	56.4977	56.4977
0.005895	53.0736	53.0736
0.004261	47.0814	47.0814
0.003059	42.8013	42.8013
0.001392	35.9531	35.9531
0.001335	35.9531	35.9531
#DIV/0!	-2.5681	-2.5681
#DIV/0!	-2.5681	-2.5681

Temp(K/C)	Soil Weight (g)	Water g/ml	viscosity (poise)	G(solid)	Specific Gravity									
297	48.5	0.997329795	0.009120211		2.6 F	FS	FSW	FW	S	DW	DS	G		
24					122.804	138.649	335.394	324.193	15.845	1	15.845	2.6		

Time	Reading	Comp Correction	Corrected Reading	Hm (cm)	Particle size (µm)	Percent Finer	% finer whole
30	48	4	44	10.62358	60.92203515	94.91440722	59.59675629
60	45	3.5	41.5	11.04281	43.92014618	89.6993299	56.32220924
120	42.5	3.5	39	11.46204	31.64025362	84.48425258	53.04766219
240	40	3.5	36.5	11.88127	22.77851704	79.26917526	49.77311514
480	38	3.5	34.5	12.21665	16.33259395	75.0971134	47.15347751
900	35.5	3.5	32	12.63588	12.13057036	69.88203608	43.87893046
1800	33	3	30	12.97127	8.690697524	65.70997423	41.25929282
3600	30.5	3	27.5	13.3905	6.243768574	60.49489691	37.98474577
7200	27	3	24	13.97742	4.510731316	53.19378866	33.4003799
14400	23.5	3	20.5	14.56435	3.255846357	45.89268041	28.81601403
73800	18.5	3	15.5	15.40281	1.47901105	35.46252577	22.26691993
86400	18.5	3	15.5	15.40281	1.366918837	35.46252577	22.26691993
		3	-3	18.50511	#DIV/0!	-3.129046392	-1.964728229
		3	-3	18.50511	#DIV/0!	-3.129046392	-1.964728229



100	100	
19	99.99	
9.5	97.73	
4.75	93.55	
1.18	85.08	
0.3	75.44	
0.075	62.79	
0.060922	59.5968	59.5968 "corrected" for sieve data
0.04392	56.3222	56.3222
0.03164	53.0477	53.0477
0.022779	49.7731	49.7731
0.016333	47.1535	47.1535
0.012131	43.8789	43.8789
0.008691	41.2593	41.2593
0.006244	37.9847	37.9847
0.004511	33.4004	33.4004
0.003256	28.8160	28.8160
0.001479	22.2669	22.2669
0.001367	22.2669	22.2669
#DIV/0!	-1.9647	-1.9647
#DIV/0!	-1.9647	-1.9647



FISHER ENVIRONMENTAL LABORATORIES

FULL RANGE ANALYTICAL SERVICES • COMPLIANCE PACKAGES

MOBILE LABORATORY • EMERGENCY SERVICES • CALA ACCREDITED

400 ESNA PARK DRIVE #15

MARKHAM, ONT. L3R 3K2

TEL: 905-475-7755

FAX: 905-475-7718

www.fisherenvironmental.com

laboratory@fisherenvironmental.com

LAB JOB #: 21-7241

CHAIN OF CUSTODY 2588

Page 1 of 1

CLIENT INFORMATION

Company Name: Dymer Group
Contact:
Address: 3855 Dundas Street East
MISSISSAUGA

Phone:

Fax:

Email:

Fax results? Y/N

Email results? Y/N

PROJECT INFORMATION

Project Name: Infiltration Tests

Project ID:

Sampled By: Saha

TURNAROUND TIME (TAT) REQUIRED

STD - Standard (5-7 working days)

SR - Semi Rush (48 hours) 50%

R - Rush (24 hours) 75%

SD - Same Day - 100% 100%

Surcharges apply;
Sample received after 2pm will
be considered received the next
business day

Working Time:

Monday-Friday

9:00am-

5:00pm

BILLING INFORMATION

Purchase Order #:

Verbal Authorization:

Credit Card (type):

Credit Card #:

Expiry Date:

LAB SAMPLE ID	CLIENT'S SAMPLE ID AND DESCRIPTION	SAMPLING DATE/TIME	SAMPLE MATRIX	CONTAINER # & TYPE	TAT (Above)	ANALYSIS REQUESTED (Check or Specify)										NOTES
						Metals	PHCs	VOCs	PAHs	PCBs	Asbestos	GS	m	Hydrometer		
1	TH1: 2 1/2 - 4	14/09/21	Soil	Bag									✓	✓		
2	" 5 - 6 1/2	"	"	"											✓	
3	TH2: 5 - 6 1/2	"	"	"									✓	✓		
4	TH3: 5 - 6 1/2	"	"	"									✓	✓		
5	TH4: 2 1/2 - 4	"	"	✓									✓	✓	✓	
6	TH5: 2 1/2 - 4	"	"	"									✓	✓		
7	TH6: 5 - 6 1/2	"	"	"											✓	
8	TH6: 2 1/2 - 4	"	"	"									✓	✓		
	7															

Relinquished by: (Signature & Print)

CLIVE

Date & Time:

Method of Shipment: By Hand

Received by: (Signature & Print)

6
Date & Time: Sept 17, 21

Client's Comments:

Arrival Temperature (°C):

Laboratory Remarks:

6°C

Regulatory Requirements

Reg. 153

Table _____

☐ Residential / Parkland

☐ Industrial / Commercial

☐ Agricultural

Soil Texture

☐ Coarse ☐ Med/Fine

Sewer Use

☐ Sanitary

☐ Storm

Region _____

Reg. 558

☐ TCLP




FISHER ENVIRONMENTAL
ATTN: CLIVE
15-400 ESNA PARK DRIVE
MARKHAM ON NA

Date Received: 01-SEP-20
Report Date: 14-SEP-20 12:39 (MT)
Version: FINAL

Client Phone: 905-475-7755

Certificate of Analysis

Lab Work Order #: L2497329
Project P.O. #: 3855 DUNDAS ST E
Job Reference: 20-10464
C of C Numbers:
Legal Site Desc: MISSISSAUGA


Emily Hansen
Account Manager

[This report shall not be reproduced except in full without the written authority of the Laboratory.]

ADDRESS: 95 West Beaver Creek Road, Unit 1, Richmond Hill, ON L4B 1H2 Canada | Phone: +1 905 881 9887 | Fax: +1 905 881 8062
ALS CANADA LTD Part of the ALS Group An ALS Limited Company

Sample Details/Parameters		Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L2497329-1	BH102- 10-11 1/2							
Sampled By:	CLIENT on 28-AUG-20							
Matrix:	SOIL							
Physical Tests								
Grain Size Curve		SEE ATTACHED				11-SEP-20	11-SEP-20	R5222734
Particle Size								
Gravel (4.75mm - 3in.)		<1.0		1.0	%	11-SEP-20	11-SEP-20	R5222734
Medium Sand (0.425mm - 2.0mm)		9.6		1.0	%	11-SEP-20	11-SEP-20	R5222734
Coarse Sand (2.0mm - 4.75mm)		<1.0		1.0	%	11-SEP-20	11-SEP-20	R5222734
Fine Sand (0.075mm - 0.425mm)		18.0		1.0	%	11-SEP-20	11-SEP-20	R5222734
Silt (0.002mm - 0.075mm)		47.7		1.0	%	11-SEP-20	11-SEP-20	R5222734
Silt (0.005mm - 0.075mm)		37.1		1.0	%	11-SEP-20	11-SEP-20	R5222734
Clay (<0.002mm)		24.0		1.0	%	11-SEP-20	11-SEP-20	R5222734
Clay (<0.005mm)		34.6		1.0	%	11-SEP-20	11-SEP-20	R5222734
L2497329-2	BH103- 10-11 1/2							
Sampled By:	CLIENT on 28-AUG-20							
Matrix:	SOIL							
Physical Tests								
Grain Size Curve		SEE ATTACHED				11-SEP-20	11-SEP-20	R5222734
Particle Size								
Gravel (4.75mm - 3in.)		<1.0		1.0	%	11-SEP-20	11-SEP-20	R5222734
Medium Sand (0.425mm - 2.0mm)		12.9		1.0	%	11-SEP-20	11-SEP-20	R5222734
Coarse Sand (2.0mm - 4.75mm)		<1.0		1.0	%	11-SEP-20	11-SEP-20	R5222734
Fine Sand (0.075mm - 0.425mm)		21.8		1.0	%	11-SEP-20	11-SEP-20	R5222734
Silt (0.002mm - 0.075mm)		45.0		1.0	%	11-SEP-20	11-SEP-20	R5222734
Silt (0.005mm - 0.075mm)		36.1		1.0	%	11-SEP-20	11-SEP-20	R5222734
Clay (<0.002mm)		20.1		1.0	%	11-SEP-20	11-SEP-20	R5222734
Clay (<0.005mm)		28.9		1.0	%	11-SEP-20	11-SEP-20	R5222734

* Refer to Referenced Information for Qualifiers (if any) and Methodology.

Test Method References:

ALS Test Code	Matrix	Test Description	Method Reference**
GRAIN SIZE-HYD-SK	Soil	Grain Size by Hydrometer	ASTM D6913/D7928
Particle size curve is generated from dry sieving (particles > 2 mm), wet sieving (particles 2 mm-75 um) and hydrometer readings (particles < 75 um)			
ASTM D422-63 has been withdrawn, the ASTM D6913/D7928 standard serves as the successor method.			

** ALS test methods may incorporate modifications from specified reference methods to improve performance.

The last two letters of the above test code(s) indicate the laboratory that performed analytical analysis for that test. Refer to the list below:

Laboratory Definition Code	Laboratory Location
SK	ALS ENVIRONMENTAL - SASKATOON, SASKATCHEWAN, CANADA

Chain of Custody Numbers:

GLOSSARY OF REPORT TERMS

Surrogates are compounds that are similar in behaviour to target analyte(s), but that do not normally occur in environmental samples. For applicable tests, surrogates are added to samples prior to analysis as a check on recovery. In reports that display the D.L. column, laboratory objectives for surrogates are listed there.

mg/kg - milligrams per kilogram based on dry weight of sample

mg/kg wwt - milligrams per kilogram based on wet weight of sample

mg/kg lwt - milligrams per kilogram based on lipid weight of sample

mg/L - unit of concentration based on volume, parts per million.

< - Less than.

D.L. - The reporting limit.

N/A - Result not available. Refer to qualifier code and definition for explanation.

Test results reported relate only to the samples as received by the laboratory.

UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED, ALL SAMPLES WERE RECEIVED IN ACCEPTABLE CONDITION.

Analytical results in unsigned test reports with the DRAFT watermark are subject to change, pending final QC review.

Quality Control Report

Workorder: L2497329

Report Date: 14-SEP-20

Page 1 of 2

Client: FISHER ENVIRONMENTAL
15-400 ESNA PARK DRIVE
MARKHAM ON NA

Contact: CLIVE

Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
GRAIN SIZE-HYD-SK		Soil						
Batch	R5222734							
WG3401674-1	DUP	L2497329-2						
Gravel (4.75mm - 3in.)		<1.0	<1.0	RPD-NA	%	N/A	5	11-SEP-20
Coarse Sand (2.0mm - 4.75mm)		<1.0	<1.0	RPD-NA	%	N/A	5	11-SEP-20
Medium Sand (0.425mm - 2.0mm)		12.9	12.0	J	%	1.0	5	11-SEP-20
Fine Sand (0.075mm - 0.425mm)		21.8	21.1	J	%	0.6	5	11-SEP-20
Silt (0.005mm - 0.075mm)		36.1	36.4	J	%	0.4	5	11-SEP-20
Clay (<0.005mm)		28.9	30.2	J	%	1.3	5	11-SEP-20
Silt (0.002mm - 0.075mm)		45.0	46.2	J	%	1.3	5	11-SEP-20
Clay (<0.002mm)		20.1	20.4	J	%	0.4	5	11-SEP-20
WG3401674-2	IRM	2017-PSA						
Medium Sand (0.425mm - 2.0mm)			8.9		%		3.9-13.9	11-SEP-20
Fine Sand (0.075mm - 0.425mm)			34.5		%		27.6-37.6	11-SEP-20
Silt (0.005mm - 0.075mm)			31.1		%		25.8-35.8	11-SEP-20
Clay (<0.005mm)			25.5		%		22.7-32.7	11-SEP-20
Silt (0.002mm - 0.075mm)			36.7		%		31.1-41.1	11-SEP-20
Clay (<0.002mm)			20.0		%		17.4-27.4	11-SEP-20

Quality Control Report

Workorder: L2497329

Report Date: 14-SEP-20

Page 2 of 2

Legend:

Limit	ALS Control Limit (Data Quality Objectives)
DUP	Duplicate
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
N/A	Not Available
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample
SRM	Standard Reference Material
MS	Matrix Spike
MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate
ADE	Average Desorption Efficiency
MB	Method Blank
IRM	Internal Reference Material
CRM	Certified Reference Material
CCV	Continuing Calibration Verification
CVS	Calibration Verification Standard
LCSD	Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate

Sample Parameter Qualifier Definitions:

Qualifier	Description
J	Duplicate results and limits are expressed in terms of absolute difference.
RPD-NA	Relative Percent Difference Not Available due to result(s) being less than detection limit.

Hold Time Exceedances:

All test results reported with this submission were conducted within ALS recommended hold times.

ALS recommended hold times may vary by province. They are assigned to meet known provincial and/or federal government requirements. In the absence of regulatory hold times, ALS establishes recommendations based on guidelines published by the US EPA, APHA Standard Methods, or Environment Canada (where available). For more information, please contact ALS.

The ALS Quality Control Report is provided to ALS clients upon request. ALS includes comprehensive QC checks with every analysis to ensure our high standards of quality are met. Each QC result has a known or expected target value, which is compared against pre-determined data quality objectives to provide confidence in the accuracy of associated test results.


Please note that this report may contain QC results from anonymous Sample Duplicates and Matrix Spikes that do not originate from this Work Order.

ALS Laboratory Group

819-58th Street, Saskatoon, SK

PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE

Client Name: FISHER ENVIRONMENTAL
Project Number:
Client Sample ID BH102- 10-11 1/2
Lab Sample ID L2497329-1
Date Sample Received 01-Sep-20
Test Completion Date: 12-Sep-20
Analyst: SHCH



METHOD DESCRIPTION

Method Reference: ASTM D 422 - 63 (2002)

Dispersion method: Mechanical

Dispersion period: 1 minute cm/s

Soil classification system used: ASTM D422-63 Classification

DESCRIPTION OF SAND AND GRAVEL PARTICLES

Shape: Angular

Hardness: Hard

SUMMARY OF RESULTS


GRAIN SIZE	WT %	DIA. RANGE (mm)
% GRAVEL :	<1	> 4.75
% COARSE SAND :	<1	2.0 - 4.75
% MEDIUM SAND :	9.59	0.425 - 2.0
% FINE SAND :	17.99	0.075 - 0.425
% SILT :	37.14	0.075 - 0.005
% CLAY :	34.60	< 0.005

ALS Laboratory Group

819-58th Street, Saskatoon, SK

PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE

Client Name: FISHER ENVIRONMENTAL
Project Number:
Client Sample ID BH103- 10-11 1/2
Lab Sample ID L2497329-2
Date Sample Received 01-Sep-20
Test Completion Date: 12-Sep-20
Analyst: SHCH



METHOD DESCRIPTION

Method Reference: ASTM D 422 - 63 (2002)

Dispersion method: Mechanical

Dispersion period: 1 minute cm/s

Soil classification system used: ASTM D422-63 Classification

DESCRIPTION OF SAND AND GRAVEL PARTICLES

Shape: Angular

Hardness: Hard

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

GRAIN SIZE	WT %	DIA. RANGE (mm)
% GRAVEL :	<1	> 4.75
% COARSE SAND :	<1	2.0 - 4.75
% MEDIUM SAND :	12.91	0.425 - 2.0
% FINE SAND :	21.76	0.075 - 0.425
% SILT :	36.08	0.075 - 0.005
% CLAY :	28.92	< 0.005



Canada Toll Free: 1 800 668 9878



COC Number: 17 -

Page 1 of 1

Report To Company: <u>Fisher Environmental</u> Contact: <u>Clive</u> Phone: <u>246 605 9722</u> Company address below will appear on the final report		Report Format Select Report Format: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EXCEL <input type="checkbox"/> EDD (DIGITAL) Quality Control (QC) Report with Report <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> Compare Results to Criteria on Report - provide details below if box checked Select Distribution: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EMAIL <input type="checkbox"/> MAIL <input type="checkbox"/> FAX Email 1 or Fax <u>Clive</u> Email 2 <u>Frank</u> Email 3		Select Service Level Below - Contact your AM to confirm all E&P TATs (surcharges may apply) Regular [R] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Standard TAT if received by 3 pm - business days - no surcharges apply PRIORITY (Business Days) 4 day [P4-20%] <input type="checkbox"/> 3 day [P3-25%] <input type="checkbox"/> 2 day [P2-50%] <input type="checkbox"/> EMERGENCY 1 Business day [E - 100%] <input type="checkbox"/> Same Day, Weekend or Statutory holiday [E2 -200%] (Laboratory opening fees may apply) <input type="checkbox"/> Date and Time Required for all E&P TATs: dd-mmm-yy hh:mm For tests that can not be performed according to the service level selected, you will be contacted.	
Street: City/Province: Postal Code:		Invoice Distribution Select Invoice Distribution: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EMAIL <input type="checkbox"/> MAIL <input type="checkbox"/> FAX Email 1 or Fax <u>Clive</u> Email 2 <u>Clive</u> Email 3		Analysis Request Indicate Filtered (F), Preserved (P) or Filtered and Preserved (F/P) below NUMBER OF CONTAINERS 95 hydrometer SAMPLES ON HOLD SUSPECTED HAZARD (see Special Instructions)	
Invoice To Same as Report To <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> NO Copy of Invoice with Report <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> NO Company: Contact:		Project Information ALS Account # / Quote #: Job #: <u>20-10464</u> PO / AFE: <u>3855 Dundas St E</u> LSD: <u>MISSISSAUGA</u> ALS Lab Work Order # (lab use only): <u>L2497329</u> ALS Contact: Sampler:			
Oil and Gas Required Fields (client use) AFE/Cost Center: Major/Minor Code: Requisitioner: Location:		ALS Sample # (lab use only) Sample Identification and/or Coordinates (This description will appear on the report) Date (dd-mmm-yy) Time (hh:mm) Sample Type			
13H102: - 10-41/2'		28-08-20		SOIL	
13H103: 10-11 1/2'		"		"	
7					
Drinking Water (DW) Samples¹ (client use) Are samples taken from a Regulated DW System? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO Are samples for human consumption/ use? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO		Special Instructions / Specify Criteria to add on report by clicking on the drop-down list below (electronic COC only)		SAMPLE CONDITION AS RECEIVED (lab use only) Frozen <input type="checkbox"/> SIF Observations Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Ice Packs <input type="checkbox"/> Ice Cubes <input type="checkbox"/> Custody seal intact Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Cooling Initiated <input type="checkbox"/> INITIAL COOLER TEMPERATURES °C FINAL COOLER TEMPERATURES °C	
SHIPMENT RELEASE (client use) Released by: Date: Time:		INITIAL SHIPMENT RECEPTION (lab use only) Received by: Date: Time:		FINAL SHIPMENT RECEPTION (lab use only) Received by: <u>117</u> Date: <u>9-1-2022</u> Time: <u>1645</u>	

REFER TO BACK PAGE FOR ALS LOCATIONS AND SAMPLING INFORMATION

WHITE - LABORATORY COPY YELLOW - CLIENT COPY

Failure to complete all portions of this form may delay analysis. Please fill in this form LEGIBLY. By the use of this form the user acknowledges and agrees with the Terms and Conditions as specified on the back page of the white - report copy.

