



URBANTECH®

**FUNCTIONAL SERVICING AND STORMWATER
MANAGEMENT REPORT**

42 Port Street E., 91-93 & 99 Lakeshore Road E.

City of Mississauga

Prepared for

Centre City Capital Ltd., FRAM + Slokker, Kilmer Group.

Project #: 22-292

December 2022

Table of Contents

1. INTRODUCTION.....	3
1.1 STUDY PURPOSE	3
2. DEVELOPMENT CONCEPT	4
2.1 BACKGROUND STUDIES	4
3. GRADING DESIGN.....	5
3.1 DESIGN STANDARDS	5
3.2 GRADING DESIGN.....	5
4. STORM DRAINAGE AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT	6
4.1 DRAINAGE CRITERIA	6
4.2 STORM SEWER DESIGN	6
4.3 QUALITY CONTROL	6
4.4 QUANTITY CONTROL.....	6
4.5 WATER BALANCE/WATER RE-USE	7
5. WASTEWATER SERVICING.....	8
5.1 DESIGN CRITERIA.....	8
5.2 EXISTING CONDITIONS.....	8
5.3 LOCAL WASTEWATER DESIGN.....	8
6. WATER SERVICING.....	9
6.1 DESIGN CRITERIA.....	9
6.2 EXISTING CONDITIONS.....	9
6.3 LOCAL WATERMAINS.....	9
7. EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL AND CONSTRUCTION DEWATERING	10
8. CONCLUSIONS	11

List of Tables

Table 1: OGS Parameters.....	6
Table 2: 10-year Pre-development Target.....	7
Table 3: Flow and Required Storage Volume Results	7
Table 4: Potential 5 mm Retention Options.....	7
Table 5: Water Demand.....	9

Appendices

Appendix A – Figures and Drawings

- | | |
|---------------|---|
| • Drawing 101 | Servicing Plan |
| • Drawing 102 | Removals Plan |
| • Drawing 201 | Grading Plan |
| • Drawing 301 | Storm Drainage Plan |
| • Drawing 302 | Sanitary Drainage Plan |
| • Drawing 501 | Details |
| • Drawing 701 | Erosion and Sediment Control Plan |
| • Drawing 702 | Erosion and Sediment Control Plan Details |

Appendix B – Stormwater (SWM) Calculations

Appendix C – Wastewater Servicing

Appendix D – Water Servicing

1. INTRODUCTION

Urbantech has been retained as consulting engineers by Centre City Capital Ltd., FRAM + Slokker, Kilmer Group. to complete a Functional Servicing Report in support of a Official Plan Amendment and Zoning Bylaw Approval for the proposed 0.58 ha development located at 91-93, 99 Lakeshore Road East and 42 Port Street East in the City of Mississauga.

The site is bounded:

- To the north by Lakeshore Road East
- To the south by Port Street
- To the east by existing residential buildings
- To the west by the Elizabeth Street South

The legal description of the site is Part of Lots 9, 10 and 11 south side of Toronto Street and part of lot 8 and all of lots 9, 10 and 11 north side of Port Street Plan PC2 City of Mississauga as shown on J.D. Barnes Plan of Survey, dated September 16, 2022.

The site is currently occupied by commercial businesses with surface parking and a heritage home.

The subject development lies outside of the limits of a Credit Valley Conservation Authority (CVC) regulated watershed. The site falls within the City of Mississauga Port Credit GO Mobility Hub area.

1.1 Study Purpose

The objective of this study is to outline the servicing requirements of the subject lands at a functional design level. This study will:

1. Recommend site grading, water supply and wastewater servicing strategies for the site.
2. Demonstrate compliance with City, Conservation and MECP design criteria for municipal services and stormwater management (SWM) measures.

The functional servicing design has been prepared in accordance with design criteria and requirements of the City of Mississauga, Region of Peel and Credit Valley Conservation Authority. The information in this report is intended to assist the regulatory agencies in their review of the planning applications for the proposed development.

2. DEVELOPMENT CONCEPT

Refer to the development concept plan prepared by B+H Architects. The development plan consists of:

1. 11-storey building with 221 units.
2. 3 levels of underground parking.
3. 0.09 ha of amenity space.
4. 0.19 ha of retail space (including within heritage home).
5. 0.16 ha of landscape area.

The proposed development will connect to Elizabeth Street South via private driveways.

2.1 Background Studies

The servicing and development concepts presented within this report are an extension of the information contained in the following reports:

1. Port Credit GO Station Area Master Plan (October 2015) by Metrolinx

3. GRADING DESIGN

3.1 Design Standards

The proposed grading design for the site takes into consideration the following requirements and constraints:

1. Conforms to the City of Mississauga design criteria.
2. Match existing boundary lot and road grading conditions to be compatible with abutting properties.
3. Provides overland flow conveyance for major storm conditions.
4. Provides appropriate cover on proposed servicing.
5. Ensures compatibility of driveway access to surrounding public streets.

3.2 Grading Design

A grading plan for the subject property has been prepared in conjunction with the storm, sanitary, and water servicing system design for the subject development.

Due to perimeter grading constraints a portion of the subject property (approximately 0.04 ha) will drain uncontrolled to Port Street East. The storage tanks have been sized to account for this uncontrolled flow.

Drawings 201 illustrate the proposed grading plan for the site.

4. STORM DRAINAGE AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

4.1 Drainage Criteria

The City of Mississauga and Credit Valley Conservation outline the following design criteria for the site as follows:

1. Limiting post-development stormwater discharge to pre-development 10-year storm event which is the capacity of the storm sewers.
2. Pre-development runoff coefficients are to not exceed 0.5 for a site that is already developed.
3. Ensure minimum 80% TSS removal on site for quality control.
4. First 5 mm of runoff to be retained on-site.
5. Provide safe overland flow conveyance of the 100-year event.

4.2 Storm Sewer Design

Storm sewers within the site will be sized to convey the 10-year storm in accordance with the City of Mississauga standards. The site is full coverage with underground parking. All surface drainage will be collected by area drains and catchbasins that are connected to the building plumbing system. Routing of the storm sewers within the building will be determined at a later date as the building design is advanced. Flows from 0.04 ha of the site along the south boundary are not able to be captured by area drains and will flow uncontrolled towards Port Street East.

All stormwater is conveyed to the storage tank via area drains, which is situated in the south west corner of the site within the P1 level of the underground parkade. Flow from the tank will be conveyed to the 450 mm concrete storm sewer on Elizabeth Street South with a 300 mm connection to EX. MH 1.

4.3 Quality Control

As identified in section 5.1 above, the site is required to meet a minimum of 80% TSS removal on site for quality control. To achieve the required TSS removal an Oil Grit Separator (OGS) will be used upstream of the proposed storage tanks within the parking garage. **Table 1** below outlines preliminary sizing for the OGS devices. Sizing specifications are to be verified by the manufacturer during detailed design.

Table 1: OGS Parameters

OGS #	Size	Area (ha)	Efficiency (%)
1	EFO4	0.512	85

Refer to **Appendix B** for the Stormceptor Sizing Report.

4.4 Quantity Control

A Visual Otthymo model was created to model the drainage from the site to determine the pre-development two-year flow. A 24-hour Chicago rainfall Distribution was used to simulate the rainfall on the site using the Pearson International Airport IDF parameters. As the site is fully developed under existing conditions, a runoff coefficient of 0.5 was used as prescribed by the City of Mississauga standards. **Table 2** below outlines the pre-development 10-year flow.

Table 2: 10-year Pre-development Target

Area (ha)	Runoff Coefficient	10-year Target (m ³ /s)
0.553	0.5	0.123

Refer to **Drawing 302** for the existing storm drainage plan.

Storm water quantity control will be achieved by using a storage tank. The tank will be located in the southwest corner of the site and will likely require a pump to discharge flows to the storm sewer system.

As noted in **Section 5.2** above, a 0.04 ha portion of the site drains uncontrolled to Port Street East, to account for this uncontrolled flow, the tank flows are overcontrolled to ensure that the 10-year predevelopment target is not exceeded during the 100-year event. **Table 3** summarizes the flow and storage values required based on the VO6 calculations.

Table 3: Flow and Required Storage Volume Results

Outlet	Area (ha)	Runoff Coefficient	Post Development Flows m ³ /s	Required Volume (m ³)
Tank (NHYD 9)	0.512	0.9	0.095	92
Uncontrolled (NHYD 8)	0.041	0.9	0.028	-
		Total (NHYD 10)	0.122	92

Refer to SWM Calculations in **Appendix B** for supporting calculations and **Drawing 301** for post development storm drainage plan.

4.5 Water Balance/Water Re-use

The City of Mississauga requires retention of the first 5 mm of runoff to promote water balance and erosion control. Based on the site area of 0.55 ha, approximately 27.65 m³ should be retained on site. As the majority of the site plan is a full coverage building there are limited/nil opportunities for infiltration on site as landscaped areas not above the parking garage are too close to the building to allow infiltration in accordance with the Ontario building code. Reusing the stormwater onsite is permitted where retention via infiltration is not feasible. **Table 4** outlines various measures that could be implemented for the subject development. Details of the design will be provided during site plan approvals.

Table 4: Potential 5 mm Retention Options

LID Measure	Notes
Landscaped Areas	The planting media (landscape above parking garage and planting boxes) within the proposed development will retain the first 5 mm of rainwater through initial abstraction and provide the opportunity for evapotranspiration.
Green Roofs	Approximately 526 m ² of green roof is incorporated on the roofs of the proposed building. The benefits of green roofs could be attenuation of flows, filtration and increased water available for evapotranspiration. This would be an additional element including the stormwater tank and OGS.
Rainwater Harvesting - Irrigation	Rainwater not captured by the landscaped area or green roofs is collected in the sump of the storage tank and used for irrigation for the proposed landscaped areas and planters.
Rainwater Harvesting – Mechanical Uses	Water that is not able to be used for irrigation could be used for other mechanical re-use measures.

Page 7

5. WASTEWATER SERVICING

5.1 Design Criteria

Wastewater sewers will be designed in accordance with Region of Peel standards and specifications. The following criteria were used:

- 3 people/unit for large apartments (larger than 750 square feet)
- 1.6 people/unit for small apartments (less than 750 square feet)
- 3.3 people/unit for townhouses
- 50 people/ha for commercial/retail/community centre areas
- 0.2 L/s/ha for infiltration
- 302.8 L/person/day for domestic sewage flow

5.2 Existing Conditions

The existing sanitary sewer in proximity to the site is as follows:

1. 500 mm diameter located within Lakeshore Road East.
2. 250 mm diameter located within Lakeshore Road East.
3. 250 mm diameter located within Elizabeth Street South.
4. 350 mm diameter located within Port Street East.

The location of the existing is sewer is shown on **Drawing 101**.

5.3 Local Wastewater Design

The estimated sanitary flow from the subject lands is 6.99 L/s. Refer to Wastewater Demand Calculations in **Appendix C** for calculations and Region of Peel Multi Use Demand Table.

Sanitary servicing within the site will be designed by the project mechanical engineer as the building design advances. Proposed sanitary flows from the site will be conveyed via a new 250 mm service connection to Elizabeth Street South. Refer to **Drawings 101 and 301** for the anticipated connection location and drainage areas.

6. WATER SERVICING

6.1 Design Criteria

The proposed watermain design will comply with the Region of Peel design criteria as follows:

- Residential Consumption = 280 l/c/day, max day = 3
- ICI Consumption = 300 l/employee/day, max day = 1.4
- Residential and Commercial Peak Hour = 3
- Minimum operating pressure = 40 psi
- Maximum operating pressure = 100 psi

6.2 Existing Conditions

The existing water network, which falls under the jurisdiction of the Region of Peel, in the vicinity of the site includes:

1. A 300 mm local watermain on Lakeshore Road East
2. A 200 mm local watermain on Elizabeth Street South
3. A 300 mm local watermain on Port Street East

6.3 Local Watermains

The development will have two domestic water connection and two fire service connections as shown on **Drawing 101**. The water service sizes are estimated to be 200 mm which will be confirmed as the project advances. The development will be serviced by connecting to the existing 200 mm watermain on Elizabeth Street South and 300 mm watermain on Port Street East. The onsite water supply system will be designed by the project mechanical engineer as the building design advances.

Table 4 below outlines the water demand calculations for the development.

Table 5: Water Demand

Fire Flow (L/s)	Domestic (L/s)	
	Max Daily Demand	Max Peak Hour
116.7	3.2	4.9

A hydrant flow test will be undertaken on Elizabeth Street South. Results will be provided to the Region of Peel once the test has been conducted.

Water demand, internal servicing and proposed connection points are to be provided to the Region of Peel to identify if there are any water capacity constraints.

Refer to **Appendix D** for water demand calculation results.

7. EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL AND CONSTRUCTION DEWATERING

Erosion and sediment controls measures as follows:

1. Installing heavy duty silt control fencing along the perimeter of the site at strategic locations.
2. Installing a temporary mud mat at the construction site entrance.
3. Wrapping the tops of all inlet structures with filter fabric and using install silt sacks.
4. Inspecting all sediment and erosion control controls to maintain them in good repair until such time as the Engineer or the City approves their removal.
5. Safe discharge of construction water in accordance with City and provincial guidelines.


Refer to **Drawing 1001** for site-specific erosion and sediment control measures for the property.

8. CONCLUSIONS

This report has demonstrated that:

- The proposed site will be graded to match to existing elevations at all property lines.
- Building Storm drains will be designed by the project mechanical engineer at the building permit stage.
- Water quality will be provided through the use of an OGS devices upstream of the stormwater tank.
- Storm water quantity control estimated to be 92 m³ and will be required to control flows from the post development 100-year storm to the predevelopment 10-year as per the City of Mississauga DARC comments.
- Storage will be provided within a tank integrated into the parking garage in the south-west corner of the site.
- The site will utilize a existing storm sewer connections to the existing MH1.
- Water balance objectives will be met by retaining the first 5 mm of rain events onsite within the proposed landscaped areas as well as in the storage tank. Retained water from the storage tank will be re-used.
- Wastewater servicing to the site will be provided by new 250 mm diameter connections to the sewer on Elizabeth Street South.
- Water servicing to the site will be provided by the existing 200 mm watermain on Elizabeth Street South and 300 mm watermain on Port Street East.
- Erosion and sediment control and groundwater control measures will be implemented during construction in accordance with City and Provincial requirements.

Report Prepared by:



Janna Ormond B.Eng., EIT
Water Resources Designer



Rob Merwin, P.Eng.
Senior Associate, Land development

APPENDIX A

Drawings and Figures

Drawing 101 Servicing Plan
Drawing 102 Removals Plan
Drawing 201 Grading Plan
Drawing 301 Storm Drainage Plan
Drawing 302 Sanitary Drainage Plan
Drawing 501 Details
Drawing 701 Erosion and Sediment Control Plan
Drawing 702 Erosion and Sediment Control Plan Details

APPENDIX B

SWM Calculations

CALCULATION OF STORAGE SIZE AND RATING CURVE BASED ON ORIFICE SIZE TANK 1





Project Name: 42 Port Street
Municipality: City of Mississauga
Project No.: 22-292
Date: 16-Nov-22

Prepared by: JPO
Checked by: BM
Submission #: 1

All storms pumped.

Elevation (m)	Height (m)	Volume (m³)	Flow rate (m³/s)
Pump			
99.77	0.00	0.00	0.00
99.78	0.01	1.00	0.0949
100.77	1.00	92.00	0.0950




Name	Description	Result
TANK 1	Quantity control only	
	Storage tank footprint	92 m²
	Depth of Active Storage	1.00 m
	Provided Volume	92 m³
	Required Volume from VO6	92.00 m³
	Total	92 m³

VO MODEL OUTPUT SCHEMATIC

Project Name: 42 Port Street
Municipality: Mississauga
Project No.: 22-292
Date: 09-Nov-22

Prepared by: JPO

Submission: 1

VO MODEL OUTPUT SCHEMATIC PRE- AND POST-DEVELOPMENT


```

=====
=====
V   V   I   SSSSS U   U   A   L           (v 6.2.2006)
V   V   I   SS    U   U   A A   L
V   V   I   SS    U   U   AAAAA L
V   V   I   SS    U   U   A   A L
  VV    I   SSSSS UUUUU A   A LLLLL

```

```

000  TTTTT TTTTT H   H   Y   Y   M   M   000  TM
O   O   T     T   H   H   Y Y   MM MM  O   O
O   O   T     T   H   H   Y   M   M   O   O
000    T     T   H   H   Y   M   M   000

```

Developed and Distributed by Smart City Water Inc
 Copyright 2007 - 2021 Smart City Water Inc
 All rights reserved.