1. If any water samples are taken from a **Regulated Drinking Water (DW) System**, please submit using an **Authorized DW COC form**.

NOV 20 11 58 AM EST



FISHER ENVIRONMENTAL LABORATORIES

FULL RANGE ANALYTICAL SERVICES • SOIL/WATER/AIR TESTING • ENVIRONMENTAL
COMPLIANCE PACKAGES • 24 HOUR EMERGENCY RESPONSE • CALA ACCREDITED

400 ESNA PARK DRIVE #15
MARKHAM, ONT. L3R 3K2
TEL: 905 475-7755
FAX: 905 475-7718
www.fisherenvironmental.com

Client: Dymon

Address:

Tel.:

Email:

Attn.:

F.E. Job #: 20-5123

Project Name: Geo/Hydro Investigations

Project ID: FE-P 20-10404

Date Sampled: 19-Aug-2020

Date Received: 28-Aug-2020

Date Reported: 4-Sep-2020

Location: 3855 Dundas Street, East
Mississauga, ON

Certificate of Analysis

Analyses	Matrix	Quantity	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Lab SOP	Method Reference
Moisture Content	Soil	5	N/A	1-Sep-20	Support Procedures F-99	Carter (1993)
Grain Size	Soil	5	N/A	3-Sep-20	Grain Size F-28	ASTM D6913-04

Fisher Environmental Laboratories is accredited by CALA (the Canadian Association for Laboratory Accreditation Inc.) for specific parameters as required by Ontario Regulation 153/04. All analytical testing has been performed in accordance with ISO 17025 and the Protocol for Analytical Methods Used in the Assessment of Properties under Part XV.1 of the Environmental Protection Act published by Ontario Ministry of the Environment.

Authorized by:

Roger Lin, Ph. D., C. Chem.
Laboratory Manager



Certificate of Analysis

Analysis Requested:	Moisture Content, Grain Size
Sample Description:	5 Soil Sample(s)

Parameter	20-5123-1 BH101 1.50-1.95m	20-5123-2 BH101 2.25-2.70m	20-5123-3 BH101 3.00-3.45m	20-5123-4 BH101 4.55-5.00m	20-5123-5 BH101 0.75-1.20m	
Moisture Content (%)	12	12	9.8	10	18	

QA/QC Report

Parameter	Blank	RL	LCS	AR	Duplicate	AR
			Recovery (%)		RPD (%)	
Moisture Content (%)	<0.1	0.1	100	70-130	4.1	0-20

LEGEND:

RL - Reporting Limit

LCS - Laboratory Control Sample

AR - Acceptable Range

RPD - Relative Percent Difference

Certificate of Analysis

Analysis Requested:	Moisture Content, Grain Size
Sample Description:	5 Soil Sample(s)

Parameter	20-5123-1 BH101 1.50-1.95m	20-5123-2 BH101 2.25-2.70m	20-5123-3 BH101 3.00-3.45m	20-5123-4 BH101 4.55-5.00m	20-5123-5 BH101 0.75-1.20m	
Grain Size (%)						
>19mm	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
9.5mm-19mm	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
4.75mm-9.5mm	2.1	2.2	1.9	2.0	0.8	
1.18mm-4.75mm	3.9	5.2	7.8	9.4	1.8	
300um-1.18mm	4.1	3.3	6.1	6.6	2.1	
75um-300um	6.5	5.0	4.4	5.4	5.0	
<75um	83.4	84.3	79.7	76.6	90.3	
Clay & Silt	83	84	80	77	90	
Sand	15	14	18	21	9	
Gravel	2	2	2	2	1	


Grain Size Distribution

Sample ID: 20-5123-1 BH101 1.50-1.95m

Clay & Silt: 83%

Sand: 15%

Gravel: 2%




Grain Size Distribution

Sample ID: 20-5123-2 BH101 2.25-2.70m

Clay & Silt: 84%

Sand: 14%

Gravel: 2%



Grain Size Distribution

Sample ID: 20-5123-3


BH101

3.00-3.45m

Clay & Silt: 80%

Sand: 18%

Gravel: 2%



Grain Size Distribution

Sample ID: 20-5123-4


BH101

4.55-5.00m

Clay & Silt: 77%

Sand: 21%

Gravel: 2%




Grain Size Distribution

Sample ID: 20-5123-5 BH101 0.75-1.20m

Clay & Silt: 90%

Sand: 9%

Gravel: 1%



APPENDIX D – SEWER BYLAWS RESULTS






FISHER ENVIRONMENTAL
ATTN: CLIVE
15-400 ESNA PARK DRIVE
MARKHAM ON N/A

Date Received: 16-SEP-21
Report Date: 23-SEP-21 15:18 (MT)
Version: FINAL

Client Phone: 905-475-7755

Certificate of Analysis

Lab Work Order #: L2640093
Project P.O. #: NOT SUBMITTED
Job Reference:
C of C Numbers:
Legal Site Desc:


Emily Hansen
Account Manager

[This report shall not be reproduced except in full without the written authority of the Laboratory.]

ADDRESS: 95 West Beaver Creek Road, Unit 1, Richmond Hill, ON L4B 1H2 Canada | Phone: +1 905 881 9887 | Fax: +1 905 881 8062
ALS CANADA LTD Part of the ALS Group An ALS Limited Company

ANALYTICAL REPORT

Summary of Guideline Exceedances


Guideline						
ALS ID	Client ID	Grouping	Analyte	Result	Guideline Limit	Unit
Ontario Reg. Mun. of Peel Sanitary Bylaw #53-2010 (APR. 2011) - Reg. Mun. of Peel Sanitary by-law #53-2010						
L2640093-1	3855 DUNDAS ST. EAST MW202	Anions and Nutrients	Sulfate (SO ₄)	1540	1500	mg/L
Ontario Reg. Mun. of Peel Sanitary Bylaw #53-2010 (APR. 2011) - Peel Storm Sewer By-Law #53-201- (APR. 2011)						
L2640093-1	3855 DUNDAS ST. EAST MW202	Physical Tests	Total Suspended Solids	161	15	mg/L
		Anions and Nutrients	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	1.40	1	mg/L
		Bacteriological Tests	Fecal Coliforms	770	0	CFU/100mL
		Total Metals	Manganese (Mn)-Total	1.21	0.05	mg/L


* Please refer to the Reference Information section for an explanation of any qualifiers noted.

ANALYTICAL REPORT

Physical Tests - WATER

		Lab ID	L2640093-1		
		Sample Date	15-SEP-21		
		Sample ID	3855 DUNDAS ST. EAST MW202		
		Guide Limits			
Analyte	Unit	#1	#2		
pH	pH units	5.5-10	6-9	6.89	
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	350	15	161	

Guide Limit #1: Reg. Mun. of Peel Sanitary by-law #53-2010
Guide Limit #2: Peel Storm Sewer By-Law #53-201- (APR. 2011)
 Detection Limit for result exceeds Guideline Limit. Assessment against Guideline Limit cannot be made.

 Analytical result for this parameter exceeds Guide Limits listed. See Summary of Guideline Exceedances.


ANALYTICAL REPORT


Anions and Nutrients - WATER

		Lab ID	L2640093-1		
		Sample Date	15-SEP-21		
		Sample ID	3855 DUNDAS ST. EAST MW202		
		Guide Limits			
Analyte	Unit	#1	#2		
Fluoride (F)	mg/L	10	-	<0.10 ^{DLDS}	
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	mg/L	100	1	1.40 ^{DLM}	
Phosphorus, Total	mg/L	10	0.4	0.0353	
Sulfate (SO4)	mg/L	1500	-	1540 ^{DLDS}	

Guide Limit #1: Reg. Mun. of Peel Sanitary by-law #53-2010

Guide Limit #2: Peel Storm Sewer By-Law #53-201- (APR. 2011)

 Detection Limit for result exceeds Guideline Limit. Assessment against Guideline Limit cannot be made.

 Analytical result for this parameter exceeds Guide Limits listed. See Summary of Guideline Exceedances.



ANALYTICAL REPORT

PAGE 5 of 18
23-SEP-21 15:18 (MT)

Cyanides - WATER


Lab ID L2640093-1
Sample Date 15-SEP-21
Sample ID 3855 DUNDAS
ST. EAST
MW202


Guide Limits

Analyte	Unit	#1	#2	
Cyanide, Total	mg/L	2	0.02	<0.0020

Guide Limit #1: Reg. Mun. of Peel Sanitary by-law #53-2010

Guide Limit #2: Peel Storm Sewer By-Law #53-201- (APR. 2011)

 Detection Limit for result exceeds Guideline Limit. Assessment against Guideline Limit cannot be made.

 Analytical result for this parameter exceeds Guide Limits listed. See Summary of Guideline Exceedances.


ANALYTICAL REPORT


Bacteriological Tests - WATER

					Lab ID	L2640093-1
					Sample Date	15-SEP-21
					Sample ID	3855 DUNDAS ST. EAST MW202
					Guide Limits	
Analyte	Unit	#1	#2			
E. Coli	CFU/100m L	-	200	<2	DLM	
Fecal Coliforms	CFU/100m L	-	0	770	DLM	

Guide Limit #1: Reg. Mun. of Peel Sanitary by-law #53-2010

Guide Limit #2: Peel Storm Sewer By-Law #53-201- (APR. 2011)

 Detection Limit for result exceeds Guideline Limit. Assessment against Guideline Limit cannot be made.

 Analytical result for this parameter exceeds Guide Limits listed. See Summary of Guideline Exceedances.

ANALYTICAL REPORT

Total Metals - WATER

		Lab ID L2640093-1	
		Sample Date 15-SEP-21	
		Sample ID 3855 DUNDAS ST. EAST MW202	
Analyte	Unit	Guide Limits	
		#1	#2
Aluminum (Al)-Total	mg/L	50	- 2.74 ^{DLHC}
Antimony (Sb)-Total	mg/L	5	- <0.0010 ^{DLHC}
Arsenic (As)-Total	mg/L	1	0.02 0.0035 ^{DLHC}
Cadmium (Cd)-Total	mg/L	0.7	0.008 <0.000050 ^{DLHC}
Chromium (Cr)-Total	mg/L	5	0.08 <0.0050 ^{DLHC}
Cobalt (Co)-Total	mg/L	5	- 0.0041 ^{DLHC}
Copper (Cu)-Total	mg/L	3	0.05 0.0052 ^{DLHC}
Lead (Pb)-Total	mg/L	3	0.120 0.00177 ^{DLHC}
Manganese (Mn)-Total	mg/L	5	0.05 1.21 ^{DLHC}
Mercury (Hg)-Total	mg/L	0.01	0.0004 <0.0000050 ^{DLHC}
Molybdenum (Mo)-Total	mg/L	5	- 0.00170 ^{DLHC}
Nickel (Ni)-Total	mg/L	3	0.08 0.0065 ^{DLHC}
Selenium (Se)-Total	mg/L	1	0.02 <0.00050 ^{DLHC}
Silver (Ag)-Total	mg/L	5	0.12 <0.00050 ^{DLHC}
Tin (Sn)-Total	mg/L	5	- <0.0010 ^{DLHC}
Titanium (Ti)-Total	mg/L	5	- 0.0854 ^{DLHC}
Zinc (Zn)-Total	mg/L	3	0.04 <0.030 ^{DLHC}

Guide Limit #1: Reg. Mun. of Peel Sanitary by-law #53-2010**Guide Limit #2: Peel Storm Sewer By-Law #53-201- (APR. 2011)**
Detection Limit for result exceeds Guideline Limit. Assessment against Guideline Limit cannot be made.

Analytical result for this parameter exceeds Guide Limits listed. See Summary of Guideline Exceedances.


ANALYTICAL REPORT


Aggregate Organics - WATER

		Lab ID	L2640093-1		
		Sample Date	15-SEP-21		
		Sample ID	3855 DUNDAS ST. EAST MW202		
		Guide Limits			
Analyte	Unit	#1	#2		
BOD Carbonaceous	mg/L	300	15	<3.0 ^{BODL}	
Oil and Grease, Total	mg/L	-	-	<5.0	
Animal/Veg Oil & Grease	mg/L	150	-	<5.0	
Mineral Oil and Grease	mg/L	15	-	<2.5	
Phenols (4AAP)	mg/L	1	0.008	<0.0010	

Guide Limit #1: Reg. Mun. of Peel Sanitary by-law #53-2010

Guide Limit #2: Peel Storm Sewer By-Law #53-201- (APR. 2011)

 Detection Limit for result exceeds Guideline Limit. Assessment against Guideline Limit cannot be made.

 Analytical result for this parameter exceeds Guide Limits listed. See Summary of Guideline Exceedances.

ANALYTICAL REPORT

Volatile Organic Compounds - WATER

		Lab ID		L2640093-1	
		Sample Date		15-SEP-21	
		Sample ID		3855 DUNDAS ST. EAST MW202	
Analyte	Unit	Guide Limits			
		#1	#2		
Acetone	ug/L	-	-	<20	OWP
Benzene	ug/L	10	2	<0.50	OWP
Bromodichloromethane	ug/L	-	-	<1.0	OWP
Bromoform	ug/L	-	-	<1.0	OWP
Bromomethane	ug/L	-	-	<0.50	OWP
Carbon Disulfide	ug/L	-	-	<1.0	OWP
Carbon tetrachloride	ug/L	-	-	<0.20	OWP
Chlorobenzene	ug/L	-	-	<0.50	OWP
Dibromochloromethane	ug/L	-	-	<1.0	OWP
Chloroethane	ug/L	-	-	<1.0	OWP
Chloroform	ug/L	40	2	<1.0	OWP
Chloromethane	ug/L	-	-	<2.0	OWP
1,2-Dibromoethane	ug/L	-	-	<0.20	OWP
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ug/L	50	5.6	<0.50	OWP
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ug/L	-	-	<0.50	OWP
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ug/L	80	6.8	<0.50	OWP
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ug/L	-	-	<1.0	OWP
1,1-Dichloroethane	ug/L	-	-	<0.50	OWP
1,2-Dichloroethane	ug/L	-	-	<0.50	OWP
1,1-Dichloroethylene	ug/L	-	-	<0.50	OWP
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	ug/L	4000	5.6	<0.50	OWP
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	ug/L	-	-	<0.50	OWP
Dichloromethane	ug/L	2000	5.2	<2.0	OWP
1,2-Dichloropropane	ug/L	-	-	<0.50	OWP
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ug/L	-	-	<0.30	OWP
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ug/L	140	5.6	<0.30	OWP
Ethylbenzene	ug/L	160	2	<0.50	OWP
n-Hexane	ug/L	-	-	<0.50	OWP
2-Hexanone	ug/L	-	-	<20	OWP
Methyl Ethyl Ketone	ug/L	8000	-	<20	OWP

Guide Limit #1: Reg. Mun. of Peel Sanitary by-law #53-2010


Guide Limit #2: Peel Storm Sewer By-Law #53-201- (APR. 2011)


* Please refer to the Reference Information section for an explanation of any qualifiers noted.

ANALYTICAL REPORT

Volatile Organic Compounds - WATER

		Lab ID	L2640093-1		
		Sample Date	15-SEP-21		
		Sample ID	3855 DUNDAS ST. EAST MW202		
Analyte	Unit	Guide Limits			
		#1	#2		
Methyl Isobutyl Ketone	ug/L	-	-	<20	OWP
MTBE	ug/L	-	-	<0.50	OWP
Styrene	ug/L	200	-	<0.50	OWP
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ug/L	-	-	<0.50	OWP
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ug/L	1400	17	<0.50	OWP
Tetrachloroethylene	ug/L	1000	4.4	<0.50	OWP
Toluene	ug/L	270	2	<0.40	OWP
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ug/L	-	-	<0.50	OWP
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ug/L	-	-	<0.50	OWP
Trichloroethylene	ug/L	400	8	<0.50	OWP
Trichlorofluoromethane	ug/L	-	-	<1.0	OWP
Vinyl chloride	ug/L	-	-	<0.50	OWP
o-Xylene	ug/L	-	-	<0.30	OWP
m+p-Xylenes	ug/L	-	-	<0.40	OWP
Xylenes (Total)	ug/L	1400	4.4	<0.50	
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	%	-	-	103.4	
Surrogate: 1,4-Difluorobenzene	%	-	-	102.1	


Guide Limit #1: Reg. Mun. of Peel Sanitary by-law #53-2010**Guide Limit #2: Peel Storm Sewer By-Law #53-201- (APR. 2011)**
 Detection Limit for result exceeds Guideline Limit. Assessment against Guideline Limit cannot be made.


 Analytical result for this parameter exceeds Guide Limits listed. See Summary of Guideline Exceedances.

ANALYTICAL REPORT

Phthalate Esters - WATER

		Lab ID	L2640093-1		
		Sample Date	15-SEP-21		
		Sample ID	3855 DUNDAS ST. EAST MW202		
		Guide Limits			
Analyte	Unit	#1	#2		
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	ug/L	12	8.8	<2.0	
Surrogate: 2-fluorobiphenyl	%	-	-	83.4	
Surrogate: p-Terphenyl d14	%	-	-	83.0	

Guide Limit #1: Reg. Mun. of Peel Sanitary by-law #53-2010**Guide Limit #2: Peel Storm Sewer By-Law #53-201- (APR. 2011)**
 Detection Limit for result exceeds Guideline Limit. Assessment against Guideline Limit cannot be made.

 Analytical result for this parameter exceeds Guide Limits listed. See Summary of Guideline Exceedances.

ANALYTICAL REPORT


Semi-Volatile Organics - WATER


Lab ID L2640093-1
Sample Date 15-SEP-21
Sample ID 3855 DUNDAS
 ST. EAST
 MW202

Analyte	Unit	Guide Limits		
		#1	#2	
Di-n-butylphthalate	ug/L	80	15	<1.0
Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl	%	-	-	83.4
Surrogate: p-Terphenyl d14	%	-	-	83.0

Guide Limit #1: Reg. Mun. of Peel Sanitary by-law #53-2010

Guide Limit #2: Peel Storm Sewer By-Law #53-201- (APR. 2011)

 Detection Limit for result exceeds Guideline Limit. Assessment against Guideline Limit cannot be made.

 Analytical result for this parameter exceeds Guide Limits listed. See Summary of Guideline Exceedances.

ANALYTICAL REPORT

Polychlorinated Biphenyls - WATER

		Lab ID	L2640093-1		
		Sample Date	15-SEP-21		
		Sample ID	3855 DUNDAS ST. EAST MW202		
Analyte	Unit	Guide Limits			
		#1	#2		
Aroclor 1242	ug/L	-	-	<0.020	
Aroclor 1248	ug/L	-	-	<0.020	
Aroclor 1254	ug/L	-	-	<0.020	
Aroclor 1260	ug/L	-	-	<0.020	
Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl	%	-	-	76.2	
Total PCBs	ug/L	1	0.4	<0.040	
Surrogate: Tetrachloro-m-xylene	%	-	-	95.1	

Guide Limit #1: Reg. Mun. of Peel Sanitary by-law #53-2010

Guide Limit #2: Peel Storm Sewer By-Law #53-201- (APR. 2011)


 Detection Limit for result exceeds Guideline Limit. Assessment against Guideline Limit cannot be made.


 Analytical result for this parameter exceeds Guide Limits listed. See Summary of Guideline Exceedances.

ANALYTICAL REPORT

Organic Parameters - WATER

		Lab ID	L2640093-1		
		Sample Date	15-SEP-21		
		Sample ID	3855 DUNDAS ST. EAST MW202		
Analyte	Unit	Guide Limits			
		#1	#2		
Nonylphenol	ug/L	20	-	<1.0	
Nonylphenol Diethoxylates	ug/L	-	-	<0.10	
Total Nonylphenol Ethoxylates	ug/L	200	-	<2.0	
Nonylphenol Monoethoxylates	ug/L	-	-	<2.0	

Guide Limit #1: Reg. Mun. of Peel Sanitary by-law #53-2010
Guide Limit #2: Peel Storm Sewer By-Law #53-201- (APR. 2011)
 Detection Limit for result exceeds Guideline Limit. Assessment against Guideline Limit cannot be made.

 Analytical result for this parameter exceeds Guide Limits listed. See Summary of Guideline Exceedances.

Reference Information

Qualifiers for Individual Parameters Listed:

Qualifier	Description
DLDS	Detection Limit Raised: Dilution required due to high Dissolved Solids / Electrical Conductivity.
BODL	Limit of Reporting for BOD was increased to account for the largest volume of sample tested.
DLM	Detection Limit Adjusted due to sample matrix effects (e.g. chemical interference, colour, turbidity).
OWP	Organic water sample contained visible sediment (must be included as part of analysis). Measured concentrations of organic substances in water can be biased high due to presence of

Reference Information

sediment.

DLHC Detection Limit Raised: Dilution required due to high concentration of test analyte(s).

Methods Listed (if applicable):

ALS Test Code	Matrix	Test Description	Method Reference**
625-BIS-2-PHTH-WT	Water	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	SW846 8270
Aqueous samples are extracted and extracts are analyzed on GC/MSD.			
625-DNB-PHTH-WT	Water	Di-n-Butyl Phthalate	SW846 8270
Aqueous samples are extracted and extracts are analyzed on GC/MSD.			
BOD-C-WT	Water	BOD Carbonaceous	APHA 5210 B (CBOD)
This analysis is carried out using procedures adapted from APHA Method 5210B - "Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD)". All forms of biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) are determined by diluting and incubating a sample for a specified time period, and measuring the oxygen depletion using a dissolved oxygen meter. Dissolved BOD (SOLUBLE) is determined by filtering the sample through a glass fibre filter prior to dilution. Carbonaceous BOD (CBOD) is determined by adding a nitrification inhibitor to the diluted sample prior to incubation.			
CN-TOT-WT	Water	Cyanide, Total	ISO 14403-2
Total cyanide is determined by the combination of UV digestion and distillation. Cyanide is converted to cyanogen chloride by reacting with chloramine-T, the cyanogen chloride then reacts with a combination of barbituric acid and isonicotinic acid to form a highly colored complex.			
When using this method, high levels of thiocyanate in samples can cause false positives at ~1-2% of the thiocyanate concentration. For samples with detectable cyanide analyzed by this method, ALS recommends analysis for thiocyanate to check for this potential interference			
EC-SCREEN-WT	Water	Conductivity Screen (Internal Use Only)	APHA 2510
Qualitative analysis of conductivity where required during preparation of other tests - e.g. TDS, metals, etc.			
EC-WW-MF-WT	Water	E. Coli	SM 9222D
A 100 mL volume of sample is filtered through a membrane, the membrane is placed on mFC-BCIG agar and incubated at 44.5 – 0.2 °C for 24 – 2 h. Method ID: WT-TM-1200			
F-IC-N-WT	Water	Fluoride in Water by IC	EPA 300.1 (mod)
Inorganic anions are analyzed by Ion Chromatography with conductivity and/or UV detection.			
FC-WW-MF-WT	Water	Fecal Coliforms	APHA 9223B
FC-WW-MF-WT	Water	Fecal Coliforms	SM 9222D
HG-T-CVAA-WT	Water	Total Mercury in Water by CVAAS	EPA 1631E (mod)
Water samples undergo a cold-oxidation using bromine monochloride prior to reduction with stannous chloride, and analyzed by CVAAS.			
MET-T-CCMS-WT	Water	Total Metals in Water by CRC ICPMS	EPA 200.2/6020A (mod)

Water samples are digested with nitric and hydrochloric acids, and analyzed by CRC ICPMS.

Method Limitation (re: Sulfur): Sulfide and volatile sulfur species may not be recovered by this method.

Reference Information

Methods Listed (if applicable):

ALS Test Code	Matrix	Test Description	Method Reference**
Analysis conducted in accordance with the Protocol for Analytical Methods Used in the Assessment of Properties under Part XV.1 of the Environmental Protection Act (July 1, 2011).			
NP,NPE-LCMS-WT	Water	Nonylphenols and Ethoxylates by LC/MS-MS	J. Chrom A849 (1999) p.467-482
Water samples are filtered and analyzed on LCMS/MS by direct injection.			
OGG-SPEC-CALC-WT	Water	Speciated Oil and Grease A/V Calc	CALCULATION
Sample is extracted with hexane, sample speciation into mineral and animal/vegetable fractions is achieved via silica gel separation and is then determined gravimetrically.			
OGG-SPEC-WT	Water	Speciated Oil and Grease-Gravimetric	APHA 5520 B
The procedure involves an extraction of the entire water sample with hexane. Sample speciation into mineral and animal/vegetable fractions is achieved via silica gel separation and is then determined gravimetrically.			
P-T-COL-WT	Water	Total P in Water by Colour	APHA 4500-P PHOSPHORUS
This analysis is carried out using procedures adapted from APHA Method 4500-P "Phosphorus". Total Phosphorus is determined colourimetrically after persulphate digestion of the sample.			
PCB-WT	Water	Polychlorinated Biphenyls	EPA 8082
PCBs are extracted from an aqueous sample at neutral pH with aliquots of dichloromethane using a modified separatory funnel technique. The extracts are analyzed by GC/MSD.			
PH-WT	Water	pH	APHA 4500 H-Electrode
Water samples are analyzed directly by a calibrated pH meter.			
Analysis conducted in accordance with the Protocol for Analytical Methods Used in the Assessment of Properties under Part XV.1 of the Environmental Protection Act (July 1, 2011). Holdtime for samples under this regulation is 28 days			
PHENOLS-4AAP-WT	Water	Phenol (4AAP)	EPA 9066
An automated method is used to distill the sample. The distillate is then buffered to pH 9.4 which reacts with 4AAP and potassium ferricyanide to form a red complex which is measured colorimetrically.			
SO4-IC-N-WT	Water	Sulfate in Water by IC	EPA 300.1 (mod)
Inorganic anions are analyzed by Ion Chromatography with conductivity and/or UV detection.			
SOLIDS-TSS-WT	Water	Suspended solids	APHA 2540 D-Gravimetric
A well-mixed sample is filtered through a weighed standard glass fibre filter and the residue retained is dried in an oven at 104–1°C for a minimum of four hours or until a constant weight is achieved.			
TKN-F-WT	Water	TKN in Water by Fluorescence	J. ENVIRON. MONIT., 2005,7,37-42,RSC
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen is determined using block digestion followed by Flow-injection analysis with fluorescence detection			
VOC-ROU-HS-WT	Water	Volatile Organic Compounds	SW846 8260
Aqueous samples are analyzed by headspace-GC/MS.			

Reference Information

Methods Listed (if applicable):

ALS Test Code	Matrix	Test Description	Method Reference**
XYLENES-SUM-CALC-WT	Water	Sum of Xylene Isomer Concentrations	CALCULATION

Total xylenes represents the sum of o-xylene and m&p-xylene.

**ALS test methods may incorporate modifications from specified reference methods to improve performance.

Chain of Custody Numbers:

The last two letters of the above test code(s) indicate the laboratory that performed analytical analysis for that test. Refer to the list below:

Laboratory Definition Code	Laboratory Location
WT	ALS ENVIRONMENTAL - WATERLOO, ONTARIO, CANADA

GLOSSARY OF REPORT TERMS

Surrogates are compounds that are similar in behaviour to target analyte(s), but that do not normally occur in environmental samples. For applicable tests, surrogates are added to samples prior to analysis as a check on recovery. In reports that display the D.L. column, laboratory objectives for surrogates are listed there.

mg/kg - milligrams per kilogram based on dry weight of sample

mg/kg ww - milligrams per kilogram based on wet weight of sample

mg/kg lwt - milligrams per kilogram based on lipid-adjusted weight

mg/L - unit of concentration based on volume, parts per million.

< - Less than.

D.L. - The reporting limit.

N/A - Result not available. Refer to qualifier code and definition for explanation.

Test results reported relate only to the samples as received by the laboratory.

UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED, ALL SAMPLES WERE RECEIVED IN ACCEPTABLE CONDITION.

Analytical results in unsigned test reports with the DRAFT watermark are subject to change, pending final QC review.

Application of guidelines is provided "as is" without warranty of any kind, either expressed or implied, including, but not limited to, fitness for a particular purpose, or non-infringement. ALS assumes no responsibility for errors or omissions in the information. Guideline limits are not adjusted for the hardness, pH or temperature of the sample (the most conservative values are used). Measurement uncertainty is not applied to test results prior to comparison with specified criteria values.



Environmental

Quality Control Report

Workorder: L2640093

Report Date: 23-SEP-21

Page 1 of 14

Client: FISHER ENVIRONMENTAL
15-400 ESNA PARK DRIVE
MARKHAM ON N/A

Contact: CLIVE

Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
625-BIS-2-PHTH-WT Water								
Batch	R5592637							
WG3620987-2	LCS							
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate			129.7		%		50-140	23-SEP-21
WG3620987-1	MB							
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate			<2.0		ug/L		2	23-SEP-21
Surrogate: 2-fluorobiphenyl			75.8		%		40-130	23-SEP-21
Surrogate: p-Terphenyl d14			111.6		%		40-130	23-SEP-21
625-DNB-PHTH-WT Water								
Batch	R5592637							
WG3620987-2	LCS							
Di-n-butylphthalate			103.9		%		50-150	23-SEP-21
WG3620987-1	MB							
Di-n-butylphthalate			<1.0		ug/L		1	23-SEP-21
Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl			75.8		%		40-130	23-SEP-21
Surrogate: p-Terphenyl d14			111.6		%		40-130	23-SEP-21
BOD-C-WT Water								
Batch	R5595897							
WG3619785-2	DUP	L2639932-1						
BOD Carbonaceous		3.9	2.3	J	mg/L	1.6	4	17-SEP-21
WG3619785-3	LCS							
BOD Carbonaceous			98.0		%		85-115	17-SEP-21
WG3619785-1	MB							
BOD Carbonaceous			<2.0		mg/L		2	17-SEP-21
CN-TOT-WT Water								
Batch	R5587382							
WG3619703-8	DUP	WG3619703-10						
Cyanide, Total		<0.0020	<0.0020	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	17-SEP-21
WG3619703-7	LCS							
Cyanide, Total			91.4		%		80-120	17-SEP-21
WG3619703-6	MB							
Cyanide, Total			<0.0020		mg/L		0.002	17-SEP-21
WG3619703-9	MS	WG3619703-10						
Cyanide, Total			92.0		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
EC-WW-MF-WT Water								
Batch	R5589616							
WG3619317-3	DUP	L2640525-6						
E. Coli		0	0		CFU/100mL	0.0	65	17-SEP-21
WG3619317-1	MB							



Quality Control Report

Workorder: L2640093

Report Date: 23-SEP-21

Page 2 of 14

Client: FISHER ENVIRONMENTAL
15-400 ESNA PARK DRIVE
MARKHAM ON N/A

Contact: CLIVE

Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
EC-WW-MF-WT	Water							
Batch	R5589616							
WG3619317-1 MB								
E. Coli			0		CFU/100mL		1	17-SEP-21
F-IC-N-WT	Water							
Batch	R5587323							
WG3619689-4 DUP		WG3619689-3						
Fluoride (F)		0.046	0.047		mg/L	0.7	20	17-SEP-21
WG3619689-2 LCS								
Fluoride (F)			101.2		%		90-110	17-SEP-21
WG3619689-1 MB								
Fluoride (F)			<0.020		mg/L		0.02	17-SEP-21
WG3619689-5 MS		WG3619689-3						
Fluoride (F)			103.8		%		75-125	17-SEP-21
FC-WW-MF-WT	Water							
Batch	R5589599							
WG3619308-1 MB								
Fecal Coliforms			0		CFU/100mL		1	17-SEP-21
HG-T-CVAA-WT	Water							
Batch	R5587825							
WG3619639-3 DUP		L2639289-1						
Mercury (Hg)-Total		0.0000120	0.0000123		mg/L	2.5	20	20-SEP-21
WG3619639-2 LCS								
Mercury (Hg)-Total			104.0		%		80-120	20-SEP-21
WG3619639-1 MB								
Mercury (Hg)-Total			<0.0000050		mg/L		0.000005	20-SEP-21
WG3619639-4 MS		L2639774-1						
Mercury (Hg)-Total			109.0		%		70-130	20-SEP-21
MET-T-CCMS-WT	Water							
Batch	R5586131							
WG3619129-4 DUP		WG3619129-3						
Aluminum (Al)-Total		0.0658	0.0604		mg/L	8.5	20	17-SEP-21
Antimony (Sb)-Total		<0.00010	<0.00010	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	17-SEP-21
Arsenic (As)-Total		0.00018	0.00020		mg/L	11	20	17-SEP-21
Cadmium (Cd)-Total		0.0000097	0.0000121	J	mg/L	0.0000024	0.00001	17-SEP-21
Chromium (Cr)-Total		<0.00050	0.00055	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	17-SEP-21
Cobalt (Co)-Total		0.00018	0.00019		mg/L	5.8	20	17-SEP-21



Quality Control Report

Workorder: L2640093

Report Date: 23-SEP-21

Page 3 of 14

Client: FISHER ENVIRONMENTAL
15-400 ESNA PARK DRIVE
MARKHAM ON N/A

Contact: CLIVE

Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
MET-T-CCMS-WT		Water						
Batch	R5586131							
WG3619129-4	DUP	WG3619129-3						
Copper (Cu)-Total		0.00240	0.00244		mg/L	1.9	20	17-SEP-21
Lead (Pb)-Total		0.000093	0.000092		mg/L	0.5	20	17-SEP-21
Manganese (Mn)-Total		0.00721	0.00757		mg/L	4.8	20	17-SEP-21
Molybdenum (Mo)-Total		<0.000050	<0.000050	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	17-SEP-21
Nickel (Ni)-Total		0.00210	0.00213		mg/L	1.6	20	17-SEP-21
Selenium (Se)-Total		0.000056	<0.000050	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	17-SEP-21
Silver (Ag)-Total		<0.000050	<0.000050	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	17-SEP-21
Tin (Sn)-Total		<0.00010	<0.00010	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	17-SEP-21
Titanium (Ti)-Total		0.00243	0.00224		mg/L	8.5	20	17-SEP-21
Zinc (Zn)-Total		0.0037	0.0036		mg/L	3.3	20	17-SEP-21
WG3619129-2	LCS							
Aluminum (Al)-Total			101.0		%		80-120	17-SEP-21
Antimony (Sb)-Total			99.1		%		80-120	17-SEP-21
Arsenic (As)-Total			100.3		%		80-120	17-SEP-21
Cadmium (Cd)-Total			98.2		%		80-120	17-SEP-21
Chromium (Cr)-Total			98.5		%		80-120	17-SEP-21
Cobalt (Co)-Total			100.2		%		80-120	17-SEP-21
Copper (Cu)-Total			98.7		%		80-120	17-SEP-21
Lead (Pb)-Total			99.4		%		80-120	17-SEP-21
Manganese (Mn)-Total			99.8		%		80-120	17-SEP-21
Molybdenum (Mo)-Total			100.1		%		80-120	17-SEP-21
Nickel (Ni)-Total			98.2		%		80-120	17-SEP-21
Selenium (Se)-Total			96.4		%		80-120	17-SEP-21
Silver (Ag)-Total			103.6		%		80-120	17-SEP-21
Tin (Sn)-Total			98.6		%		80-120	17-SEP-21
Titanium (Ti)-Total			94.2		%		80-120	17-SEP-21
Zinc (Zn)-Total			96.3		%		80-120	17-SEP-21
WG3619129-1	MB							
Aluminum (Al)-Total			<0.0050		mg/L		0.005	17-SEP-21
Antimony (Sb)-Total			<0.00010		mg/L		0.0001	17-SEP-21
Arsenic (As)-Total			<0.00010		mg/L		0.0001	17-SEP-21
Cadmium (Cd)-Total			<0.0000050		mg/L		0.000005	17-SEP-21
Chromium (Cr)-Total			<0.00050		mg/L		0.0005	17-SEP-21
Cobalt (Co)-Total			<0.00010		mg/L		0.0001	17-SEP-21