***** D E T A I L E D O U T P U T *****

Input filename: C:\Program Files (x86)\Visual OTTHYMO 6.2\V02\voin.dat

Output filename:

C:\Users\jannaormond\AppData\Local\Civica\XH5\9929ac5f-ba15-45f1-bf52-2a03313dafac\5e965868-dae1-4df3-bb91-07acd5f62b1a\

Summary filename:

C:\Users\jannaormond\AppData\Local\Civica\XH5\9929ac5f-ba15-45f1-bf52-2a03313dafac\5e965868-dae1-4df3-bb91-07acd5f62b1a\

DATE: 11-07-2022

TIME: 03:37:04

USER:

COMMENTS: _____

```

-----
*****
** SIMULATION : 100-year 24 Hour Chicago - Mi **
*****

```

```

-----
| CHICAGO STORM      |      IDF curve parameters: A=1450.000
| Ptotal=119.37 mm  |      B=    4.900
|                   |      C=    0.780
-----

```

used in: $INTENSITY = A / (t + B)^C$

Duration of storm = 24.00 hrs

Storm time step = 5.00 min

Time to peak ratio = 0.33

TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	'	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN
hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	'	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr
0.08	1.12	6.08	3.49		12.08	3.29	18.08	1.59
0.17	1.13	6.17	3.62		12.17	3.24	18.17	1.58
0.25	1.14	6.25	3.76		12.25	3.18	18.25	1.57
0.33	1.15	6.33	3.92		12.33	3.14	18.33	1.56
0.42	1.16	6.42	4.09		12.42	3.09	18.42	1.55
0.50	1.17	6.50	4.27		12.50	3.04	18.50	1.54
0.58	1.18	6.58	4.48		12.58	3.00	18.58	1.53
0.67	1.19	6.67	4.72		12.67	2.95	18.67	1.53
0.75	1.20	6.75	4.98		12.75	2.91	18.75	1.52
0.83	1.21	6.83	5.28		12.83	2.87	18.83	1.51
0.92	1.22	6.92	5.62		12.92	2.83	18.92	1.50
1.00	1.23	7.00	6.02		13.00	2.79	19.00	1.49
1.08	1.24	7.08	6.49		13.08	2.76	19.08	1.48
1.17	1.26	7.17	7.05		13.17	2.72	19.17	1.47
1.25	1.27	7.25	7.72		13.25	2.69	19.25	1.46
1.33	1.28	7.33	8.57		13.33	2.65	19.33	1.45
1.42	1.29	7.42	9.66		13.42	2.62	19.42	1.45
1.50	1.31	7.50	11.12		13.50	2.59	19.50	1.44
1.58	1.32	7.58	13.17		13.58	2.56	19.58	1.43
1.67	1.33	7.67	16.30		13.67	2.53	19.67	1.42
1.75	1.35	7.75	21.69		13.75	2.50	19.75	1.41
1.83	1.36	7.83	33.28		13.83	2.47	19.83	1.40
1.92	1.38	7.92	76.62		13.92	2.44	19.92	1.40
2.00	1.39	8.00	242.53		14.00	2.41	20.00	1.39
2.08	1.41	8.08	98.69		14.08	2.39	20.08	1.38
2.17	1.42	8.17	54.64		14.17	2.36	20.17	1.37
2.25	1.44	8.25	37.73		14.25	2.33	20.25	1.37
2.33	1.46	8.33	28.91		14.33	2.31	20.33	1.36
2.42	1.47	8.42	23.53		14.42	2.29	20.42	1.35
2.50	1.49	8.50	19.90		14.50	2.26	20.50	1.35
2.58	1.51	8.58	17.30		14.58	2.24	20.58	1.34
2.67	1.53	8.67	15.34		14.67	2.22	20.67	1.33
2.75	1.55	8.75	13.80		14.75	2.20	20.75	1.32
2.83	1.57	8.83	12.57		14.83	2.17	20.83	1.32
2.92	1.59	8.92	11.55		14.92	2.15	20.92	1.31
3.00	1.61	9.00	10.71		15.00	2.13	21.00	1.30
3.08	1.63	9.08	9.98		15.08	2.11	21.08	1.30
3.17	1.65	9.17	9.36		15.17	2.09	21.17	1.29
3.25	1.68	9.25	8.82		15.25	2.07	21.25	1.28
3.33	1.70	9.33	8.35		15.33	2.05	21.33	1.28
3.42	1.72	9.42	7.92		15.42	2.04	21.42	1.27
3.50	1.75	9.50	7.55		15.50	2.02	21.50	1.27

3.58	1.78	9.58	7.21	15.58	2.00	21.58	1.26
3.67	1.80	9.67	6.90	15.67	1.98	21.67	1.25
3.75	1.83	9.75	6.62	15.75	1.97	21.75	1.25
3.83	1.86	9.83	6.37	15.83	1.95	21.83	1.24
3.92	1.89	9.92	6.13	15.92	1.93	21.92	1.24
4.00	1.92	10.00	5.92	16.00	1.92	22.00	1.23
4.08	1.96	10.08	5.72	16.08	1.90	22.08	1.22
4.17	1.99	10.17	5.54	16.17	1.89	22.17	1.22
4.25	2.02	10.25	5.37	16.25	1.87	22.25	1.21
4.33	2.06	10.33	5.21	16.33	1.86	22.33	1.21
4.42	2.10	10.42	5.06	16.42	1.84	22.42	1.20
4.50	2.14	10.50	4.92	16.50	1.83	22.50	1.20
4.58	2.18	10.58	4.78	16.58	1.81	22.58	1.19
4.67	2.23	10.67	4.66	16.67	1.80	22.67	1.19
4.75	2.27	10.75	4.54	16.75	1.79	22.75	1.18
4.83	2.32	10.83	4.43	16.83	1.77	22.83	1.18
4.92	2.37	10.92	4.33	16.92	1.76	22.92	1.17
5.00	2.42	11.00	4.23	17.00	1.75	23.00	1.16
5.08	2.48	11.08	4.14	17.08	1.73	23.08	1.16
5.17	2.54	11.17	4.05	17.17	1.72	23.17	1.15
5.25	2.60	11.25	3.96	17.25	1.71	23.25	1.15
5.33	2.67	11.33	3.88	17.33	1.70	23.33	1.14
5.42	2.74	11.42	3.80	17.42	1.68	23.42	1.14
5.50	2.81	11.50	3.73	17.50	1.67	23.50	1.14
5.58	2.89	11.58	3.66	17.58	1.66	23.58	1.13
5.67	2.97	11.67	3.59	17.67	1.65	23.67	1.13
5.75	3.06	11.75	3.53	17.75	1.64	23.75	1.12
5.83	3.16	11.83	3.46	17.83	1.63	23.83	1.12
5.92	3.26	11.92	3.40	17.92	1.62	23.92	1.11
6.00	3.37	12.00	3.35	18.00	1.61	24.00	1.11

 | CALIB
 | STANDHYD (0001)
 | ID= 1 DT= 5.0 min |

Area (ha)= 0.55
 Total Imp(%)= 43.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 43.00

	IMPERVIOUS	PERVIOUS (i)
Surface Area (ha)=	0.24	0.32
Dep. Storage (mm)=	1.00	5.00
Average Slope (%)=	1.00	2.00
Length (m)=	60.72	50.50
Mannings n =	0.013	0.250
Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)=	242.53	107.89
over (min)	5.00	10.00
Storage Coeff. (min)=	1.33 (ii)	9.20 (ii)
Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)=	5.00	10.00
Unit Hyd. peak (cms)=	0.33	0.12

				TOTALS
PEAK FLOW	(cms)=	0.16	0.06	0.195 (iii)
TIME TO PEAK	(hrs)=	8.00	8.08	8.00
RUNOFF VOLUME	(mm)=	118.37	73.54	92.81
TOTAL RAINFALL	(mm)=	119.37	119.37	119.37
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT	=	0.99	0.62	0.78

***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!

- (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:
CN* = 80.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)
- (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL
THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.
- (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.