Quality Control Report

Workorder: L2640093

Report Date: 23-SEP-21

Page 5 of 14

Client: FISHER ENVIRONMENTAL
15-400 ESNA PARK DRIVE
MARKHAM ON N/A

Contact: CLIVE

Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
NP,NPE-LCMS-WT		Water						
Batch	R5588139							
WG3619483-2	LCS							
Nonylphenol			84.2		%		75-125	20-SEP-21
Nonylphenol Monoethoxylates			92.4		%		75-125	20-SEP-21
Nonylphenol Diethoxylates			98.5		%		75-125	20-SEP-21
WG3619483-1	MB							
Nonylphenol			<1.0		ug/L		1	20-SEP-21
Nonylphenol Monoethoxylates			<2.0		ug/L		2	20-SEP-21
Nonylphenol Diethoxylates			<0.10		ug/L		0.1	20-SEP-21
WG3619483-4	MS	L2638090-1						
Nonylphenol			60.0		%		60-140	20-SEP-21
Nonylphenol Monoethoxylates			80.4		%		60-140	20-SEP-21
Nonylphenol Diethoxylates			N/A	MS-B	%		-	20-SEP-21
OGG-SPEC-WT		Water						
Batch	R5588940							
WG3620508-2	LCS							
Oil and Grease, Total			88.7		%		70-130	20-SEP-21
Mineral Oil and Grease			80.9		%		70-130	20-SEP-21
WG3620508-1	MB							
Oil and Grease, Total			<5.0		mg/L		5	20-SEP-21
Mineral Oil and Grease			<2.5		mg/L		2.5	20-SEP-21
P-T-COL-WT		Water						
Batch	R5586099							
WG3618944-3	DUP	L2639948-1						
Phosphorus, Total		0.0089	0.0095		mg/L	6.7	20	17-SEP-21
WG3618944-2	LCS							
Phosphorus, Total			101.6		%		80-120	17-SEP-21
WG3618944-1	MB							
Phosphorus, Total			<0.0030		mg/L		0.003	17-SEP-21
WG3618944-4	MS	L2639948-1						
Phosphorus, Total			98.5		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
PCB-WT		Water						
Batch	R5590177							
WG3620703-2	LCS							
Aroclor 1242			96.2		%		65-130	21-SEP-21
Aroclor 1248			111.6		%		65-130	21-SEP-21
Aroclor 1254			97.2		%		65-130	21-SEP-21



Quality Control Report

Workorder: L2640093

Report Date: 23-SEP-21

Page 6 of 14

Client: FISHER ENVIRONMENTAL
15-400 ESNA PARK DRIVE
MARKHAM ON N/A

Contact: CLIVE

Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
PCB-WT		Water						
Batch	R5590177							
WG3620703-2	LCS							
Aroclor 1260			126.6		%		65-130	21-SEP-21
WG3620703-3	LCSD	WG3620703-2						
Aroclor 1242		96.2	86.7		%	10	50	21-SEP-21
Aroclor 1248		111.6	111.6		%	0.0	50	21-SEP-21
Aroclor 1254		97.2	86.7		%	11	50	21-SEP-21
Aroclor 1260		126.6	115.2		%	9.4	50	21-SEP-21
WG3620703-1	MB							
Aroclor 1242			<0.020		ug/L		0.02	21-SEP-21
Aroclor 1248			<0.020		ug/L		0.02	21-SEP-21
Aroclor 1254			<0.020		ug/L		0.02	21-SEP-21
Aroclor 1260			<0.020		ug/L		0.02	21-SEP-21
Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl			121.4		%		50-150	21-SEP-21
Surrogate: Tetrachloro-m-xylene			85.9		%		50-150	21-SEP-21
PH-WT		Water						
Batch	R5586818							
WG3620023-4	DUP	WG3620023-3						
pH		8.27	8.17	J	pH units	0.10	0.2	17-SEP-21
WG3620023-2	LCS							
pH			6.99		pH units		6.9-7.1	17-SEP-21
PHENOLS-4AAP-WT		Water						
Batch	R5586158							
WG3618908-3	DUP	L2639437-4						
Phenols (4AAP)		<0.0010	<0.0010	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	16-SEP-21
WG3618908-2	LCS							
Phenols (4AAP)			93.6		%		85-115	16-SEP-21
WG3618908-1	MB							
Phenols (4AAP)			<0.0010		mg/L		0.001	16-SEP-21
WG3618908-4	MS	L2639437-4						
Phenols (4AAP)			97.1		%		75-125	16-SEP-21
SO4-IC-N-WT		Water						
Batch	R5587323							
WG3619689-4	DUP	WG3619689-3						
Sulfate (SO4)		1.08	1.06		mg/L	1.9	20	17-SEP-21
WG3619689-2	LCS							
Sulfate (SO4)			100.1		%		90-110	17-SEP-21



Quality Control Report

Workorder: L2640093

Report Date: 23-SEP-21

Page 7 of 14

Client: FISHER ENVIRONMENTAL
15-400 ESNA PARK DRIVE
MARKHAM ON N/A

Contact: CLIVE

Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
SO4-IC-N-WT								
Water								
Batch	R5587323							
WG3619689-1 MB								
Sulfate (SO4)			<0.30		mg/L		0.3	17-SEP-21
WG3619689-5 MS		WG3619689-3						
Sulfate (SO4)			101.3		%		75-125	17-SEP-21
SOLIDS-TSS-WT								
Water								
Batch	R5591856							
WG3620846-3 DUP		L2640093-1						
Total Suspended Solids		161	155		mg/L	3.6	20	21-SEP-21
WG3620846-2 LCS								
Total Suspended Solids			94.3		%		85-115	21-SEP-21
WG3620846-1 MB								
Total Suspended Solids			<3.0		mg/L		3	21-SEP-21
TKN-F-WT								
Water								
Batch	R5593159							
WG3619118-3 DUP		L2640038-1						
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen		0.330	0.420	J	mg/L	0.090	0.1	22-SEP-21
WG3619118-2 LCS								
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen			110.5		%		75-125	22-SEP-21
WG3619118-1 MB								
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen			<0.050		mg/L		0.05	22-SEP-21
WG3619118-4 MS		L2640038-1						
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen			110.4		%		70-130	22-SEP-21
VOC-ROU-HS-WT								
Water								
Batch	R5586227							
WG3618821-4 DUP		WG3618821-3						
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane		<0.50	<0.50	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	17-SEP-21
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane		<0.50	<0.50	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	17-SEP-21
1,1,1-Trichloroethane		<0.50	<0.50	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	17-SEP-21
1,1,2-Trichloroethane		<0.50	<0.50	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	17-SEP-21
1,2-Dibromoethane		<0.20	<0.20	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	17-SEP-21
1,1-Dichloroethane		<0.50	<0.50	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	17-SEP-21
1,1-Dichloroethylene		<0.50	<0.50	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	17-SEP-21
1,2-Dichlorobenzene		<0.50	<0.50	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	17-SEP-21
1,2-Dichloroethane		<0.50	<0.50	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	17-SEP-21
1,2-Dichloropropane		<0.50	<0.50	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	17-SEP-21
1,3-Dichlorobenzene		<0.50	<0.50	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	17-SEP-21

Quality Control Report

Workorder: L2640093

Report Date: 23-SEP-21

Page 8 of 14

Client: FISHER ENVIRONMENTAL
15-400 ESNA PARK DRIVE
MARKHAM ON N/A

Contact: CLIVE

Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
VOC-ROU-HS-WT		Water						
Batch	R5586227							
WG3618821-4	DUP	WG3618821-3						
1,4-Dichlorobenzene		<0.50	<0.50	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	17-SEP-21
2-Hexanone		<20	<20	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	17-SEP-21
Acetone		<20	<20	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	17-SEP-21
Benzene		<0.50	<0.50	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	17-SEP-21
Bromodichloromethane		<1.0	<1.0	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	17-SEP-21
Bromoform		<1.0	<1.0	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	17-SEP-21
Bromomethane		<0.50	<0.50	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	17-SEP-21
Carbon Disulfide		<1.0	<1.0	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	17-SEP-21
Carbon tetrachloride		<0.50	<0.20	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	17-SEP-21
Chlorobenzene		<0.50	<0.50	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	17-SEP-21
Chloroethane		<1.0	<1.0	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	17-SEP-21
Chloroform		<1.0	<1.0	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	17-SEP-21
Chloromethane		<2.0	<2.0	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	17-SEP-21
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene		<0.50	<0.50	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	17-SEP-21
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene		<0.30	<0.30	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	17-SEP-21
Dibromochloromethane		<1.0	<1.0	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	17-SEP-21
Dichlorodifluoromethane		<1.0	<1.0	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	17-SEP-21
Dichloromethane		<2.0	<2.0	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	17-SEP-21
Ethylbenzene		<0.50	<0.50	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	17-SEP-21
m+p-Xylenes		<0.40	<0.40	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	17-SEP-21
Methyl Ethyl Ketone		<20	<20	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	17-SEP-21
Methyl Isobutyl Ketone		<20	<20	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	17-SEP-21
n-Hexane		<0.50	<0.50	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	17-SEP-21
MTBE		<0.50	<0.50	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	17-SEP-21
o-Xylene		<0.30	<0.30	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	17-SEP-21
Styrene		<0.50	<0.50	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	17-SEP-21
Tetrachloroethylene		<0.50	<0.50	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	17-SEP-21
Toluene		<0.40	<0.40	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	17-SEP-21
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene		<0.50	<0.50	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	17-SEP-21
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene		<0.30	<0.30	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	17-SEP-21
Trichloroethylene		<0.50	<0.50	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	17-SEP-21
Trichlorofluoromethane		<1.0	<1.0	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	17-SEP-21
Vinyl chloride		<0.50	<0.50		ug/L			17-SEP-21



Quality Control Report

Workorder: L2640093

Report Date: 23-SEP-21

Page 9 of 14

Client: FISHER ENVIRONMENTAL
15-400 ESNA PARK DRIVE
MARKHAM ON N/A

Contact: CLIVE

Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
VOC-ROU-HS-WT		Water						
Batch	R5586227							
WG3618821-4	DUP	WG3618821-3						
Vinyl chloride		<0.50	<0.50	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	17-SEP-21
WG3618821-1	LCS							
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane			96.5		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane			86.3		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
1,1,1-Trichloroethane			100.2		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
1,1,2-Trichloroethane			91.5		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
1,2-Dibromoethane			90.5		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
1,1-Dichloroethane			90.9		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
1,1-Dichloroethylene			96.8		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
1,2-Dichlorobenzene			97.6		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
1,2-Dichloroethane			95.0		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
1,2-Dichloropropane			93.9		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
1,3-Dichlorobenzene			100.0		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
1,4-Dichlorobenzene			100.4		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
2-Hexanone			77.8		%		60-140	17-SEP-21
Acetone			90.0		%		60-140	17-SEP-21
Benzene			93.3		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
Bromodichloromethane			101.4		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
Bromoform			85.0		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
Bromomethane			95.7		%		60-140	17-SEP-21
Carbon Disulfide			96.4		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
Carbon tetrachloride			100.9		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
Chlorobenzene			96.9		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
Chloroethane			91.9		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
Chloroform			97.4		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
Chloromethane			87.8		%		60-140	17-SEP-21
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene			96.5		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene			88.3		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
Dibromochloromethane			91.9		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
Dichlorodifluoromethane			97.5		%		50-140	17-SEP-21
Dichloromethane			89.7		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
Ethylbenzene			97.7		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
m+p-Xylenes			98.3		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
Methyl Ethyl Ketone			81.9		%		60-140	17-SEP-21



Quality Control Report

Workorder: L2640093

Report Date: 23-SEP-21

Page 10 of 14

Client: FISHER ENVIRONMENTAL
15-400 ESNA PARK DRIVE
MARKHAM ON N/A

Contact: CLIVE

Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
VOC-ROU-HS-WT		Water						
Batch	R5586227							
WG3618821-1	LCS							
Methyl Isobutyl Ketone			84.5		%		50-150	17-SEP-21
n-Hexane			92.0		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
MTBE			102.2		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
o-Xylene			96.8		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
Styrene			96.6		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
Tetrachloroethylene			101.6		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
Toluene			95.5		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene			95.4		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene			84.7		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
Trichloroethylene			97.8		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
Trichlorofluoromethane			102.9		%		60-140	17-SEP-21
Vinyl chloride			87.5		%		60-140	17-SEP-21
WG3618821-2	MB							
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane			<0.50		ug/L		0.5	17-SEP-21
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane			<0.50		ug/L		0.5	17-SEP-21
1,1,1-Trichloroethane			<0.50		ug/L		0.5	17-SEP-21
1,1,2-Trichloroethane			<0.50		ug/L		0.5	17-SEP-21
1,2-Dibromoethane			<0.20		ug/L		0.2	17-SEP-21
1,1-Dichloroethane			<0.50		ug/L		0.5	17-SEP-21
1,1-Dichloroethylene			<0.50		ug/L		0.5	17-SEP-21
1,2-Dichlorobenzene			<0.50		ug/L		0.5	17-SEP-21
1,2-Dichloroethane			<0.50		ug/L		0.5	17-SEP-21
1,2-Dichloropropane			<0.50		ug/L		0.5	17-SEP-21
1,3-Dichlorobenzene			<0.50		ug/L		0.5	17-SEP-21
1,4-Dichlorobenzene			<0.50		ug/L		0.5	17-SEP-21
2-Hexanone			<20		ug/L		20	17-SEP-21
Acetone			<20		ug/L		20	17-SEP-21
Benzene			<0.50		ug/L		0.5	17-SEP-21
Bromodichloromethane			<1.0		ug/L		1	17-SEP-21
Bromoform			<1.0		ug/L		1	17-SEP-21
Bromomethane			<0.50		ug/L		0.5	17-SEP-21
Carbon Disulfide			<1.0		ug/L		1	17-SEP-21
Carbon tetrachloride			<0.20		ug/L		0.2	17-SEP-21
Chlorobenzene			<0.50		ug/L		0.5	17-SEP-21



Quality Control Report

Workorder: L2640093

Report Date: 23-SEP-21

Page 11 of 14

Client: FISHER ENVIRONMENTAL
15-400 ESNA PARK DRIVE
MARKHAM ON N/A

Contact: CLIVE

Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
VOC-ROU-HS-WT		Water						
Batch	R5586227							
WG3618821-2 MB								
Chloroethane			<1.0		ug/L		1	17-SEP-21
Chloroform			<1.0		ug/L		1	17-SEP-21
Chloromethane			<2.0		ug/L		2	17-SEP-21
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene			<0.50		ug/L		0.5	17-SEP-21
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene			<0.30		ug/L		0.3	17-SEP-21
Dibromochloromethane			<1.0		ug/L		1	17-SEP-21
Dichlorodifluoromethane			<1.0		ug/L		1	17-SEP-21
Dichloromethane			<2.0		ug/L		2	17-SEP-21
Ethylbenzene			<0.50		ug/L		0.5	17-SEP-21
m+p-Xylenes			<0.40		ug/L		0.4	17-SEP-21
Methyl Ethyl Ketone			<20		ug/L		20	17-SEP-21
Methyl Isobutyl Ketone			<20		ug/L		20	17-SEP-21
n-Hexane			<0.50		ug/L		0.5	17-SEP-21
MTBE			<0.50		ug/L		0.5	17-SEP-21
o-Xylene			<0.30		ug/L		0.3	17-SEP-21
Styrene			<0.50		ug/L		0.5	17-SEP-21
Tetrachloroethylene			<0.50		ug/L		0.5	17-SEP-21
Toluene			<0.40		ug/L		0.4	17-SEP-21
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene			<0.50		ug/L		0.5	17-SEP-21
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene			<0.30		ug/L		0.3	17-SEP-21
Trichloroethylene			<0.50		ug/L		0.5	17-SEP-21
Trichlorofluoromethane			<1.0		ug/L		1	17-SEP-21
Vinyl chloride			<0.50		ug/L		0.5	17-SEP-21
Surrogate: 1,4-Difluorobenzene			102.5		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene			102.1		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
WG3618821-5 MS		WG3618821-3						
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane			91.9		%		50-150	17-SEP-21
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane			79.0		%		50-150	17-SEP-21
1,1,1-Trichloroethane			94.7		%		50-150	17-SEP-21
1,1,2-Trichloroethane			85.6		%		50-150	17-SEP-21
1,2-Dibromoethane			84.0		%		50-150	17-SEP-21
1,1-Dichloroethane			85.8		%		50-150	17-SEP-21
1,1-Dichloroethylene			89.6		%		50-150	17-SEP-21
1,2-Dichlorobenzene			92.5		%		50-150	17-SEP-21