CALIB	
STANDHYD (0007)	Area (ha)= 0.51
ID= 1 DT= 5.0 min	Total Imp(%)= 99.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 99.00

		IMPERVIOUS	PERVIOUS (i)
Surface Area	(ha)=	0.51	0.01
Dep. Storage	(mm)=	1.00	5.00
Average Slope	(%)=	1.00	2.00
Length	(m)=	58.42	50.50
Mannings n	=	0.013	0.250

Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)=	242.53	*****
over (min)	5.00	5.00
Storage Coeff. (min)=	1.30 (ii)	2.20 (ii)
Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)=	5.00	5.00
Unit Hyd. peak (cms)=	0.33	0.30

			TOTALS	
PEAK FLOW	(cms)=	0.34	0.00	0.338 (iii)
TIME TO PEAK	(hrs)=	8.00	8.00	8.00
RUNOFF VOLUME	(mm)=	118.37	73.54	117.92
TOTAL RAINFALL	(mm)=	119.37	119.37	119.37
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT	=	0.99	0.62	0.99

***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!

- (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:
CN* = 80.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)
 - (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL
THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.
 - (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
-
-

RESERVOIR(0009)	OVERFLOW IS OFF			
IN= 2---> OUT= 1				
DT= 5.0 min				
-----	OUTFLOW	STORAGE	OUTFLOW	STORAGE
	(cms)	(ha.m.)	(cms)	(ha.m.)
	0.0000	0.0000	0.0950	0.0092
	0.0949	0.0001	0.0000	0.0000
	AREA	QPEAK	TPEAK	R.V.
	(ha)	(cms)	(hrs)	(mm)
INFLOW : ID= 2 (0007)	0.512	0.338	8.00	117.92
OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (0009)	0.512	0.095	8.17	117.93
PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 28.07				
TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 10.00				
MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 0.0092				

CALIB				
STANDHYD (0008)	Area (ha)=	0.04		
ID= 1 DT= 5.0 min	Total Imp(%)=	99.00	Dir. Conn.(%)=	99.00

	IMPERVIOUS	PERVIOUS (i)		
Surface Area (ha)=	0.04	0.00		
Dep. Storage (mm)=	1.00	5.00		
Average Slope (%)=	1.00	2.00		
Length (m)=	16.53	50.50		
Mannings n =	0.013	0.250		
Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)=	242.53	*****		
over (min)	5.00	5.00		
Storage Coeff. (min)=	0.61 (ii)	1.51 (ii)		
Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)=	5.00	5.00		
Unit Hyd. peak (cms)=	0.34	0.33		
			TOTALS	
PEAK FLOW (cms)=	0.03	0.00	0.028 (iii)	
TIME TO PEAK (hrs)=	8.00	8.00	8.00	
RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)=	118.37	73.54	117.92	
TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)=	119.37	119.37	119.37	
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT =	0.99	0.62	0.99	

***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!

- (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:
CN* = 80.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)
- (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL
THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.
- (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.

```

-----
| ADD HYD ( 0010) |
| 1 + 2 = 3 |
-----
          AREA      QPEAK      TPEAK      R.V.
          (ha)      (cms)      (hrs)      (mm)
      ID1= 1 ( 0008):  0.04    0.028    8.00    117.92
+ ID2= 2 ( 0009):  0.51    0.095    8.17    117.93
=====
      ID = 3 ( 0010):  0.55    0.122    8.00    117.93

```

NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.

```

-----
| CALIB
| STANDHYD ( 0011) |
| ID= 1 DT= 5.0 min |
-----
          Area      (ha)=  0.13
          Total Imp(%)= 99.00  Dir. Conn.(%)= 99.00

          IMPERVIOUS      PERVIOUS (i)
Surface Area      (ha)=  0.13      0.00
Dep. Storage      (mm)=  1.00      5.00
Average Slope      (%)=  1.00      2.00
Length            (m)=  29.78      50.50
Mannings n        =  0.013      0.250

Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)=  242.53      *****
      over (min)      5.00      5.00
Storage Coeff. (min)=  0.87 (ii)      1.77 (ii)
Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)=  5.00      5.00
Unit Hyd. peak (cms)=  0.34      0.32

                                     *TOTALS*
PEAK FLOW      (cms)=  0.09      0.00      0.089 (iii)
TIME TO PEAK    (hrs)=  8.00      8.00      8.00
RUNOFF VOLUME   (mm)=  118.37      73.54      117.92
TOTAL RAINFALL  (mm)=  119.37      119.37      119.37
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT =  0.99      0.62      0.99

```

***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!

- (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:
CN* = 80.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)
- (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL
THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.
- (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.

```

-----
| CALIB
| STANDHYD ( 0013) |
| ID= 1 DT= 5.0 min |
-----
          Area      (ha)=  0.42
          Total Imp(%)= 99.00  Dir. Conn.(%)= 99.00

```

		IMPERVIOUS	PERVIOUS (i)	
Surface Area	(ha)=	0.42	0.00	
Dep. Storage	(mm)=	1.00	5.00	
Average Slope	(%)=	1.00	2.00	
Length	(m)=	52.92	50.50	
Mannings n	=	0.013	0.250	
Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)=		242.53	*****	
over (min)		5.00	5.00	
Storage Coeff. (min)=		1.22 (ii)	2.13 (ii)	
Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)=		5.00	5.00	
Unit Hyd. peak (cms)=		0.33	0.31	
				TOTALS
PEAK FLOW	(cms)=	0.28	0.00	0.278 (iii)
TIME TO PEAK	(hrs)=	8.00	8.00	8.00
RUNOFF VOLUME	(mm)=	118.37	73.54	117.92
TOTAL RAINFALL	(mm)=	119.37	119.37	119.37
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT	=	0.99	0.62	0.99

***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!

- (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:
CN* = 80.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)
- (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL
THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.
- (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.

```

-----
| RESERVOIR( 0014) | OVERFLOW IS OFF
| IN= 2---> OUT= 1 |
| DT= 5.0 min      |
-----

```

	OUTFLOW	STORAGE	OUTFLOW	STORAGE
	(cms)	(ha.m.)	(cms)	(ha.m.)
	0.0000	0.0000	0.0340	0.0092
	0.0330	0.0001	0.0000	0.0000

	AREA	QPEAK	TPEAK	R.V.
	(ha)	(cms)	(hrs)	(mm)
INFLOW : ID= 2 (0013)	0.420	0.278	8.00	117.92
OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (0014)	0.420	0.034	8.33	117.94

PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 12.36

TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 20.00

MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 0.0129

```

-----
| ADD HYD ( 0012) |
| 1 + 2 = 3       |
-----

```

	AREA	QPEAK	TPEAK	R.V.
--	------	-------	-------	------

		(ha)	(cms)	(hrs)	(mm)
ID1= 1 (0011):		0.13	0.089	8.00	117.92
+ ID2= 2 (0014):		0.42	0.034	8.33	117.94
=====					
ID = 3 (0012):		0.55	0.123	8.00	117.93

NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.

```

-----
=====
=====
V   V   I   SSSSS U   U   A   L           (v 6.2.2006)
V   V   I   SS    U   U   A A   L
V   V   I   SS    U   U   AAAAA L
V   V   I   SS    U   U   A   A   L
VV      I   SSSSS UUUUU A   A   LLLLL
000    TTTTT TTTTT H   H   Y   Y   M   M   000    TM
O   O   T     T   H   H   Y Y   MM MM   O   O
O   O   T     T   H   H   Y   M   M   O   O
000    T     T   H   H   Y   M   M   000

```

Developed and Distributed by Smart City Water Inc
 Copyright 2007 - 2021 Smart City Water Inc
 All rights reserved.

***** D E T A I L E D O U T P U T *****

Input filename: C:\Program Files (x86)\Visual OTTHYMO 6.2\V02\voin.dat

Output filename:

C:\Users\jannaormond\AppData\Local\Civica\XH5\9929ac5f-ba15-45f1-bf52-2a03313dafac\fb8d51ad-b4be-4d52-96b6-f3b5e6d4680c\

Summary filename:

C:\Users\jannaormond\AppData\Local\Civica\XH5\9929ac5f-ba15-45f1-bf52-2a03313dafac\fb8d51ad-b4be-4d52-96b6-f3b5e6d4680c\

DATE: 11-07-2022

TIME: 03:37:05

USER:

COMMENTS: _____

 ** SIMULATION : 10-year 24 Hour Chicago - Mis **

 | CHICAGO STORM |
Ptotal= 83.16 mm

IDF curve parameters: A=1010.000
 B= 4.600
 C= 0.780
 used in: INTENSITY = A / (t + B)^C

Duration of storm = 24.00 hrs
 Storm time step = 5.00 min
 Time to peak ratio = 0.33

TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	'	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN
hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	'	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr
0.08	0.78	6.08	2.43		12.08	2.29	18.08	1.11
0.17	0.78	6.17	2.52		12.17	2.25	18.17	1.10
0.25	0.79	6.25	2.61		12.25	2.21	18.25	1.10
0.33	0.80	6.33	2.72		12.33	2.18	18.33	1.09
0.42	0.80	6.42	2.84		12.42	2.15	18.42	1.08
0.50	0.81	6.50	2.97		12.50	2.11	18.50	1.07
0.58	0.82	6.58	3.11		12.58	2.08	18.58	1.07
0.67	0.83	6.67	3.28		12.67	2.05	18.67	1.06
0.75	0.83	6.75	3.46		12.75	2.02	18.75	1.06
0.83	0.84	6.83	3.66		12.83	2.00	18.83	1.05
0.92	0.85	6.92	3.90		12.92	1.97	18.92	1.04
1.00	0.86	7.00	4.18		13.00	1.94	19.00	1.04
1.08	0.87	7.08	4.50		13.08	1.92	19.08	1.03
1.17	0.87	7.17	4.89		13.17	1.89	19.17	1.02
1.25	0.88	7.25	5.35		13.25	1.87	19.25	1.02
1.33	0.89	7.33	5.94		13.33	1.84	19.33	1.01
1.42	0.90	7.42	6.69		13.42	1.82	19.42	1.01
1.50	0.91	7.50	7.69		13.50	1.80	19.50	1.00
1.58	0.92	7.58	9.10		13.58	1.78	19.58	0.99
1.67	0.93	7.67	11.25		13.67	1.76	19.67	0.99
1.75	0.94	7.75	14.96		13.75	1.74	19.75	0.98
1.83	0.95	7.83	22.92		13.83	1.72	19.83	0.98
1.92	0.96	7.92	53.04		13.92	1.70	19.92	0.97
2.00	0.97	8.00	173.04		14.00	1.68	20.00	0.97
2.08	0.98	8.08	68.49		14.08	1.66	20.08	0.96
2.17	0.99	8.17	37.67		14.17	1.64	20.17	0.96
2.25	1.00	8.25	25.98		14.25	1.62	20.25	0.95
2.33	1.01	8.33	19.92		14.33	1.61	20.33	0.95
2.42	1.03	8.42	16.22		14.42	1.59	20.42	0.94
2.50	1.04	8.50	13.73		14.50	1.57	20.50	0.94
2.58	1.05	8.58	11.94		14.58	1.56	20.58	0.93
2.67	1.06	8.67	10.59		14.67	1.54	20.67	0.93
2.75	1.08	8.75	9.54		14.75	1.53	20.75	0.92
2.83	1.09	8.83	8.69		14.83	1.51	20.83	0.92

2.92	1.11	8.92	7.99	14.92	1.50	20.92	0.91
3.00	1.12	9.00	7.41	15.00	1.48	21.00	0.91
3.08	1.13	9.08	6.91	15.08	1.47	21.08	0.90
3.17	1.15	9.17	6.48	15.17	1.46	21.17	0.90
3.25	1.17	9.25	6.11	15.25	1.44	21.25	0.89
3.33	1.18	9.33	5.78	15.33	1.43	21.33	0.89
3.42	1.20	9.42	5.49	15.42	1.42	21.42	0.89
3.50	1.22	9.50	5.23	15.50	1.40	21.50	0.88
3.58	1.24	9.58	5.00	15.58	1.39	21.58	0.88
3.67	1.25	9.67	4.79	15.67	1.38	21.67	0.87
3.75	1.27	9.75	4.59	15.75	1.37	21.75	0.87
3.83	1.29	9.83	4.42	15.83	1.36	21.83	0.86
3.92	1.32	9.92	4.26	15.92	1.34	21.92	0.86
4.00	1.34	10.00	4.11	16.00	1.33	22.00	0.86
4.08	1.36	10.08	3.97	16.08	1.32	22.08	0.85
4.17	1.38	10.17	3.84	16.17	1.31	22.17	0.85
4.25	1.41	10.25	3.72	16.25	1.30	22.25	0.84
4.33	1.43	10.33	3.61	16.33	1.29	22.33	0.84
4.42	1.46	10.42	3.51	16.42	1.28	22.42	0.84
4.50	1.49	10.50	3.41	16.50	1.27	22.50	0.83
4.58	1.52	10.58	3.32	16.58	1.26	22.58	0.83
4.67	1.55	10.67	3.24	16.67	1.25	22.67	0.83
4.75	1.58	10.75	3.16	16.75	1.24	22.75	0.82
4.83	1.61	10.83	3.08	16.83	1.23	22.83	0.82
4.92	1.65	10.92	3.01	16.92	1.22	22.92	0.81
5.00	1.69	11.00	2.94	17.00	1.21	23.00	0.81
5.08	1.72	11.08	2.87	17.08	1.21	23.08	0.81
5.17	1.76	11.17	2.81	17.17	1.20	23.17	0.80
5.25	1.81	11.25	2.75	17.25	1.19	23.25	0.80
5.33	1.85	11.33	2.70	17.33	1.18	23.33	0.80
5.42	1.90	11.42	2.64	17.42	1.17	23.42	0.79
5.50	1.95	11.50	2.59	17.50	1.16	23.50	0.79
5.58	2.01	11.58	2.54	17.58	1.16	23.58	0.79
5.67	2.07	11.67	2.50	17.67	1.15	23.67	0.78
5.75	2.13	11.75	2.45	17.75	1.14	23.75	0.78
5.83	2.19	11.83	2.41	17.83	1.13	23.83	0.78
5.92	2.27	11.92	2.37	17.92	1.12	23.92	0.77
6.00	2.34	12.00	2.33	18.00	1.12	24.00	0.77

CALIB	
STANDHYD (0001)	Area (ha)= 0.55
ID= 1 DT= 5.0 min	Total Imp(%)= 43.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 43.00

	IMPERVIOUS	PERVIOUS (i)
Surface Area (ha)=	0.24	0.32
Dep. Storage (mm)=	1.00	5.00
Average Slope (%)=	1.00	2.00

Length	(m)=	60.72	50.50	
Mannings n	=	0.013	0.250	
Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)=		173.04	61.78	
over (min)		5.00	15.00	
Storage Coeff. (min)=		1.52 (ii)	11.36 (ii)	
Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)=		5.00	15.00	
Unit Hyd. peak (cms)=		0.33	0.09	
				TOTALS
PEAK FLOW (cms)=		0.11	0.03	0.123 (iii)
TIME TO PEAK (hrs)=		8.00	8.17	8.00
RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)=		82.16	43.13	59.90
TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)=		83.16	83.16	83.16
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT =		0.99	0.52	0.72

***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!

- (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:
 CN* = 80.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)
 (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL
 THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.
 (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.

 | CALIB |
 | STANDHYD (0007) | Area (ha)= 0.51
 | ID= 1 DT= 5.0 min | Total Imp(%)= 99.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 99.00

		IMPERVIOUS	PERVIOUS (i)	
Surface Area	(ha)=	0.51	0.01	
Dep. Storage	(mm)=	1.00	5.00	
Average Slope	(%)=	1.00	2.00	
Length	(m)=	58.42	50.50	
Mannings n	=	0.013	0.250	
Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)=		173.04	*****	
over (min)		5.00	5.00	
Storage Coeff. (min)=		1.49 (ii)	2.52 (ii)	
Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)=		5.00	5.00	
Unit Hyd. peak (cms)=		0.33	0.29	
				TOTALS
PEAK FLOW (cms)=		0.24	0.00	0.239 (iii)
TIME TO PEAK (hrs)=		8.00	8.00	8.00
RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)=		82.16	43.13	81.77
TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)=		83.16	83.16	83.16
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT =		0.99	0.52	0.98

***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!

- (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:
 CN* = 80.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)
 (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL
 THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.
 (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.

```

-----
| RESERVOIR( 0009) | OVERFLOW IS OFF
| IN= 2---> OUT= 1 |
| DT= 5.0 min      |
-----
      OUTFLOW    STORAGE    |    OUTFLOW    STORAGE
      (cms)      (ha.m.)    |    (cms)      (ha.m.)
      0.0000     0.0000    |    0.0950     0.0092
      0.0949     0.0001    |    0.0000     0.0000

                        AREA    QPEAK    TPEAK    R.V.
                        (ha)    (cms)    (hrs)    (mm)
INFLOW : ID= 2 ( 0007)    0.512    0.239    8.00    81.77
OUTFLOW: ID= 1 ( 0009)    0.512    0.095    8.08    81.77

      PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 39.76
      TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 5.00
      MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 0.0046
  