Quality Control Report

Workorder: L2640093

Report Date: 23-SEP-21

Page 12 of 14

Client: FISHER ENVIRONMENTAL
15-400 ESNA PARK DRIVE
MARKHAM ON N/A
Contact: CLIVE

Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
VOC-ROU-HS-WT		Water						
Batch	R5586227							
WG3618821-5 MS		WG3618821-3						
1,2-Dichloroethane			87.7		%		50-150	17-SEP-21
1,2-Dichloropropane			88.2		%		50-150	17-SEP-21
1,3-Dichlorobenzene			95.7		%		50-150	17-SEP-21
1,4-Dichlorobenzene			95.3		%		50-150	17-SEP-21
2-Hexanone			69.7		%		50-150	17-SEP-21
Acetone			84.4		%		50-150	17-SEP-21
Benzene			87.4		%		50-150	17-SEP-21
Bromodichloromethane			95.3		%		50-150	17-SEP-21
Bromoform			78.7		%		50-150	17-SEP-21
Bromomethane			84.6		%		50-150	17-SEP-21
Carbon Disulfide			87.3		%		50-150	17-SEP-21
Carbon tetrachloride			95.6		%		50-150	17-SEP-21
Chlorobenzene			92.2		%		50-150	17-SEP-21
Chloroethane			83.5		%		50-150	17-SEP-21
Chloroform			91.7		%		50-150	17-SEP-21
Chloromethane			75.6		%		50-150	17-SEP-21
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene			90.0		%		50-150	17-SEP-21
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene			79.8		%		50-150	17-SEP-21
Dibromochloromethane			86.3		%		50-150	17-SEP-21
Dichlorodifluoromethane			78.9		%		50-150	17-SEP-21
Dichloromethane			83.2		%		50-150	17-SEP-21
Ethylbenzene			93.5		%		50-150	17-SEP-21
m+p-Xylenes			94.1		%		50-150	17-SEP-21
Methyl Ethyl Ketone			73.1		%		50-150	17-SEP-21
Methyl Isobutyl Ketone			75.5		%		50-150	17-SEP-21
n-Hexane			85.0		%		50-150	17-SEP-21
MTBE			97.6		%		50-150	17-SEP-21
o-Xylene			92.1		%		50-150	17-SEP-21
Styrene			91.1		%		50-150	17-SEP-21
Tetrachloroethylene			97.0		%		50-150	17-SEP-21
Toluene			91.1		%		50-150	17-SEP-21
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene			88.9		%		50-150	17-SEP-21
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene			76.6		%		50-150	17-SEP-21



Quality Control Report

Workorder: L2640093

Report Date: 23-SEP-21

Page 13 of 14

Client: FISHER ENVIRONMENTAL
15-400 ESNA PARK DRIVE
MARKHAM ON N/A

Contact: CLIVE

Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
VOC-ROU-HS-WT	Water							
Batch	R5586227							
WG3618821-5 MS		WG3618821-3						
Trichloroethylene			92.2		%		50-150	17-SEP-21
Trichlorofluoromethane			94.1		%		50-150	17-SEP-21
Vinyl chloride			77.0		%		50-150	17-SEP-21

Quality Control Report

Workorder: L2640093

Report Date: 23-SEP-21

Client: FISHER ENVIRONMENTAL
15-400 ESNA PARK DRIVE
MARKHAM ON N/A
Contact: CLIVE

Page 14 of 14

Legend:

Limit	ALS Control Limit (Data Quality Objectives)
DUP	Duplicate
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
N/A	Not Available
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample
SRM	Standard Reference Material
MS	Matrix Spike
MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate
ADE	Average Desorption Efficiency
MB	Method Blank
IRM	Internal Reference Material
CRM	Certified Reference Material
CCV	Continuing Calibration Verification
CVS	Calibration Verification Standard
LCSD	Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate

Sample Parameter Qualifier Definitions:

Qualifier	Description
J	Duplicate results and limits are expressed in terms of absolute difference.
MS-B	Matrix Spike recovery could not be accurately calculated due to high analyte background in sample.
RPD-NA	Relative Percent Difference Not Available due to result(s) being less than detection limit.

Hold Time Exceedances:

All test results reported with this submission were conducted within ALS recommended hold times.

ALS recommended hold times may vary by province. They are assigned to meet known provincial and/or federal government requirements. In the absence of regulatory hold times, ALS establishes recommendations based on guidelines published by the US EPA, APHA Standard Methods, or Environment Canada (where available). For more information, please contact ALS.

The ALS Quality Control Report is provided to ALS clients upon request. ALS includes comprehensive QC checks with every analysis to ensure our high standards of quality are met. Each QC result has a known or expected target value, which is compared against pre-determined data quality objectives to provide confidence in the accuracy of associated test results.

Please note that this report may contain QC results from anonymous Sample Duplicates and Matrix Spikes that do not originate from this Work Order.



L2640093-COFC

Canada Toll Free: 1 800 668 9878

COC Number: 20-892424

Page of

[illegible]

REFER TO BACK PAGE FOR ALS LOCATIONS AND SAMPLING INFORMATION

WHITE - LABORATORY COPY YELLOW - CLIENT COPY

Failure to complete all portions of this form may delay analysis. Please fill in this form LEGIBLY. By the use of this form the user acknowledges and agrees with the Terms and Conditions as specified on the back page of the white - report copy.

1. If any water samples are taken from a Regulated Drinking Water (DW) System, please submit using an Authorized DW COC form.

41 3 720 59 CM

APPENDIX E – HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY ANALYSES



Location: 3855 Dundas Street East, Mississauga
Project: FE-P-20-10464 HydroGeo
Test Date: 2020-09-04
Well No. MW102

Equilibrium Water level (from top of pipe) H_E 377 cm
 Initial Water level (from top of pipe) H_o 443 cm
 Monitoring well inner diameter d 0.05 m
 Initial Time offset T_o 5 second
 Reverse of Luthin's reference system $R_u = H_o - H_E$ 66.00 cm
 Slope of $\text{Log}((h_o - h_e)/(h_t - h_e)) / T$ 7.00E-06
 $G = R_u / (H_T - H_E)$

Hydraulic conductivity computed $k =$ 0.0000106 cm/s
 1.06E-07 m/s
 0.009 m/day


Time		HT (Water Drop)		G	LOG (G)
(Interval s)	(Elapsed s)	(m)	(cm)		
5	5	4.420	442.00	1.0154	0.0147
5	10	4.415	441.50	1.0233	0.0100
5	15	4.415	441.50	1.0233	0.0100
5	20	4.410	441.00	1.0313	0.0134
5	25	4.410	441.00	1.0313	0.0134
5	30	4.405	440.50	1.0394	0.0168
5	35	4.405	440.50	1.0394	0.0168
5	40	4.405	440.50	1.0394	0.0168
5	45	4.405	440.50	1.0394	0.0168
5	50	4.400	440.00	1.0476	0.0202
5	55	4.400	440.00	1.0476	0.0202
5	60	4.395	439.50	1.0560	0.0237
30	90	4.393	439.25	1.0602	0.0254
30	120	4.385	438.50	1.0732	0.0307
30	150	4.380	438.00	1.0820	0.0342
30	180	4.375	437.50	1.0909	0.0378
30	210	4.370	437.00	1.1000	0.0414
30	240	4.368	436.75	1.1046	0.0432
30	270	4.365	436.50	1.1092	0.0450
30	300	4.363	436.25	1.1139	0.0469
600	900	4.340	434.00	1.1579	0.0637
600	1500	4.320	432.00	1.2000	0.0792
600	2100	4.310	431.00	1.2222	0.0872
600	2700	4.305	430.50	1.2336	0.0912
600	3300	4.303	430.25	1.2394	0.0932
600	3900	4.300	430.00	1.2453	0.0953
1800	5700	4.295	429.50	1.2571	0.0994
1800	7500	4.290	429.00	1.2692	0.1035
1800	9300	4.285	428.50	1.2816	0.1077
1800	11100	4.280	428.00	1.2941	0.1120
1800	12900	4.277	427.65	1.3031	0.1150
1800	14700	4.275	427.50	1.3069	0.1163
1800	16500	4.270	427.00	1.3200	0.1206
1800	18300	4.268	426.75	1.3266	0.1228

Location: 3855 Dundas Street East, Mississauga

Project: FE-P-20-10464 HydroGeo

Test Date: 2020-09-04

Well No. MW102



Location: 3855 Dundas Street East, Mississauga

Project: FE-P-20-10464 HydroGeo

Test Date: 2020-09-04

Well No. MW104

Equilibrium Water level (from top of pipe) HE

389 cm

Initial Water level (from top of pipe) Ho

450 cm

Monitoring well inner diameter d

0.05 m

Initial Time offset To

5 second

Reverse of Luthin's reference system Ru = Ho - HE

61.00 cm

Slope of Log((ho-he)/(ht-he)) / T

6.00E-06

G = Ru / (HT - HE)

Hydraulic conductivity computed k =

0.0000091 cm/s

9.08E-08 m/s

0.008 m/day


Time		HT (Water Drop)		G	LOG (G)
(Interval s)	(Elapsed s)	(m)	(cm)		
5	5	4.490	449.00	1.0167	0.0147
5	10	4.485	448.50	1.0252	0.0108
5	15	4.480	448.00	1.0339	0.0145
5	20	4.480	448.00	1.0339	0.0145
5	25	4.475	447.50	1.0427	0.0182
5	30	4.475	447.50	1.0427	0.0182
5	35	4.475	447.50	1.0427	0.0182
5	40	4.470	447.00	1.0517	0.0219
5	45	4.468	446.75	1.0563	0.0238
5	50	4.465	446.50	1.0609	0.0257
5	55	4.465	446.50	1.0609	0.0257
5	60	4.460	446.00	1.0702	0.0295
30	90	4.455	445.50	1.0796	0.0333
30	120	4.450	445.00	1.0893	0.0371
30	150	4.445	444.50	1.0991	0.0410
30	180	4.440	444.00	1.1091	0.0450
30	210	4.435	443.50	1.1193	0.0489
30	240	4.435	443.50	1.1193	0.0489
30	270	4.430	443.00	1.1296	0.0529
30	300	4.430	443.00	1.1296	0.0529
600	900	4.410	441.00	1.1731	0.0693
600	1500	4.405	440.50	1.1845	0.0735
600	2100	4.405	440.50	1.1845	0.0735
600	2700	4.400	440.00	1.1961	0.0778
600	3300	4.398	439.75	1.2020	0.0799
600	3900	4.395	439.50	1.2079	0.0820
1800	5700	4.390	439.00	1.2200	0.0864
1800	7500	4.385	438.50	1.2323	0.0907
1800	9300	4.383	438.25	1.2386	0.0929
1800	11100	4.380	438.00	1.2449	0.0951
1800	12900	4.379	437.90	1.2474	0.0960
1800	14700	4.375	437.50	1.2577	0.0996
1800	16500	4.373	437.30	1.2629	0.1014
1800	18300	4.370	437.00	1.2708	0.1041

Location: 3855 Dundas Street East, Mississauga

Project: FE-P-20-10464 HydroGeo

Test Date: 2020-09-04

Well No. MW104



Location: 3855 Dundas Street East, Mississauga
Project: FE-P-20-10464 HydroGeo
Test Date: 2021-09-17
Well No. MW204

Equilibrium Water level (from top of pipe) H_E 145 cm
 Initial Water level (from top of pipe) H_o 404.5 cm
 Monitoring well inner diameter d 0.05 m
 Initial Time offset T_o 5 second
 Reverse of Luthin's reference system $R_u = H_o - H_E$ 259.50 cm
 Slope of Log(($h_o - h_e$)/($h_t - h_e$)) / T 9.00E-06
 $G = R_u / (H_T - H_E)$

Hydraulic conductivity computed $k =$ 0.0000136 cm/s
 1.36E-07 m/s
 0.012 m/day


Time		HT (Water Drop)		G	LOG (G)
(Interval s)	(Elapsed s)	(m)	(cm)		
10	10	4.040	404.00	1.0019	0.0147
10	20	4.035	403.50	1.0039	0.0017
10	30	4.030	403.00	1.0058	0.0025
10	40	4.025	402.50	1.0078	0.0034
10	50	4.020	402.00	1.0097	0.0042
10	60	4.015	401.50	1.0117	0.0050
30	90	4.010	401.00	1.0137	0.0059
30	120	4.005	400.50	1.0157	0.0067
30	150	3.995	399.50	1.0196	0.0084
30	180	3.990	399.00	1.0217	0.0093
30	210	3.988	398.80	1.0225	0.0096
30	240	3.981	398.10	1.0253	0.0108
30	270	3.975	397.50	1.0277	0.0119
30	300	3.949	394.90	1.0384	0.0164
30	330	3.936	393.60	1.0438	0.0186
30	360	3.925	392.50	1.0485	0.0206
240	600	3.845	384.50	1.0835	0.0348
300	900	3.765	376.50	1.1210	0.0496
300	1200	3.691	369.10	1.1580	0.0637
300	1500	3.615	361.50	1.1986	0.0787
300	1800	3.555	355.50	1.2328	0.0909
1800	3600	3.465	346.50	1.2878	0.1099
1800	5400	3.435	343.50	1.3073	0.1164
1800	7200	3.415	341.50	1.3206	0.1208
1800	9000	3.385	338.50	1.3411	0.1275
1800	10800	3.371	337.10	1.3509	0.1306
1800	12600	3.351	335.10	1.3651	0.1352
1800	14400	3.324	332.40	1.3847	0.1414
1800	16200	3.300	330.00	1.4027	0.1470
1800	18000	3.282	328.20	1.4165	0.1512

Location: 3855 Dundas Street East, Mississauga

Project: FE-P-20-10464 HydroGeo

Test Date: 2021-09-17

Well No. MW204



Location: 3855 Dundas Street East, Mississauga
Project: FE-P-20-10464 HydroGeo
Test Date: 2021-09-17
Well No. MW205

Equilibrium Water level (from top of pipe) H_E 394 cm
 Initial Water level (from top of pipe) H_o 447 cm
 Monitoring well inner diameter d 0.05 m
 Initial Time offset T_o 5 second
 Reverse of Luthin's reference system $R_u = H_o - H_E$ 53.00 cm
 Slope of Log(($h_o - h_e$)/($h_t - h_e$)) / T 7.00E-06
 $G = R_u / (H_T - H_E)$

Hydraulic conductivity computed $k =$ 0.0000106 cm/s
 1.06E-07 m/s
 0.009 m/day


Time		HT (Water Drop)		G	LOG (G)
(Interval s)	(Elapsed s)	(m)	(cm)		
10	10	4.450	445.00	1.0392	0.0147
10	20	4.445	444.50	1.0495	0.0210
10	30	4.440	444.00	1.0600	0.0253
10	40	4.435	443.50	1.0707	0.0297
10	50	4.430	443.00	1.0816	0.0341
10	60	4.425	442.50	1.0928	0.0385
30	90	4.416	441.60	1.1134	0.0467
30	120	4.407	440.70	1.1349	0.0550
30	150	4.398	439.80	1.1572	0.0634
30	180	4.390	439.00	1.1778	0.0711
30	210	4.382	438.20	1.1991	0.0789
30	240	4.376	437.60	1.2156	0.0848
30	270	4.371	437.10	1.2297	0.0898
30	300	4.368	436.80	1.2383	0.0928
30	330	4.366	436.60	1.2441	0.0949
30	360	4.363	436.30	1.2530	0.0979
240	600	4.355	435.50	1.2771	0.1062
300	900	4.349	434.90	1.2958	0.1126
300	1200	4.344	434.40	1.3119	0.1179
300	1500	4.338	433.80	1.3317	0.1244
300	1800	4.335	433.50	1.3418	0.1277
1800	3600	4.325	432.50	1.3766	0.1388
1800	5400	4.321	432.10	1.3911	0.1434
1800	7200	4.317	431.70	1.4058	0.1479
1800	9000	4.315	431.50	1.4133	0.1502
1800	10800	4.313	431.30	1.4209	0.1526
1800	12600	4.311	431.10	1.4286	0.1549
1800	14400	4.309	430.90	1.4363	0.1572
1800	16200	4.307	430.70	1.4441	0.1596
1800	18000	4.306	430.60	1.4481	0.1608

Location: 3855 Dundas Street East, Mississauga

Project: FE-P-20-10464 HydroGeo

Test Date: 2021-09-17

Well No. MW205



Location: 3855 Dundas Street East, Mississauga
Project: FE-P-20-10464 HydroGeo
Test Date: 2021-09-17
Well No. MW207

Equilibrium Water level (from top of pipe) H_E 372 cm
 Initial Water level (from top of pipe) H_o 445 cm
 Monitoring well inner diameter d 0.05 m
 Initial Time offset T_o 5 second
 Reverse of Luthin's reference system $R_u = H_o - H_E$ 73.00 cm
 Slope of Log(($h_o - h_e$)/($h_t - h_e$)) / T 5.00E-06
 $G = R_u / (H_T - H_E)$

Hydraulic conductivity computed $k =$ 0.0000076 cm/s
 7.57E-08 m/s
 0.007 m/day


Time		HT (Water Drop)		G	LOG (G)
(Interval s)	(Elapsed s)	(m)	(cm)		
10	10	4.448	444.80	1.0027	0.0147
10	20	4.446	444.60	1.0055	0.0024
10	30	4.444	444.40	1.0083	0.0036
10	40	4.442	444.20	1.0111	0.0048
10	50	4.440	444.00	1.0139	0.0060
10	60	4.438	443.80	1.0167	0.0072
30	90	4.430	443.00	1.0282	0.0121
30	120	4.421	442.10	1.0414	0.0176
30	150	4.412	441.20	1.0549	0.0232
30	180	4.404	440.40	1.0673	0.0283
30	210	4.397	439.70	1.0783	0.0327
30	240	4.390	439.00	1.0896	0.0372
30	270	4.384	438.40	1.0994	0.0412
30	300	4.378	437.80	1.1094	0.0451
30	330	4.372	437.20	1.1196	0.0491
30	360	4.366	436.60	1.1300	0.0531
240	600	4.351	435.10	1.1569	0.0633
300	900	4.345	434.50	1.1680	0.0674
300	1200	4.338	433.80	1.1812	0.0723
300	1500	4.331	433.10	1.1948	0.0773
300	1800	4.326	432.60	1.2046	0.0809
1800	3600	4.316	431.60	1.2248	0.0881
1800	5400	4.308	430.80	1.2415	0.0939
1800	7200	4.304	430.40	1.2500	0.0969
1800	9000	4.300	430.00	1.2586	0.0999
1800	10800	4.296	429.60	1.2674	0.1029
1800	12600	4.293	429.30	1.2740	0.1052
1800	14400	4.291	429.10	1.2785	0.1067
1800	16200	4.289	428.90	1.2830	0.1082
1800	18000	4.287	428.70	1.2875	0.1097

Location: 3855 Dundas Street East, Mississauga

Project: FE-P-20-10464 HydroGeo

Test Date: 2021-09-17

Well No. MW207



APPENDIX F –DEWATERING RATES AND RADIUS OF INFLUENCE





Construction Dewatering Calculation

Location: 3855 Dundas Street East, Mississauga
 Project: FE-P-20-10464 HydroGeo
 Date: 2021-10-13

Dupuit Forcheimer for Radial Flow to a Closely Welled System or Excavation

Construction Units	Finished Floor elevation (m asl)	Ground Surface Elev. (m asl)	Lowest Footing Elevation (m asl)	Required Dewatering Elevation (m asl)	Static water level		Well base elevation (m)	H (m)	h _w (m)	H-h _w (m)	R ₀ (m)		r _w	ab (m ²)	K (m/s)	H ² -h _w ²	lnR ₀	lnr _w	Q _e (m ³ /s)	Q _e (m ³ /day)
					BGS (m)	Elevation (m asl)					Model	Adjusted								
Building 1	171.40	171.22	168.82	167.82	1.56	169.66	167.52	2.14	0.3	1.84	1.18	34.42	33.24	3471	1.03E-07	4.49	3.54	3.50	4.16E-05	3.59
Building 2	171.55	170.94	167.94	166.94	1.23	169.71	166.64	3.07	0.3	2.77	1.78	21.71	19.93	1248	1.03E-07	9.33	3.08	2.99	3.53E-05	3.05
Building 3	171.55	171.16	168.36	167.36	2.83	168.33	167.06	1.27	0.3	0.97	0.62	20.55	19.93	1248	1.03E-07	1.52	3.02	2.99	1.60E-05	1.38

Dupuit Forcheimer Equation


$$Q = \frac{\pi K (H^2 - h_w^2)}{\ln R_0 - \ln r_w}$$

Equivalent radius of well, r_w

$$r_w = \sqrt{\frac{ab}{\pi}}$$

Radius of influence in m, calculated from Sichardt's equation

$$R_0 = 2000(H - h_w)\sqrt{k}$$




Where:

r_w = equivalent radius of the well in m,
 H = hydraulic head of the original water table (total saturated aquifer thickness) in m,
 h_w = hydraulic head at maximum dewatering (proposed drawdown) in m,
 R₀ = radius of influence in m, calculated from Sichardt's equation, and
 K = hydraulic conductivity, in m/s
 a = length of excavation area in m
 b = width of excavation area in m

APPENDIX G – PRIVATE WELL RECORDS






Latitude:43.51176, Longitude:-79.70405 (UTM Zone:17, Easting:604750, Northing:4818463)



400 Esna Park Dr., #15 Tel: 905 475-7755
Markham, Ontario Fax: 905 475-7718
L3R 9K2

NORTH



LEGEND

SITE BOUNDARY

PROJECT NAME AND ADDRESS

HYDROGEOLOGICAL INVESTIGATION
3855 Dundas Street East, MISSISSAUGA,
ONTARIO

FIGURE 1:

WELLS WITHIN 500M RADIUS

SHEET NO.

PROJECT NO.

FE-P21-110464

DATE

NOVEMBER 2021

SCALE

AS SHOWN

G1

Form 5
VI-58-4149

30 M/2



Rec'd Sept 8/55

28 N^o 2102

Elev. 971 R 0533

Basin

20		
----	--	--

The Water-well Drillers Act, 1954
Department of Mines

Water-Well Record

County or Territorial District... HALTON ... ~~Township, Village, Town or City~~ ... Trapp ...

h Village, Town or City).....

Address W.R.#1 Milton

(day)

(month)

(year)

Pipe and Casing Record

Pumping Test

Casing diameter (s)	6 1/4	Static level	25
Length (s)	6.1'	Pumping rate	1/2 g.p.m.
Type of screen		Pumping level	65 65
Length of screen		Duration of test	1 hour

Well Log

Water Record

[illegible]

For what purpose(s) is the water to be used?

house

Is water clear or cloudy? clear

Is well on upland, in valley, or on hillside?.....

Upland

Drilling firm HC Love

Address Box 442

Milton Cant

Name of Driller

Address

.....

Licence Number: 431


I certify that the foregoing
statements of fact are true.

Date 7 Sept 55 [Signature]

Signature of Licensee

Location of Well

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line. Indicate north by arrow.



UTM 17Z 605535E


30 M 128

9R 4817937N

4a



ONTARIO



28

No

2284

Elev. 98R 0557

Basin 24

The Well Drillers Act

Department of Mines, Province of Ontario

Water Well Record OAKVILLE

County or Territorial District

Haldon

Township, Village, Town or City

Windsor

Town or City

15 South Wilson Caterpillar

Date Completed

2/2/54 (day) (month) (year)

Cost of well (excluding pump)

Pipe and Casing Record

Pumping Test

Casing diameter(s) 6" 4"
Length(s) of casing(s) 12 ft
Type of screen
Length of screen
Distance from top of screen to ground level
Is well a gravel-wall type?

Date
Static level 50'
Pumping level pumps down
Pumping rate 3 1/2 gpm
Duration of test
Distance from cylinder or bowls to ground level

Water Record

Kind (fresh or mineral) fresh
Quality (hard, soft, contains iron, sulphur, etc.) small amt sulphur
Appearance (clear, cloudy, coloured) clear
For what purpose(s) is the water to be used? domestic
Drive-in Theatre
How far is well from possible source of contamination?
What is the source of contamination? nil
Enclose a copy of any mineral analysis that has been made of water

Depth(s) to Water Horizon(s)	Kind of Water	No. of Feet Water Rises
50 ft	hard	174

Well Log

Overburden and Bedrock Record

From

To

0 ft.


9 ft.

8

224

Location of Well

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line. Indicate north by arrow.



Situation: Is well on upland, in valley, or on hillside? Upland

Drilling Firm. Geil Goodway

Address. Vernon Ave

Name of Driller. J. G. G. G.

Date

Address

Vernon Ave

Licence Number

Signature of Licensee

UTM 17 7 6055 162 E
5 4817539 N
Elev 4 R 0520



30 M128

28 No 2290

B

Basin 24

WATER WELL RECORD

OAKVILLE
TRAFFALGAR

County or District HALTON
Con. 1 SDS Lot 61

Township, Village, Town or City
Date completed 14 Sept. 67
(day month year)

Address 9th LINE OAKVILLE

Casing and Screen Record

Inside diameter of casing 6 5/8"
Total length of casing 28'
Type of screen
Length of screen
Depth to top of screen
Diameter of finished hole 6 5/8"

Pumping Test

Static level 20'
Test-pumping rate G.P.M.
Pumping level Pumps DRY
Duration of test pumping 2
Water clear or cloudy at end of test CLEAR
Recommended pumping rate G.P.M.
with pump setting of 80 feet below ground surface

Well Log

Overburden and Bedrock Record

From ft.

To ft.

Depth(s) at which water(s) found

Kind of water (fresh, salty, sulphur)

TOP SOIL
(ROCK) - BROWN & RED CLAY 9
- RED SHALE 23

69-85. FRESH

For what purpose(s) is the water to be used? HOUSE
DOMESTIC.
Is well on upland, in valley, or on hillside? UPLAND


Drilling or Boring Firm
W.M. E. CORE & SON
Address 161 QUEEN ST. E.
BRAMPTON ONT.

Licence Number 2525
Name of Driller or Borer EDWARD CORE
Address 88 CLARENCE ST.
Date Sept 14/67

(Signature of Licensed Drilling or Boring Contractor)

Location of Well

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line. Indicate north by arrow.





WATER WELL RECORD

30M/126

Water management in Ontario

1. PRINT ONLY IN SPACES PROVIDED

2. CHECK ☒ CORRECT BOX WHERE APPLICABLE

11

2803675

UNICIP.

CON.

28605T

DS S C

01

COUNTY OR DISTRICT

TOWNSHIP, BOROUGH, CITY, TOWN, VILLAGE

CON., BLOCK, TRACT, SURVEY, ETC.

LOT 25-27

Halton

Oakville

1 505

006

DATE COMPLETED

DAY 02 MO Dec YR 71

17540

4

0530

4

24

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

GENERAL COLOUR	MOST COMMON MATERIAL	OTHER MATERIALS	GENERAL DESCRIPTION	DEPTH - FEET	
				FROM	TO
Reddish Clay				0	20
red shale				20	25
red shale & water				25	26
red shale				26	28

31

0020705

0028717

32

41

WATER RECORD

WATER FOUND AT - FEET	KIND OF WATER
10-13	1 <input type="checkbox"/> FRESH 3 <input type="checkbox"/> SULPHUR 2 <input type="checkbox"/> SALTY 4 <input type="checkbox"/> MINERAL
15-18	1 <input type="checkbox"/> FRESH 3 <input type="checkbox"/> SULPHUR 2 <input type="checkbox"/> SALTY 4 <input type="checkbox"/> MINERAL
20-23	1 <input type="checkbox"/> FRESH 3 <input type="checkbox"/> SULPHUR 2 <input type="checkbox"/> SALTY 4 <input type="checkbox"/> MINERAL
25-28	1 <input type="checkbox"/> FRESH 3 <input type="checkbox"/> SULPHUR 2 <input type="checkbox"/> SALTY 4 <input type="checkbox"/> MINERAL
30-33	1 <input type="checkbox"/> FRESH 3 <input type="checkbox"/> SULPHUR 2 <input type="checkbox"/> SALTY 4 <input type="checkbox"/> MINERAL

CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD

INSIDE DIAM. INCHES	MATERIAL	WALL THICKNESS INCHES	DEPTH - FEET
10-11	1 <input type="checkbox"/> STEEL 2 <input type="checkbox"/> GALVANIZED 3 <input type="checkbox"/> CONCRETE 4 <input type="checkbox"/> OPEN HOLE	3"	0 28
17-18	1 <input type="checkbox"/> STEEL 2 <input type="checkbox"/> GALVANIZED 3 <input type="checkbox"/> CONCRETE 4 <input type="checkbox"/> OPEN HOLE		20-23
24-25	1 <input type="checkbox"/> STEEL 2 <input type="checkbox"/> GALVANIZED 3 <input type="checkbox"/> CONCRETE 4 <input type="checkbox"/> OPEN HOLE		27-30

SCREEN

SIZE(S) OF OPENING (SLOT NO.)

MATERIAL AND TYPE

SCREEN

SIZE(S) OF OPENING (SLOT NO.)

MATERIAL AND TYPE

PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD


DEPTH SET AT - FEET	MATERIAL AND TYPE (CEMENT GROUT, LEAD PACKER, ETC.)
10-13	
14-17	
18-21	
22-25	
26-29	
30-33	

71

PUMPING TEST METHOD	10 PUMPING RATE	11 DURATION OF PUMPING
1 <input type="checkbox"/> PUMP 2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BAILER	0008-2000 GPM	15-16 HOURS 30 MINS
STATIC LEVEL	WATER LEVEL END OF PUMPING	WATER LEVELS DURING
19-21 010 FEET	22-24 027 FEET	15 MINUTES 26-28 FEET 30 MINUTES 29-31 FEET 45 MINUTES 32-34 FEET 60 MINUTES 35-37 FEET
IF FLOWING, GIVE RATE	38-41 PUMP INTAKE SET AT	WATER AT END OF TEST
	GPM.	FEET
RECOMMENDED PUMP TYPE	RECOMMENDED PUMP SETTING	RECOMMENDED PUMPING RATE
1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SHALLOW 2 <input type="checkbox"/> DEEP	027 FEET	46-49 GPM.

LOCATION OF WELL

IN DIAGRAM BELOW SHOW DISTANCES OF WELL FROM ROAD AND LOT LINE. INDICATE NORTH BY ARROW.



DRILLERS REMARKS:

CONTRACTOR	NAME OF WELL CONTRACTOR	LICENCE NUMBER
	Maurice Babine	1307
CONTRACTOR	NAME OF DRILLER OR BORER	LICENCE NUMBER
	Maurice Babine	1307
SIGNATURE OF CONTRACTOR	SUBMISSION DATE	
Maurice Babine	DAY 2 MO Dec YR 71	

OFFICE USE ONLY	DATA SOURCE	CONTRACTOR	DATE RECEIVED
	1	1307	211271
REMARKS:	INSPECTOR		
	CSS.S8		
		P Z	WI

OWRC COPY



The Ontario Water Resources Act

WATER WELL RECORD

Print only in spaces provided.
Mark correct box with a checkmark, where applicable.

2808467

Municipality Con

28605 DS S OI

County or District	Township/Borough/City/Town/Village	Con block tract survey, etc.	Lot
	Town of Oakville	Conc. 1 SDS	6
	Address	Date completed	
	RR#1 Oakville, Ont., L6J-4Z2	8 day 5 month 96 year	

21 JUL 10 P1 50

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (see instructions)

[illegible]

31	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100
32	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100

4.1		10				14 15				21			
WATER RECORD													
Water found at - feet				Kind of water									
10-13				1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh		3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur				14			
				2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty		4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals							
						6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas							
15-18				1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh		3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur				19			
				2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty		4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals							
						6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas							
20-23				1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh		3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur				24			
				2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty		4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals							
						6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas							
25-28				1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh		3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur				29			
				2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty		4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals							
						6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas							
30-33				1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh		3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur				34			
				2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty		4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals							
						6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas							

5.1 CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD					
Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth – feet		
			From	To	
10-11 6.25	1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Steel 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic	0.188	+4	26	
17-18 6	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Steel 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Open hole 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic		26	100	
24-25	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Steel 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic				

SCREEN	Sizes of opening (Slot No.)	31-33	Diameter	34-38	Length	39-42
			inches		feet	
	Material and type			Depth at top of screen		
				feet		

61	PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD			
<input type="checkbox"/> Annular space		<input type="checkbox"/> Abandonment		
Depth set at - feet		Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc.)		
From	To			
10-13	14-17			
18-21	22-25			
26-29	30-33			
		80		

PUMPING TEST	71 Pumping test method 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Pump 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Bailer		Pumping rate 0 GPM		Duration of pumping Hours Mins	
	Static level	Water level end of pumping	Water levels during 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Pumping 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Recovery			
	19-21	22-24	15 minutes 26-28	30 minutes 29-31	45 minutes 32-34	60 minutes 35-37
	feet	feet	feet	feet	feet	feet
	If flowing give rate GPM		Pump intake set at feet		Water at end of test <input type="checkbox"/> Clear <input type="checkbox"/> Cloudy	
	Recommended pump type <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow <input type="checkbox"/> Deep		Recommended pump setting feet		Recommended pump rate GPM	

FINAL STATUS OF WELL			54
1 <input type="checkbox"/> Water supply	5 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, insufficient supply	9 <input type="checkbox"/> Unfinished	
2 <input type="checkbox"/> Observation well	6 <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, poor quality	10 <input type="checkbox"/> Replacement well	
3 <input type="checkbox"/> Test hole	7 <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned (Other)		
4 <input type="checkbox"/> Recharge well	8 <input type="checkbox"/> Dewatering		

WATER USE			55-56
1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Domestic	5 <input type="checkbox"/> Commercial	9 <input type="checkbox"/> Not used	
2 <input type="checkbox"/> Stock	6 <input type="checkbox"/> Municipal	10 <input type="checkbox"/> Other	
3 <input type="checkbox"/> Irrigation	7 <input type="checkbox"/> Public supply		
4 <input type="checkbox"/> Industrial	8 <input type="checkbox"/> Cooling & air conditioning		

METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION			57
1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cable tool	5 <input type="checkbox"/> Air percussion	9 <input type="checkbox"/> Driving	
2 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (conventional)	6 <input type="checkbox"/> Boring	10 <input type="checkbox"/> Digging	
3 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (reverse)	7 <input type="checkbox"/> Diamond	11 <input type="checkbox"/> Other	
4 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (air)	8 <input type="checkbox"/> Jetting		

LOCATION OF WELL

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line.
Indicate north by arrow.

The diagram is a site plan enclosed in a rectangular border. At the top, a horizontal line represents a road, labeled '#5 Hwy' below it. To the left of the center, an arrow points to the right, labeled 'Oakville'. To the right of the center, a vertical line represents a lot line, labeled '9th Line' to its left. In the lower-left quadrant, there is a rectangle representing a building. In the lower-right quadrant, there is a dot representing a well, labeled 'Well' below it. A dashed line connects the right side of the building rectangle to the '9th Line' vertical line. In the bottom right corner, the number '166803' is written. In the bottom-left quadrant, an arrow points towards the top-left, labeled 'NORTH'.

Name of Well Contractor	Well Contractor's Licence No.
O'Connor Well Drilling Ltd	4005
Address	
RR#1 Millgrove, Ont., L0R-1V0	
Name of Well Technician	Well Technician's Licence No.
W. Howe	T-0518
Signature of Technician/Contractor	Submission date
<i>W. Howe</i>	day mo yr

MINISTRY USE ONLY	Data source	56	Contractor	59-62	Date received	63-68
			4005		JUN 05 1996	
	Date of inspection		Inspector			
Remarks						

Print only in spaces provided.

Mark correct box with a checkmark, where applicable.

11

2809720

Municipality **28605** Con. **DS**

Con.

01

County or District HALTON	Township/Borough/City/Town/Village OAKVILLE	Con block tract survey, etc.	Lot 25-27
	Address 1012 DUNDAS ST, EAST OAKVILLE	Date completed 19 12 02 day month year	48-53

Figure 1 is an aerial photograph of the study area. It shows a landscape with a river, fields, and some buildings. Various points are labeled with numbers and letters, and different basins are outlined and labeled. The labels include '21', '1', '2', '10', '12', '17', '18', '24', '25', '26', '20', '21', '22', '23', '24', '25', '26', '27', '28', '29', '30', '31', '32', '33', '34', '35', '36', '37', '38', '39', '40', '41', '42', '43', '44', '45', '46', '47', '48', '49', '50', '51', '52', '53', '54', '55', '56', '57', '58', '59', '60', '61', '62', '63', '64', '65', '66', '67', '68', '69', '70', '71', '72', '73', '74', '75', '76', '77', '78', '79', '80', '81', '82', '83', '84', '85', '86', '87', '88', '89', '90', '91', '92', '93', '94', '95', '96', '97', '98', '99', '100'. The basins are labeled 'Basin 1', 'Basin 2', 'Basin 3', 'Basin 4', 'Basin 5', 'Basin 6', 'Basin 7', 'Basin 8', 'Basin 9', 'Basin 10', 'Basin 11', 'Basin 12', 'Basin 13', 'Basin 14', 'Basin 15', 'Basin 16', 'Basin 17', 'Basin 18', 'Basin 19', 'Basin 20', 'Basin 21', 'Basin 22', 'Basin 23', 'Basin 24', 'Basin 25', 'Basin 26', 'Basin 27', 'Basin 28', 'Basin 29', 'Basin 30', 'Basin 31', 'Basin 32', 'Basin 33', 'Basin 34', 'Basin 35', 'Basin 36', 'Basin 37', 'Basin 38', 'Basin 39', 'Basin 40', 'Basin 41', 'Basin 42', 'Basin 43', 'Basin 44', 'Basin 45', 'Basin 46', 'Basin 47', 'Basin 48', 'Basin 49', 'Basin 50', 'Basin 51', 'Basin 52', 'Basin 53', 'Basin 54', 'Basin 55', 'Basin 56', 'Basin 57', 'Basin 58', 'Basin 59', 'Basin 60', 'Basin 61', 'Basin 62', 'Basin 63', 'Basin 64', 'Basin 65', 'Basin 66', 'Basin 67', 'Basin 68', 'Basin 69', 'Basin 70', 'Basin 71', 'Basin 72', 'Basin 73', 'Basin 74', 'Basin 75', 'Basin 76', 'Basin 77', 'Basin 78', 'Basin 79', 'Basin 80', 'Basin 81', 'Basin 82', 'Basin 83', 'Basin 84', 'Basin 85', 'Basin 86', 'Basin 87', 'Basin 88', 'Basin 89', 'Basin 90', 'Basin 91', 'Basin 92', 'Basin 93', 'Basin 94', 'Basin 95', 'Basin 96', 'Basin 97', 'Basin 98', 'Basin 99', 'Basin 100'. The points are labeled with numbers and letters, and the basins are labeled with numbers.