```

```

-----
| CALIB          |
| STANDHYD ( 0008) |
| ID= 1 DT= 5.0 min |
-----
      Area (ha)= 0.04
      Total Imp(%)= 99.00    Dir. Conn.(%)= 99.00

      IMPERVIOUS    PERVIOUS (i)
      Surface Area (ha)= 0.04    0.00
      Dep. Storage (mm)= 1.00    5.00
      Average Slope (%)= 1.00    2.00
      Length (m)= 16.53    50.50
      Mannings n = 0.013    0.250

      Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 173.04    *****
      over (min)    5.00    5.00
      Storage Coeff. (min)= 0.70 (ii)    1.73 (ii)
      Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 5.00    5.00
      Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 0.34    0.32

                                         *TOTALS*
      PEAK FLOW (cms)= 0.02    0.00    0.020 (iii)
      TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 8.00    8.00    8.00
      RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 82.16    43.13    79.72
      TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 83.16    83.16    83.16
      RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 0.99    0.52    0.96
  
```

***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!

- (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:
 CN* = 80.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)
- (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL
 THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.
- (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.

```

-----
| ADD HYD ( 0010) |
| 1 + 2 = 3      |
-----
                AREA      QPEAK      TPEAK      R.V.
                (ha)      (cms)      (hrs)      (mm)
      ID1= 1 ( 0008):  0.04  0.020  8.00  79.72
+ ID2= 2 ( 0009):  0.51  0.095  8.08  81.77
=====
      ID = 3 ( 0010):  0.55  0.115  8.00  81.62
  
```

NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.

```

-----
| CALIB          |
| STANDHYD ( 0011) |
| ID= 1 DT= 5.0 min |
-----
      Area      (ha)=  0.13
      Total Imp(%)= 99.00  Dir. Conn.(%)= 99.00
  
```

		IMPERVIOUS	PERVIOUS (i)	
Surface Area	(ha)=	0.13	0.00	
Dep. Storage	(mm)=	1.00	5.00	
Average Slope	(%)=	1.00	2.00	
Length	(m)=	29.78	50.50	
Mannings n	=	0.013	0.250	
Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)=	173.04	*****		
over (min)	5.00		5.00	
Storage Coeff. (min)=	0.99 (ii)		2.03 (ii)	
Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)=	5.00		5.00	
Unit Hyd. peak (cms)=	0.34		0.31	
				TOTALS
PEAK FLOW (cms)=	0.06	0.00	0.063 (iii)	
TIME TO PEAK (hrs)=	8.00	8.00	8.00	
RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)=	82.16	43.13	81.77	
TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)=	83.16	83.16	83.16	
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT =	0.99	0.52	0.98	

***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!

- (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:
 CN* = 80.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)
- (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL
 THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.

(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.

```
-----
| CALIB |
| STANDHYD ( 0013) |
| ID= 1 DT= 5.0 min |
|-----|
Area      (ha)= 0.42
Total Imp(%)= 99.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 99.00

          IMPERVIOUS    PERVIOUS (i)
Surface Area (ha)= 0.42 0.00
Dep. Storage (mm)= 1.00 5.00
Average Slope (%)= 1.00 2.00
Length (m)= 52.92 50.50
Mannings n = 0.013 0.250

Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 173.04 *****
over (min) 5.00 5.00
Storage Coeff. (min)= 1.40 (ii) 2.44 (ii)
Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 5.00 5.00
Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 0.33 0.30

                                     *TOTALS*
PEAK FLOW (cms)= 0.20 0.00 0.197 (iii)
TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 8.00 8.00 8.00
RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 82.16 43.13 81.77
TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 83.16 83.16 83.16
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 0.99 0.52 0.98
```

***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!

- (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:
CN* = 80.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)
- (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL
THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.
- (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.

```
-----
| RESERVOIR( 0014) |
| IN= 2---> OUT= 1 |
| DT= 5.0 min |
|-----|
OVERFLOW IS OFF

OUTFLOW STORAGE | OUTFLOW STORAGE
(cms) (ha.m.) | (cms) (ha.m.)
0.0000 0.0000 | 0.0340 0.0092
0.0330 0.0001 | 0.0000 0.0000

AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm)
INFLOW : ID= 2 ( 0013) 0.420 0.197 8.00 81.77
OUTFLOW: ID= 1 ( 0014) 0.420 0.034 8.25 81.79
```

PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 17.19

TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 15.00
MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 0.0076

| ADD HYD (0012) |
1 + 2 = 3
AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm)
ID1= 1 (0011): 0.13 0.063 8.00 81.77
+ ID2= 2 (0014): 0.42 0.034 8.25 81.79
=====

ID = 3 (0012):	0.55	0.097	8.00	81.78
-----------------	------	-------	------	-------

NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.

FINISH
=====

Stormceptor®EF Sizing Report

STORMCEPTOR®

ESTIMATED NET ANNUAL SEDIMENT (TSS) LOAD REDUCTION

11/07/2022

Province:	Ontario	Project Name:	42 Port
City:	Mississauga	Project Number:	22-292
Nearest Rainfall Station:	TORONTO INTL AP	Designer Name:	Janna Ormond
Climate Station Id:	6158731	Designer Company:	Urbantech
Years of Rainfall Data:	20	Designer Email:	jannaormond@urbantech.com
		Designer Phone:	289-887-3057
Site Name:		EOR Name:	
		EOR Company:	
Drainage Area (ha):	0.51	EOR Email:	
Runoff Coefficient 'c':	0.90	EOR Phone:	

Particle Size Distribution:	Fine
Target TSS Removal (%):	80.0

Required Water Quality Runoff Volume Capture (%):	90.00
Estimated Water Quality Flow Rate (L/s):	14.27
Oil / Fuel Spill Risk Site?	Yes
Upstream Flow Control?	Yes
Upstream Orifice Control Flow Rate to Stormceptor (L/s):	95.00
Peak Conveyance (maximum) Flow Rate (L/s):	
Site Sediment Transport Rate (kg/ha/yr):	

Net Annual Sediment (TSS) Load Reduction Sizing Summary

Stormceptor Model	TSS Removal Provided (%)
EFO4	85
EFO6	93
EFO8	97
EFO10	98
EFO12	100

Recommended Stormceptor EFO Model: **EFO4**
 Estimated Net Annual Sediment (TSS) Load Reduction (%): **85**
 Water Quality Runoff Volume Capture (%): **> 90**

Stormceptor® EF Sizing Report

THIRD-PARTY TESTING AND VERIFICATION

► **Stormceptor® EF and Stormceptor® EFO** are the latest evolutions in the Stormceptor® oil-grit separator (OGS) technology series, and are designed to remove a wide variety of pollutants from stormwater and snowmelt runoff. These technologies have been third-party tested in accordance with the Canadian ETV **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators** and performance has been third-party verified in accordance with the **ISO 14034 Environmental Technology Verification (ETV)** protocol.

PERFORMANCE

► **Stormceptor® EF and EFO** remove stormwater pollutants through gravity separation and floatation, and feature a patent-pending design that generates positive removal of total suspended solids (TSS) throughout each storm event, including high-intensity storms. Captured pollutants include sediment, free oils, and sediment-bound pollutants such as nutrients, heavy metals, and petroleum hydrocarbons. Stormceptor is sized to remove a high level of TSS from the frequent rainfall events that contribute the vast majority of annual runoff volume and pollutant load. The technology incorporates an internal bypass to convey excessive stormwater flows from high-intensity storms through the device without resuspension and washout (scour) of previously captured pollutants. Proper routine maintenance ensures high pollutant removal performance and protection of downstream waterways.

PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION (PSD)

► The **Canadian ETV PSD** shown in the table below was used, or in part, for this sizing. This is the identical PSD that is referenced in the Canadian ETV **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators** for both sediment removal testing and scour testing. The Canadian ETV PSD contains a wide range of particle sizes in the sand and silt fractions, and is considered reasonably representative of the particle size fractions found in typical urban stormwater runoff.