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (see instructions)					
General colour	Most common material	Other materials	General description	Depth - feet	
				From	To
		WELL DECOMMISSIONING			
	DRILLED WELL	INSIDE OF OLD STONE	WELL		
	DRILLED WELL	FILLED WITH HOLEPLUG		40	10
	OLD STONE WELL	FILLED WITH HOLEPLUG		10	9
	OLD STONE WELL	FILLED WITH CLEAN SAND		9	5
	OLD STONE WELL	FILLED WITH CLEAN CLAY FILL		5	0
	NOTE: TOP FIVE FEET OF STONES REMOVED				

31

32

10 14 15 21 32 43 54 65

41		WATER RECORD	
Water found at - feet		Kind of water	
10-13	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	14
	2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals	
15-18	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	19
	2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals	
20-23	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	24
	2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals	
25-28	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	29
	2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals	
30-33	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	34
	2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals	

51 CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD				
Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth - feet	
			From	To
10-11	<input type="checkbox"/> Steel <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic	12		13-16
17-18	<input type="checkbox"/> Steel <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic	19		20-23
24-25	<input type="checkbox"/> Steel <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic	26		27-30

SCREEN	Sizes of opening (Slot No.)	31-33	Diameter	34-38	Length	39-40
			inches		feet	
	Material and type			Depth at top of screen		30
				feet		

61				PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD			
<input type="checkbox"/> Annular space				<input type="checkbox"/> Abandonment			
Depth set at - feet			Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc.)				
From	To						
10-13	14-17						
18-21	22-25						
26-29	30-33	80					

71	Pumping test method ¹⁰ 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Pump 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Bailer		Pumping rate ¹¹⁻¹⁴ GPM		Duration of pumping ¹⁵⁻¹⁸ Hours _____ Mins _____	
	Static level	Water level end of pumping	25 Water levels during 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Pumping 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Recovery			
	¹⁹⁻²¹	²²⁻²⁴	^{15 minutes} ²⁶⁻²⁸	^{30 minutes} ²⁹⁻³¹	^{45 minutes} ³²⁻³⁴	^{60 minutes} ³⁵⁻³⁷
	feet	feet	feet	feet	feet	feet
	If flowing give rate ³⁸⁻⁴¹ GPM		Pump intake set at feet		Water at end of test ⁴² <input type="checkbox"/> Clear <input type="checkbox"/> Cloudy	
	Recommended pump type <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow <input type="checkbox"/> Deep		Recommended pump setting ⁴³⁻⁴⁵ feet		Recommended pump rate ⁴⁶⁻⁴⁹ GPM	
50-53						

FINAL STATUS OF WELL			54
1 <input type="checkbox"/> Water supply	5 <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, insufficient supply	9 <input type="checkbox"/> Unfinished	
2 <input type="checkbox"/> Observation well	6 <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, poor quality	10 <input type="checkbox"/> Replacement well	
3 <input type="checkbox"/> Test hole	7 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abandoned (Other)		
4 <input type="checkbox"/> Recharge well	8 <input type="checkbox"/> Dewatering		

WATER USE			55-56
1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Domestic	5 <input type="checkbox"/> Commercial	9 <input type="checkbox"/> Not use	
2 <input type="checkbox"/> Stock	6 <input type="checkbox"/> Municipal	10 <input type="checkbox"/> Other	
3 <input type="checkbox"/> Irrigation	7 <input type="checkbox"/> Public supply		
4 <input type="checkbox"/> Industrial	8 <input type="checkbox"/> Cooling & air conditioning		


METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION			57
1 <input type="checkbox"/> Cable tool	5 <input type="checkbox"/> Air percussion	9 <input type="checkbox"/> Driving	
2 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (conventional)	6 <input type="checkbox"/> Boring	10 <input type="checkbox"/> Digging	
3 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (reverse)	7 <input type="checkbox"/> Diamond	11 <input type="checkbox"/> Other	
4 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (air)	8 <input type="checkbox"/> Jetting		

LOCATION OF WELL

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line. Indicate north by arrow.

The diagram shows a hand-drawn map with a vertical line labeled 'DUNDAS ST. #5 Hwy' and a horizontal line labeled 'LOT LINE #1012 DUNDAS ST'. A well is marked with a dot and labeled 'WELL'. Distances are indicated by arrows and handwritten text.

242197

Name of Well Contractor	Well Contractor's Licence No.
CORE'S WELL DRILLING	1660
Address	
264 BRONTE ST, UNIT#10, MILTON, ONT.	
Name of Well Technician	Well Technician's Licence No.
ROD CORE	T0-479
Signature of Technician/Contractor	Submission date
	day mo yr

MINISTRY USE ONLY	Data source	58	Contractor	59-62	Date received	63-68	80
			1660		MAR 06 2003		
	Date of inspection		Inspector				
	Remarks						
	CSS.ES3						

Print only in spaces provided.

Mark correct box with a checkmark, where applicable.

11

4908839

Municipality 49006 Con. DS N 01

County or District PEEL	Township/Borough/City/Town/Village MISSISSAUGA	Con block tract, survey, etc. 9th LINE	Lot 25-27
Owner's surname CITY OF MISSISSAUGA	First Name 9th LINE	Date completed 14 8 07 day month year	

Zone	Easting	Northing	RC	Elevation	RC	Basin Code	ii	iii	iv
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

General colour	Most common material	Other materials	General description	Depth - feet	
				From	To
BROWN	TOP-SOIL			0	1
BROWN	SANDY CLAY			1	12
GREY	SAND	CLAY LAYERS		12	20
GREY	CLAY	SILT LAYERS		20	32
RED	SHALE			32	47 1/2

31	32
10	14 15 21
32	32 43
54	65 75 80

Water found at - feet	Kind of water
12	1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fresh 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas
16-20	1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fresh 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas
32	1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fresh 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas
25-28	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas
30-33	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas

Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth - feet	
			From	To
36	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Steel 2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Galvanized 3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Concrete 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic	3	0	47 1/2
17-18	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Steel 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic			20-23
24-25	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Steel 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic			27-30

Sizes of opening (Slot No.)	Diameter	Length
	inches	feet
Material and type	Depth at top of screen	
PEA STONE	feet	

<input type="checkbox"/> Annular space <input type="checkbox"/> Abandonment	
Depth set at - feet	Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc.)
From To	
0 3 B 17	BENSEAL
18-21	22-25
26-29	30-33

Pumping test method	Pumping rate	Duration of pumping
1 <input type="checkbox"/> Pump 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Bailor	GPM	Hours Mins
Static level	Water level during	Water levels during
12	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Pumping 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Recovery	15 minutes 30 minutes 45 minutes 60 minutes
feet	feet	feet
If flowing give rate	Pump intake set at	Water at end of test
GPM	feet	<input type="checkbox"/> Clear <input type="checkbox"/> Cloudy
Recommended pump type	Recommended pump setting	Recommended pump rate
<input type="checkbox"/> Shallow <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Deep	45 feet	3 INT GPM

FINAL STATUS OF WELL		
1 <input type="checkbox"/> Water supply	5 <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, insufficient supply	9 <input type="checkbox"/> Unfinished
2 <input type="checkbox"/> Observation well	6 <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, poor quality	10 <input type="checkbox"/> Replacement well
3 <input type="checkbox"/> Test hole	7 <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned (Other)	
4 <input type="checkbox"/> Recharge well	8 <input type="checkbox"/> Dewatering	
WATER USE		
1 <input type="checkbox"/> Domestic	5 <input type="checkbox"/> Commercial	9 <input type="checkbox"/> Not use
2 <input type="checkbox"/> Stock	6 <input type="checkbox"/> Municipal	10 <input type="checkbox"/> Other
3 <input type="checkbox"/> Irrigation	7 <input type="checkbox"/> Public supply	
4 <input type="checkbox"/> Industrial	8 <input type="checkbox"/> Cooling & air conditioning	
METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION		
1 <input type="checkbox"/> Cable tool	5 <input type="checkbox"/> Air percussion	9 <input type="checkbox"/> Driving
2 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (conventional)	6 <input type="checkbox"/> Boring	10 <input type="checkbox"/> Digging
3 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (reverse)	7 <input type="checkbox"/> Diamond	11 <input type="checkbox"/> Other
4 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (air)	8 <input type="checkbox"/> Jetting	

LOCATION OF WELL	
In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line. Indicate north by arrow.	

Name of Well Contractor	Well Contractor's Licence No.
JOHNSON & BARTZ	3030
Address	
BRANTFORD	
Name of Well Technician	Well Technician's Licence No.
JOHN BARTZ	T-0333
Signature of Technician/Contractor	Submission date
John Bartz	day mo yr

MINISTRY USE ONLY	Date source	Contractor	Date received
		3030	SEP 27 2001
	Date of inspection	Inspector	
	Remarks		

Well Owner's Information

First Name TEN - CORP	Last Name	E-mail Address	<input type="checkbox"/> Well Constructed by Well Owner
Mailing Address (Street Number/Name, RR) 2379 MARISA COURT		Municipality MISSISSAUGA	Province ONT
Postal Code L5B2P7		Telephone No. (inc. area code) 416/617/848	

Part A Construction and/or Major Alteration of a Well

Address of Well Location (Street Number/Name, RR) 3091 9th LINE PLAN 1542-03		Township MISSISSAUGA	Lot 9	Concession 10
County/District/Municipality HALTON		City/Town/Village MISSISSAUGA	Province Ontario	Postal Code
UTM Coordinates NAD 83 17 48 184 39 060 4984	Zone Easting 17 48 184 39	Northing 060 4984	GPS Unit Make GARMIN	Model E-TREX
Mode of Operation: <input type="checkbox"/> Undifferentiated <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Averaged		<input type="checkbox"/> Differentiated, specify _____		

Overburden and Bedrock Materials (see instructions on the back of this form)

General Colour	Most Common Material	Other Materials	General Description	Depth (Metres) From	To
BROWN	Topsoil			0	0.61
BROWN	SAND	gravel		0.61	7.62
grey	clay	SAND & gravel		7.62	12.19
grey	gravel	SILT & SAND		12.19	15.54
Red	SHALE			15.54	28.65

Annular Space/Abandonment Sealing Record

Depth Set at (Metres) From	To	Type of Sealant Used (Material and Type)	Volume Placed (Cubic Metres)
0	6.1	Bentonite slurry	0.062

Results of Well Yield Testing

Check box if after test of well yield, water was: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Clear and sand free <input type="checkbox"/> Cannot develop to sand-free state If pumping discontinued, give reason:	Draw Down		Recovery	
	Time (Min)	Water Level (Metres)	Time (Min)	Water Level (Metres)
Static Level	2m 50cm	Static Level	11.96cm	
Pumping test method pump	1	3m 50cm	1	11.90
Pump intake set at (Metres) 27	2	4.70	2	11.80
Pumping rate (Litres/min) 4.5	3	5.96	3	11.75
Duration of pumping 2 hrs + 2 min	4	6.52	4	11.70
Final water level end of pumping (Metres)	5	6.58	5	11.65
Recommended pump type <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Deep	10	7.31	10	11.29
Recommended pump depth 27 Metres	15	8.25	15	11.1
Recommended pump rate (Litres/min) 4.5	20	8.58	20	10.73
If flowing give rate (Litres/min)	25	9.09	25	10.49cm
	30	9.66	30	10.23
	40	10.47	40	9.82
	50	11.23cm	50	9.46
	60	11.76	60	9.15

Method of Construction

Water Use


<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cable Tool	<input type="checkbox"/> Diamond	<input type="checkbox"/> Public	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Commercial	<input type="checkbox"/> Not used
<input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (Conventional)	<input type="checkbox"/> Jetting	<input type="checkbox"/> Domestic	<input type="checkbox"/> Municipal	<input type="checkbox"/> Dewatering
<input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (Reverse)	<input type="checkbox"/> Driving	<input type="checkbox"/> Livestock	<input type="checkbox"/> Test Hole	<input type="checkbox"/> Monitoring
<input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (Air)	<input type="checkbox"/> Digging	<input type="checkbox"/> Irrigation	<input type="checkbox"/> Cooling & Air Conditioning	
<input type="checkbox"/> Air percussion	<input type="checkbox"/> Boring	<input type="checkbox"/> Industrial		
<input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify _____		<input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify _____		

Status of Well

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water Supply	<input type="checkbox"/> Dewatering Well	<input type="checkbox"/> Observation and/or Monitoring Hole
<input type="checkbox"/> Replacement Well	<input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, Insufficient Supply	<input type="checkbox"/> Alteration (Construction)
<input type="checkbox"/> Test Hole	<input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, Poor Water Quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify _____
<input type="checkbox"/> Recharge Well	<input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, other, specify _____	

Location of Well

Please provide a map below showing:
- all property boundaries, and measurements sufficient to locate the well in relation to fixed points,
- an arrow indicating the North direction
- detailed drawings can be provided as attachments no larger than legal size (8.5" by 14")
- digital pictures of inside of well can also be provided



Water Details

Water found at Depth 2.6 Metres	Kind of Water <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fresh <input type="checkbox"/> Salty <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals
Water found at Depth _____ Metres	Kind of Water <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh <input type="checkbox"/> Salty <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals
Water found at Depth _____ Metres	Kind of Water <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh <input type="checkbox"/> Salty <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals

Casing Used

Screen Used

Casing and Well Details

<input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized	<input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized	Diameter of the Hole (Centimetres) 15.88/16.827
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Steel	<input type="checkbox"/> Steel	Depth of the Hole (Metres) 28.65
<input type="checkbox"/> Fibreglass	<input type="checkbox"/> Fibreglass	Wall Thickness (Metres) 188
<input type="checkbox"/> Plastic	<input type="checkbox"/> Plastic	Inside Diameter of the Casing (Metres) 15.88
<input type="checkbox"/> Concrete	<input type="checkbox"/> Concrete	Depth of the Casing (Metres) 15.54
No Casing and Screen Used		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Open Hole 13.10		
Disinfected? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		


Ministry Use Only

Audit No. 269801	Well Contractor No.
Date Received (yyyy/mm/dd) NOV 26 2007	Date of Inspection (yyyy/mm/dd)
Remarks	

Date Well Completed (yyyy/mm/dd) 2007/10/1	Was the well owner's information package delivered? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Date the Well Record and Package Delivered to Well Owner (yyyy/mm/dd) 2007/11/15
--	--	--

Well Contractor and Well Technician Information

Business Name of Well Contractor Lanquille Well Drilling		Well Contractor's Licence No. 3 3 4 9
Business Address (Street No./Name, number, RR) 48 Royal Ave. Brampton		Municipality Peel
Province Ont	Postal Code L6Y 1S7	Business E-mail Address lanquillewelldrilling@sympatico.ca
Business Telephone No. (inc. area code) 905/877/8339		
Name of Well Technician (Last Name, First Name) Kevin Lanquille		
Well Technician's Licence No. 2258	Signature of Technician 	Date Submitted (yyyy/mm/dd) 2007/11/19



Well Tag No. (Please Print) **A 059945**

Well Record

Regulation 903 Ontario Water Resources Act

Measurements recorded in: ☐ Metric ☒ Imperial

Page of

Well Owner's Information

First Name		Last Name / Organization		E-mail Address			<input type="checkbox"/> Well Constructed by Well Owner		
World Islamic		Mission Canada							
Mailing Address (Street Number/Name)			Municipality		Province	Postal Code		Telephone No. (inc. area code)	

Well Location

Address of Well Location (Street Number/Name) 2478 9th line, Oakville				Township	Lot 6	Concession 1
County/District/Municipality Halton				City/Town/Village Oakville	Province Ontario	Postal Code L6H 7G9
UTM Coordinates NAD 83	Zone 17	Easting 605374	Northing 4817813	Municipal Plan and Sublot Number		Other

Overburden and Bedrock Materials/Abandonment Sealing Record (see instructions on the back of this form)

[illegible]

Annular Space

Depth Set at (m/ft)		Type of Sealant Used (Material and Type)	Volume Placed (m³/ft³)
From	To		
20	0 ft	benseal/bentonite	

Results of Well Yield Testing

After test of well yield, water was:	Draw Down		Recovery	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Clear and sand free	Time (min)	Water Level (m/ft)	Time (min)	Water Level (m/ft)
<input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify _____	Static Level	4		65 ft
If pumping discontinued, give reason:	1	10	1	58 ft
Pump intake set at (m/ft)	2	12.4	2	55 ft
65 feet	3	13.5	3	53 ft
Pumping rate (l/min / GPM)	4	14.2	4	49 ft
seven gpm (7)	5	19.6	5	45 ft
Duration of pumping	10	22.6	10	33 ft
one hrs + 30 min	15	26.1	15	27.9
Final water level end of pumping (m/ft)	20	29.6	20	24.7
65 ft	25	34.4	25	22 ft
If flowing give rate (l/min / GPM)	30	47.5	30	20.6
Recommended pump depth (m/ft)	40	59.5	40	17.9
65 ft	50	65	50	16.3
Recommended pump rate (l/min / GPM)	60	65	60	15 ft
four				
Well production (l/min / GPM)				
five gpm (5)				
Disinfected?				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No				

Construction Record - Casing

Inside Diameter (cm/in)	Open Hole OR Material (Galvanized, Fibreglass, Concrete, Plastic, Steel)	Wall Thickness (cm/in)	Depth (m/ft)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water Supply <input type="checkbox"/> Replacement Well <input type="checkbox"/> Test Hole <input type="checkbox"/> Recharge Well <input type="checkbox"/> Dewatering Well <input type="checkbox"/> Observation and/or Monitoring Hole <input type="checkbox"/> Alteration (Construction) <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, Insufficient Supply
			From	To	
6 1/4"	steel	0.188	+2 ft	30 ft	
6"	open hole		30	70 ft	

Status of Well

XX Water Supply

☐ Replacement Well

☐ Test Hole

☐ Recharge Well

☐ Dewatering Well

☐ Observation and/or Monitoring Hole

☐ Alteration (Construction)

☐ Abandoned, Insufficient Supply

☐ Abandoned, Poor Water Quality

☐ Abandoned, other, *specify*

☐ Other, *specify*

Construction Record - Screen

Outside Diameter (cm/in)	Material (Plastic, Galvanized, Steel)	Slot No.	Depth (m/ft)	
			From	To
N/A				

☐ Abandoned, Poor Water Quality
☐ Abandoned, other, specify _____
☐ Other, specify _____

Water Details

Water found at Depth		Kind of Water:	Depth (m/ft)		Diameter
			From	To	(cm/in)
35 (m/ft)	<input type="checkbox"/> Gas	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fresh <input type="checkbox"/> Untested	0	20 ft	8 in
60 (m/ft)	<input type="checkbox"/> Gas	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fresh <input type="checkbox"/> Untested	20	70	6"
(m/ft)	<input type="checkbox"/> Gas	<input type="checkbox"/> Fresh <input type="checkbox"/> Untested			
	<input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify _____				

Hole Diameter

Depth (m/ft)		Diameter (cm/in)
From	To	
0	20ft	8 in
20	70	6 "

Well Contractor and Well Technician Information

Business Name of Well Contractor		Well Contractor's Licence No.	
Core's Well Drilling		1660	
Business Address (Street Number/Name)		Municipality	
264 Bronte St. S. unit 10 Milton		Halton	
Province	Postal Code	Business E-mail Address	

Bus. Telephone No. (inc. area code)	Name of Well Technician (Last Name, First Name)
905 878 4515	Core, Rod,

Well Technician's Licence No.	Signature of Technician and/or Contractor	Date Submitted
T 479	<i>Edward Rose</i>	Y Y M M D D

Comments:

⑦ = monitoring well

Well owner's information package delivered	Date Package Delivered	<div>Ministry Use Only</div> <div>Audit No. z114531</div> <div>FEB 17 2011</div> <div>Received 1-7-2011</div>
	Y Y Y Y M M D D Date Work Completed 2010 11 08 Y Y Y Y M M D D	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		

Hwy 5. (dundas St)

$$-N \rightarrow$$

A hand-drawn diagram showing a well layout. A dashed line represents the 'Property line'. A solid line at the bottom represents the '9th Lines'. A rectangle labeled 'Building' is located near the bottom. A circle labeled 'X' represents a 'Well'. An arrow points from the well towards a circle labeled 'C', with the text '21 ft' written next to the arrow. The text '7 ft' is written near the property line.

9th Line

0508E (2007/12) © Queen's Printer for Ontario, 2007

Ministry's Copy



Ministry of the Environment

Well Tag No. (Place Sticker and/or Print Below)

Tag#: A124691

Well Record Regulation 903 Ontario Water Resources Act

Page of

Measurements recorded in: Metric Imperial

Well Owner's Information

First Name: Last Name / Organization: E-mail Address: Well Constructed by Well Owner: Mailing Address (Street Number/Name): Municipality: Province: Postal Code: Telephone No. (inc. area code):

Well Location

Address of Well Location (Street Number/Name): Township: Lot: Concession: County/District/Municipality: City/Town/Village: Province: Postal Code: UTM Coordinates: Zone: Easting: Northing: Municipal Plan and Sublot Number: Other:

Overburden and Bedrock Materials/Abandonment Sealing Record (see instructions on the back of this form)

General Colour	Most Common Material	Other Materials	General Description	Depth (m/ft) From	To
GREY	SANDY CLAY		SOFT	0	22
GREY	SOFT CLAY		SOFT	22	52
RED	SHALE/SHALE		DENSE	52	120
GREY	SHALE		DENSE	120	130

Annular Space: Depth Set at (m/ft) From: To: Type of Sealant Used (Material and Type): Volume Placed (m³/ft³):

Method of Construction: Well Use: Cable Tool: Diamond: Public: Commercial: Not used: Rotary (Conventional): Jetting: Domestic: Municipal: Dewatering: Rotary (Reverse): Driving: Livestock: Test Hole: Monitoring: Boring: Digging: Irrigation: Cooling & Air Conditioning: Air percussion: Industrial: Other, specify: AIR ROTARY

Construction Record - Casing: Status of Well: Inside Diameter (cm/in): Open Hole OR Material (Galvanized, Fibreglass, Concrete, Plastic, Steel): Wall Thickness (cm/in): Depth (m/ft) From: To: Water Supply: Replacement Well: Test Hole: Recharge Well: Dewatering Well: Observation and/or Monitoring Hole: Alteration (Construction): Abandoned, Insufficient Supply: Abandoned, Poor Water Quality: Abandoned, other, specify: Other, specify:

Construction Record - Screen: Outside Diameter (cm/in): Material (Plastic, Galvanized, Steel): Slot No.: Depth (m/ft) From: To: ROCK WELL

Water Details: Hole Diameter: Water found at Depth: Kind of Water: Fresh: Untested: Gas: Other, specify: Depth (m/ft) From: To: Diameter (cm/in):

Well Contractor and Well Technician Information: Business Name of Well Contractor: Well Contractor's Licence No.: Business Address (Street Number/Name): Municipality: Province: Postal Code: Business E-mail Address: Bus. Telephone No. (inc. area code): Name of Well Technician (Last Name, First Name): Well Technician's Licence No.: Signature of Technician and/or Contractor: Date Submitted:

Results of Well Yield Testing: After test of well yield, water was: Draw Down: Recovery: If pumping discontinued, give reason: Pump intake set at (m/ft): Pumping rate (l/min / GPM): Duration of pumping: hrs + min: Final water level end of pumping (m/ft): If flowing give rate (l/min / GPM): Recommended pump depth (m/ft): Recommended pump rate (l/min / GPM): Well production (l/min / GPM): Disinfected? Yes: No:

Map of Well Location: Please provide a map below following instructions on the back. BOREHOLE: WELL: DUNDAS STREET: HWY 403: HWY 401: N

Comments: Well owner's information package delivered: Date Package Delivered: Date Work Completed: Ministry Use Only: Audit No.: 2141324: AUG 21 2012: Received:

Well Owner's Information

First Name

Last Name / Organization
DANIEL SAPIR MEDICINE PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

E-mail Address

☐ Well Constructed by Well Owner

mailing Address (Street Number/Name)
2435 NINTH LINE

Municipality
OAKVILLE

Province
ONT

Postal Code
L6T 4Z2

Telephone No. (inc. area code)
416 219 0907

Well Location

Address of Well Location (Street Number/Name)
2435 NINTH LINE

Township
HALTON REGION

Lot
6 RP

Concession
20R13906 PART 6

County/District/Municipality
HALTON

City/Town/Village
OAKVILLE

Province
Ontario

Postal Code
L6T 4Z2

TM Coordinates
NAD 83

Zone
17

Easting
605560

Northing
4317767

Municipal Plan and Sublot Number

Other

Soil and Bedrock Materials/Abandonment Sealing Record (see instructions on the back of this form)

General Colour	Most Common Material	Other Materials	General Description	Depth (m/ft) From	To
			WELL DECOMMISSION ON 30" DIA BORED WELL		
	3/4 CLEAR GRAVEL			28	23
	HOLE PLUG			23	20
	3/4 CLEAR GRAVEL			20	15
	HOLE PLUG			15	12
	BROWN CLEAN CLAY			12	0
			7 FT OF TILES REMOVED BELOW SURFACE.		

Annular Space

Depth Set at (m/ft) From	To	Type of Sealant Used (Material and Type)	Volume Placed (m ³ /ft ³)

Method of Construction

☐ Cable Tool ☐ Diamond ☐ Jetting ☐ Driving ☐ Digging ☐ Air percussion ☐ Other, specify _____

Well Use

☐ Public ☐ Commercial ☐ Not used ☐ Domestic ☐ Municipal ☐ Dewatering ☐ Livestock ☐ Test Hole ☐ Monitoring ☐ Irrigation ☐ Cooling & Air Conditioning ☐ Industrial ☐ Other, specify _____

Construction Record - Casing

Inside Diameter (cm/in)	Open Hole OR Material (Galvanized, Fibreglass, Concrete, Plastic, Steel)	Wall Thickness (cm/in)	Depth (m/ft)	
			From	To

Status of Well

☐ Water Supply ☐ Replacement Well ☐ Test Hole ☐ Recharge Well ☐ Dewatering Well ☐ Observation and/or Monitoring Hole ☐ Alteration (Construction) ☒ Abandoned, Insufficient Supply ☒ Abandoned, Poor Water Quality ☐ Abandoned, other, specify _____ ☐ Other, specify _____

Construction Record - Screen

Outside Diameter (cm/in)	Material (Plastic, Galvanized, Steel)	Slot No.	Depth (m/ft)	
			From	To

Water Details

Water found at Depth (m/ft)	Kind of Water: <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh <input type="checkbox"/> Untested <input type="checkbox"/> Gas <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify _____
Water found at Depth (m/ft)	Kind of Water: <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh <input type="checkbox"/> Untested <input type="checkbox"/> Gas <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify _____
Water found at Depth (m/ft)	Kind of Water: <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh <input type="checkbox"/> Untested <input type="checkbox"/> Gas <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify _____

Hole Diameter

Depth (m/ft) From	To	Diameter (cm/in)

Well Contractor and Well Technician Information

Business Name of Well Contractor
LL HALTON WATER SVC

Well Contractor's Licence No.
7 2 6 8

Business Address (Street Number/Name)
377 SECOND LINE CAMPBELLVILLE

Municipality
HALTON

Province
ONT

Postal Code
L0P 1B0

Business E-mail Address

Telephone No. (inc. area code)
905 699 4151

Name of Well Technician (Last Name, First Name)
CORE ROD

Well Technician's Licence No.
T 4 7 9

Signature of Technician and/or Contractor

Date Submitted
Y Y Y Y M M D D

Results of Well Yield Testing

After test of well yield, water was:
☐ Clear and sand free ☐ Other, specify _____

If pumping discontinued, give reason:
Static Level

Pump intake set at (m/ft)

Pumping rate (l/min / GPM)
0 G.P.M

Duration of pumping
hrs + min

Final water level end of pumping (m/ft)

If flowing give rate (l/min / GPM)

Recommended pump depth (m/ft)

Recommended pump rate (l/min / GPM)

Well production (l/min / GPM)
0

Disinfected?
☒ Yes ☐ No

Time (min)	Water Level (m/ft)	Time (min)	Water Level (m/ft)
1		1	
2		2	
3		3	
4		4	
5		5	
10		10	
15		15	
20		20	
25		25	
30		30	
40		40	
50		50	
60		60	

Map of Well Location

Please provide a map below following instructions on the back.

DUNDAS HWY 5

BUILDING

WELL

Comments:

Well owner's information package delivered ☐ Yes ☒ No

Date Package Delivered
20141020

Date Work Completed
20141202

Ministry Use Only

Audit No.
2173351

Received
JUN 04 2015

Measurements recorded in: ☒ Metric ☐ Imperial

NOTag - Decom -

Well Owner's Information

First Name	Last Name / Organization	E-mail Address			<input type="checkbox"/> Well Constructed by Well Owner
	Mattamy Homes				
Mailing Address (Street Number/Name)	Municipality	Province	Postal Code	Telephone No. (inc. area code)	
433 Steeles Ave. E. Milton	Halton	ON	L9T8Z4	9052033900	

Well Location

Address of Well Location (Street Number/Name) 1345 Dundas				Township Oakville Town		Lot		Concession	
County/District/Municipality Halton				City/Town/Village Oakville		Province Ontario		Postal Code 	
UTM Coordinates NAD 83 1760491448176811				Municipal Plan and Sublot Number		Other			

Overburden and Bedrock Materials/Abandonment Sealing Record (see instructions on the back of this form)

General Colour	Most Common Material	Other Materials	General Description	Depth (m/ft)	
				From	To
	Clean fill / Native soil			0	2
	Bentonite Chips			2	2.2
	Clean fill / bentonite layers			2.2	7
	Bentonite Slurry			7	8
	Clean gravel			8	9.14

Annular Space

Annular Space				
Depth Set at (m/ft)	From	To	Type of Sealant Used (Material and Type)	Volume Placed (m³/ft³)

Method of Construction

<input type="checkbox"/> Cable Tool	<input type="checkbox"/> Diamond	<input type="checkbox"/> Public	<input type="checkbox"/> Commercial	<input type="checkbox"/> Not used
<input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (Conventional)	<input type="checkbox"/> Jetting	<input type="checkbox"/> Domestic	<input type="checkbox"/> Municipal	<input type="checkbox"/> Dewatering
<input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (Reverse)	<input type="checkbox"/> Driving	<input type="checkbox"/> Livestock	<input type="checkbox"/> Test Hole	<input type="checkbox"/> Monitoring
<input type="checkbox"/> Boring	<input type="checkbox"/> Digging	<input type="checkbox"/> Irrigation	<input type="checkbox"/> Cooling & Air Conditioning	
<input type="checkbox"/> Air percussion		<input type="checkbox"/> Industrial		
<input type="checkbox"/> Other, <i>specify</i>		<input type="checkbox"/> Other, <i>specify</i>		

Well Use

☐ Public ☐ Commercial ☐ Not used
☐ Domestic ☐ Municipal ☐ Dewatering
☐ Livestock ☐ Test Hole ☐ Monitoring
☐ Irrigation ☐ Cooling & Air Conditioning
☐ Industrial
☐ Other, *specify*

Construction Record - Casing

Construction Record - Casing				Status of Well	
Inside Diameter (cm/in)	Open Hole OR Material (Galvanized, Fibreglass, Concrete, Plastic, Steel)	Wall Thickness (cm/in)	Depth (m/ft)		
			From	To	
91.44	Field Stone	15.24	0	9.144	<input type="checkbox"/> Water Supply <input type="checkbox"/> Replacement Well <input type="checkbox"/> Test Hole <input type="checkbox"/> Recharge Well <input type="checkbox"/> Dewatering Well <input type="checkbox"/> Observation and/or Monitoring Hole <input type="checkbox"/> Alteration (Construction) <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned

Status of Well

☐ Water Supply
☐ Replacement Well
☐ Test Hole
☐ Recharge Well
☐ Dewatering Well
☐ Observation and/or Monitoring Hole
☐ Alteration (Construction)
☐ Abandoned, Insufficient Supply
☐ Abandoned, Poor Water Quality
☒ Abandoned, other, specify *Water not used*
☐ Other, specify

Construction Record - Screen

Outside Diameter (cm/in)	Material (Plastic, Galvanized, Steel)	Slot No.	Depth (m/ft)		<input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, Poor Water Quality <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, other, specify <i>water developed</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify
			From	To	


Water Details

Water found at Depth		Depth (m/ft)		Diameter (cm/in)
(m/ft)	Kind of Water: <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh <input type="checkbox"/> Untested <input type="checkbox"/> Gas <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify _____	From	To	
Water found at Depth	Kind of Water: <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh <input type="checkbox"/> Untested			
(m/ft) <input type="checkbox"/> Gas <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify _____				
Water found at Depth	Kind of Water: <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh <input type="checkbox"/> Untested			
(m/ft) <input type="checkbox"/> Gas <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify _____				
Water found at Depth	Kind of Water: <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh <input type="checkbox"/> Untested			
(m/ft) <input type="checkbox"/> Gas <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify _____				

Hole Diameter

Depth (m/ft)		Diameter (cm/in)
From	To	

Well Contractor and Well Technician Information

Business Name of Well Contractor Langille Water Services			Well Contractor's Licence No. 7151213		
Business Address (Street Number/Name) 53 Jane St. Guelph			Municipality Wellington		
Province ON	Postal Code N1E4T2	Business E-mail Address langillewelldrilling@rogers.com			
Bus. Telephone No. (inc. area code) 519 546 5622		Name of Well Technician (Last Name, First Name) Langille Kevin			
Well Technician's Licence No. 2268		Signature of Technician and/or Contractor 		Date Submitted 2019/10/08	

Results of Well Yield Testing

After test of well yield, water was:		Draw Down		Recovery	
<input type="checkbox"/> Clear and sand free <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify _____		Time (min)	Water Level (m/ft)	Time (min)	Water Level (m/ft)
If pumping discontinued, give reason:		Static Level			
Water removed -		1		1	
Pump intake set at (m/ft)		2		2	
Pumping rate (l/min / GPM)		3		3	
Duration of pumping ____ hrs + ____ min		4		4	
Final water level end of pumping (m/ft)		5		5	
If flowing give rate (l/min / GPM)		10		10	
Recommended pump depth (m/ft)		15		15	
Recommended pump rate (l/min / GPM)		20		20	
Well production (l/min / GPM)		25		25	
Disinfected?		30		30	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		40		40	
		50		50	
		60		60	

Map of Well Location

Please provide a map below following instructions on the back.

Diagram of a cantilever beam fixed to a wall on the left and free on the right. A horizontal arrow labeled "621 M." points to the right from the fixed end. A vertical arrow labeled "48 kN" points downwards from the fixed end. The wall is labeled "9 ft Line" and "Dandas".

Comments:

Well owner's information package delivered

☐ Yes

☒ No

Date Package Delivered

Y	Y	Y	Y	M	M	D	D
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Date Work Completed

2	9	1	9	1	0	0	Σ
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Ministry Use Only	
Audit No.	314719
Received	