Particle Size (µm)	Percent Less Than	Particle Size Fraction (µm)	Percent
1000	100	500-1000	5
500	95	250-500	5
250	90	150-250	15
150	75	100-150	15
100	60	75-100	10
75	50	50-75	5
50	45	20-50	10
20	35	8-20	15
8	20	5-8	10
5	10	2-5	5
2	5	<2	5

Stormceptor®EF Sizing Report


Upstream Flow Controlled Results

Rainfall Intensity (mm / hr)	Percent Rainfall Volume (%)	Cumulative Rainfall Volume (%)	Flow Rate (L/s)	Flow Rate (L/min)	Surface Loading Rate (L/min/m²)	Removal Efficiency (%)	Incremental Removal (%)	Cumulative Removal (%)
0.5	8.5	8.5	0.64	38.0	32.0	100	8.5	8.5
1	20.6	29.1	1.28	77.0	64.0	100	20.6	29.1
2	16.8	45.9	2.55	153.0	128.0	93	15.7	44.8
3	10.8	56.7	3.83	230.0	191.0	84	9.1	53.9
4	8.5	65.2	5.10	306.0	255.0	81	6.8	60.7
5	6.4	71.6	6.38	383.0	319.0	78	5.0	65.7
6	5.5	77.0	7.66	459.0	383.0	75	4.1	69.8
7	3.9	81.0	8.93	536.0	447.0	72	2.8	72.6
8	2.9	83.9	10.21	612.0	510.0	69	2.0	74.6
9	2.7	86.5	11.48	689.0	574.0	66	1.8	76.4
10	2.2	88.7	12.76	766.0	638.0	64	1.4	77.8
11	1.0	89.7	14.04	842.0	702.0	64	0.6	78.4
12	1.7	91.3	15.31	919.0	766.0	63	1.0	79.4
13	1.4	92.8	16.59	995.0	829.0	63	0.9	80.3
14	1.0	93.7	17.86	1072.0	893.0	62	0.6	80.9
15	0.3	94.0	19.14	1148.0	957.0	62	0.2	81.1
16	0.8	94.8	20.42	1225.0	1021.0	61	0.5	81.6
17	0.8	95.7	21.69	1302.0	1085.0	60	0.5	82.1
18	0.2	95.8	22.97	1378.0	1148.0	58	0.1	82.2
19	1.5	97.3	24.24	1455.0	1212.0	57	0.8	83.1
20	0.2	97.5	25.52	1531.0	1276.0	55	0.1	83.2
21	0.6	98.2	26.80	1608.0	1340.0	54	0.3	83.5
22	1.8	100.0	28.07	1684.0	1404.0	52	1.0	84.5
23	0.2	100.2	29.35	1761.0	1467.0	50	0.1	84.6
24	0.2	100.5	30.62	1837.0	1531.0	48	0.1	84.7
25	0.2	100.7	31.90	1914.0	1595.0	46	0.1	84.8
30	1.1	101.8	38.28	2297.0	1914.0	38	0.4	85.3
35	-1.8	100.0	44.66	2680.0	2233.0	33	N/A	84.6
40	0.0	100.0	51.04	3062.0	2552.0	29	0.0	84.6
45	0.0	100.0	57.42	3445.0	2871.0	26	0.0	84.6
Estimated Net Annual Sediment (TSS) Load Reduction =								85 %


Climate Station ID: 6158731 Years of Rainfall Data: 20

Stormceptor®EF Sizing Report

RAINFALL DATA FROM TORONTO INTL AP RAINFALL STATION



INCREMENTAL AND CUMULATIVE TSS REMOVAL FOR THE RECOMMENDED STORMCEPTOR® MODEL



Stormceptor® EF Sizing Report

Maximum Pipe Diameter / Peak Conveyance

Stormceptor EF / EFO	Model Diameter		Min Angle Inlet / Outlet Pipes	Max Inlet Pipe Diameter		Max Outlet Pipe Diameter		Peak Conveyance Flow Rate	
	(m)	(ft)		(mm)	(in)	(mm)	(in)	(L/s)	(cfs)
EF4 / EFO4	1.2	4	90	609	24	609	24	425	15
EF6 / EFO6	1.8	6	90	914	36	914	36	990	35
EF8 / EFO8	2.4	8	90	1219	48	1219	48	1700	60
EF10 / EFO10	3.0	10	90	1828	72	1828	72	2830	100
EF12 / EFO12	3.6	12	90	1828	72	1828	72	2830	100

SCOUR PREVENTION AND ONLINE CONFIGURATION


► **Stormceptor® EF and EFO** feature an internal bypass and superior scour prevention technology that have been demonstrated in third-party testing according to the scour testing provisions of the Canadian ETV **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators**, and the exceptional scour test performance has been third-party verified in accordance with the ISO 14034 ETV protocol. As a result, Stormceptor EF and EFO are approved for online installation, eliminating the need for costly additional bypass structures, piping, and installation expense.

DESIGN FLEXIBILITY


► **Stormceptor® EF and EFO** offers design flexibility in one simplified platform, accepting stormwater flow from a single inlet pipe or multiple inlet pipes, and/or surface runoff through an inlet grate. The device can also serve as a junction structure, accommodate a 90-degree inlet-to-outlet bend angle, and can be modified to ensure performance in submerged conditions.

OIL CAPTURE AND RETENTION

► While Stormceptor® EF will capture and retain oil from dry weather spills and low intensity runoff, **Stormceptor® EFO** has demonstrated superior oil capture and greater than 99% oil retention in third-party testing according to the light liquid re-entrainment testing provisions of the Canadian ETV **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators**. Stormceptor EFO is recommended for sites where oil capture and retention is a requirement.



Stormceptor® EF Sizing Report



INLET-TO-OUTLET DROP

Elevation differential between inlet and outlet pipe inverts is dictated by the angle at which the inlet pipe(s) enters the unit.

0° - 45° : The inlet pipe is 1-inch (25mm) higher than the outlet pipe.

45° - 90° : The inlet pipe is 2-inches (50mm) higher than the outlet pipe.

HEAD LOSS

The head loss through Stormceptor EF is similar to that of a 60-degree bend structure. The applicable K value for calculating minor losses through the unit is 1.1.

For submerged conditions the applicable K value is 3.0.

Pollutant Capacity

Stormceptor EF / EFO	Model Diameter		Depth (Outlet Pipe Invert to Sump Floor)		Oil Volume		Recommended Sediment Maintenance Depth *		Maximum Sediment Volume *		Maximum Sediment Mass **	
	(m)	(ft)	(m)	(ft)	(L)	(Gal)	(mm)	(in)	(L)	(ft³)	(kg)	(lb)
EF4 / EFO4	1.2	4	1.52	5.0	265	70	203	8	1190	42	1904	5250
EF6 / EFO6	1.8	6	1.93	6.3	610	160	305	12	3470	123	5552	15375
EF8 / EFO8	2.4	8	2.59	8.5	1070	280	610	24	8780	310	14048	38750
EF10 / EFO10	3.0	10	3.25	10.7	1670	440	610	24	17790	628	28464	78500
EF12 / EFO12	3.6	12	3.89	12.8	2475	655	610	24	31220	1103	49952	137875

*Increased sump depth may be added to increase sediment storage capacity

** Average density of wet packed sediment in sump = 1.6 kg/L (100 lb/ft³)

Feature	Benefit	Feature Appeals To
Patent-pending enhanced flow treatment and scour prevention technology	Superior, verified third-party performance	Regulator, Specifying & Design Engineer
Third-party verified light liquid capture and retention for EFO version	Proven performance for fuel/oil hotspot locations	Regulator, Specifying & Design Engineer, Site Owner
Functions as bend, junction or inlet structure	Design flexibility	Specifying & Design Engineer
Minimal drop between inlet and outlet	Site installation ease	Contractor
Large diameter outlet riser for inspection and maintenance	Easy maintenance access from grade	Maintenance Contractor & Site Owner

STANDARD STORMCEPTOR EF/EFO DRAWINGS

For standard details, please visit <http://www.imbriumsystems.com/stormwater-treatment-solutions/stormceptor-ef>

STANDARD STORMCEPTOR EF/EFO SPECIFICATION

For specifications, please visit <http://www.imbriumsystems.com/stormwater-treatment-solutions/stormceptor-ef>

Stormceptor®EF Sizing Report

STANDARD PERFORMANCE SPECIFICATION FOR “OIL GRIT SEPARATOR” (OGS) STORMWATER QUALITY TREATMENT DEVICE

PART 1 – GENERAL

1.1 WORK INCLUDED

This section specifies requirements for selecting, sizing, and designing an underground Oil Grit Separator (OGS) device for stormwater quality treatment, with third-party testing results and a Statement of Verification in accordance with ISO 14034 Environmental Management – Environmental Technology Verification (ETV).

1.2 REFERENCE STANDARDS & PROCEDURES

ISO 14034:2016 Environmental management – Environmental technology verification (ETV)

Canadian Environmental Technology Verification (ETV) Program's **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators**

1.3 SUBMITTALS

1.3.1 All submittals, including sizing reports & shop drawings, shall be submitted upon request with each order to the contractor then forwarded to the Engineer of Record for review and acceptance. Shop drawings shall detail all OGS components, elevations, and sequence of construction.

1.3.2 Alternative devices shall have features identical to or greater than the specified device, including: treatment chamber diameter, treatment chamber wet volume, sediment storage volume, and oil storage volume.

1.3.3 Unless directed otherwise by the Engineer of Record, OGS stormwater quality treatment product substitutions or alternatives submitted within ten days prior to project bid shall not be accepted. All alternatives or substitutions submitted shall be signed and sealed by a local registered Professional Engineer, based on the exact same criteria detailed in Section 3, in entirety, subject to review and approval by the Engineer of Record.

PART 2 – PRODUCTS

2.1 OGS POLLUTANT STORAGE

The OGS device shall include a sump for sediment storage, and a protected volume for the capture and storage of petroleum hydrocarbons and buoyant gross pollutants. The minimum sediment & petroleum hydrocarbon storage capacity shall be as follows:

2.1.1	4 ft (1219 mm) Diameter OGS Units:	1.19 m ³ sediment / 265 L oil
	6 ft (1829 mm) Diameter OGS Units:	3.48 m ³ sediment / 609 L oil
	8 ft (2438 mm) Diameter OGS Units:	8.78 m ³ sediment / 1,071 L oil
	10 ft (3048 mm) Diameter OGS Units:	17.78 m ³ sediment / 1,673 L oil
	12 ft (3657 mm) Diameter OGS Units:	31.23 m ³ sediment / 2,476 L oil

PART 3 – PERFORMANCE & DESIGN

3.1 GENERAL

The OGS stormwater quality treatment device shall be verified in accordance with ISO 14034:2016 Environmental management – Environmental technology verification (ETV). The OGS stormwater quality treatment device shall

Stormceptor®EF Sizing Report

remove oil, sediment and gross pollutants from stormwater runoff during frequent wet weather events, and retain these pollutants during less frequent high flow wet weather events below the insert within the OGS for later removal during maintenance. The Manufacturer shall have at least ten (10) years of local experience, history and success in engineering design, manufacturing and production and supply of OGS stormwater quality treatment device systems, acceptable to the Engineer of Record.

3.2 SIZING METHODOLOGY

The OGS device shall be engineered, designed and sized to provide stormwater quality treatment based on treating a minimum of 90 percent of the average annual runoff volume and a minimum removal of an annual average 60% of the sediment (TSS) load based on the Particle Size Distribution (PSD) specified in the sizing report for the specified device. Sizing of the OGS shall be determined by use of a minimum ten (10) years of local historical rainfall data provided by Environment Canada. Sizing shall also be determined by use of the sediment removal performance data derived from the ISO 14034 ETV third-party verified laboratory testing data from testing conducted in accordance with the Canadian ETV protocol Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators, as follows:

3.2.1 Sediment removal efficiency for a given surface loading rate and its associated flow rate shall be based on sediment removal efficiency demonstrated at the seven (7) tested surface loading rates specified in the protocol, ranging 40 L/min/m² to 1400 L/min/m², and as stated in the ISO 14034 ETV Verification Statement for the OGS device.

3.2.2 Sediment removal efficiency for surface loading rates between 40 L/min/m² and 1400 L/min/m² shall be based on linear interpolation of data between consecutive tested surface loading rates.

3.2.3 Sediment removal efficiency for surface loading rates less than the lowest tested surface loading rate of 40 L/min/m² shall be assumed to be identical to the sediment removal efficiency at 40 L/min/m². No extrapolation shall be allowed that results in a sediment removal efficiency that is greater than that demonstrated at 40 L/min/m².

3.2.4 Sediment removal efficiency for surface loading rates greater than the highest tested surface loading rate of 1400 L/min/m² shall assume zero sediment removal for the portion of flow that exceeds 1400 L/min/m², and shall be calculated using a simple proportioning formula, with 1400 L/min/m² in the numerator and the higher surface loading rate in the denominator, and multiplying the resulting fraction times the sediment removal efficiency at 1400 L/min/m².

The OGS device shall also have sufficient annual sediment storage capacity as specified and calculated in Section 2.1.

3.3 CANADIAN ETV or ISO 14034 ETV VERIFICATION OF SCOUR TESTING

The OGS device shall have Canadian ETV or ISO 14034 ETV Verification of third-party scour testing conducted in accordance with the Canadian ETV Program's **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators**.

3.3.1 To be acceptable for on-line installation, the OGS device must demonstrate an average scour test effluent concentration less than 10 mg/L at each surface loading rate tested, up to and including 2600 L/min/m².

3.4 LIGHT LIQUID RE-ENTRAINMENT SIMULATION TESTING

The OGS device shall have Canadian ETV or ISO 14034 ETV Verification of completed third-party Light Liquid Re-entrainment Simulation Testing in accordance with the Canadian ETV **Program's Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators**, with results reported within the Canadian ETV or ISO 14034 ETV verification. This re-entrainment testing is conducted with the device pre-loaded with low density polyethylene (LDPE) plastic beads as a surrogate for light liquids such as oil and fuel. Testing is conducted on the same OGS unit tested for sediment removal to

Stormceptor® EF Sizing Report

assess whether light liquids captured after a spill are effectively retained at high flow rates.

3.4.1 For an OGS device to be an acceptable stormwater treatment device on a site where vehicular traffic occurs and the potential for an oil or fuel spill exists, the OGS device must have reported verified performance results of greater than 99% cumulative retention of LDPE plastic beads for the five specified surface loading rates (ranging 200 L/min/m² to 2600 L/min/m²) in accordance with the Light Liquid Re-entrainment Simulation Testing within the Canadian ETV Program's **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators**. However, an OGS device shall not be allowed if the Light Liquid Re-entrainment Simulation Testing was performed with screening components within the OGS device that are effective at retaining the LDPE plastic beads, but would not be expected to retain light liquids such as oil and fuel.

APPENDIX C

Wastewater Servicing



URBANTECH®

WASTEWATER DEMAND CALCULATIONS

Project Name: 42 Port
Municipality: City of Mississauga
Project No.: 22-292

Prepared by: J.P.O
Checked by: B.M
Last Revised: 22-Nov-22

Proposed Conditions

Residential

	# of Units	PPU
Small Apartments (<750 sq ft) =	125	1.6
Large Apartment (>750 sq ft) =	88	3
Townhouses =	8	3.3

Total Units = 221
Population = 491 persons

Harmon Peak Factor for Site, Me = $(1+14/(4+P^{0.5}))$
3.98
Unit Sewage Flow = 302.8 L/person/day
Domestic Sewage Flow = 6.85 L/s

Retail

Population Density = 50 p/ha
Area = 0.19 ha
Population = 9 persons
Unit Sewage Flow = 302.8 L/person/day
Domestic Sewage Flow = 0.03 L/s

Site Area = 0.55 ha
Infiltration Allowance = 0.20 L/s/ha
Total Infiltration = 0.11 L/s

Total wastewater flow =	6.99	L/s
-------------------------	------	-----



Connection Multi Use Demand Table

WATER CONNECTION

Connection point ³⁾			
Elizabeth Street South and Port Street East			
Pressure zone of connection point		Zone 1	
Total equivalent population to be serviced ¹⁾		500	
Total lands to be serviced		0.55 ha	
Hydrant flow test			
	Hydrant flow test location		
Hydrant flow test to be conducted and provided to the Region			
	Pressure (kPa)	Flow (in l/s)	Time
Minimum water pressure			
Maximum water pressure			

No.	Water demands			
	Demand type	Demand (in l/s)		
		Use 1 ⁵⁾	Use 2 ⁵⁾	Total
1	Average day flow	1.6	0.03	1.63
2	Maximum day flow	3.18	0.05	3.23
3	Peak hour flow	4.77	0.1	4.87
4	Fire flow ²⁾			116.7
Analysis				
5	Maximum day plus fire flow			121.57

WASTEWATER CONNECTION

			Total
Connection point ⁴⁾		Residential	Retail
Total equivalent population to be serviced ¹⁾		491	9
Total lands to be serviced			0.55 ha
6	Wastewater sewer effluent (in l/s)	6.85	0.03
			6.99

Including infiltration

¹⁾ The calculations should be based on the development estimated population (employment and/or residential).

²⁾ Please reference the Fire Underwriters Survey Document

³⁾ Please specify the connection point ID

⁴⁾ Please specify the connection point (wastewater line or manhole ID)
Also, the "total equivalent population to be serviced" and the "total lands to be serviced" should reference the connection point. (The FSR should contain one copy of Site Servicing Plan)

⁵⁾ Please complete as many uses are necessary for the development.
(Please specify the use)

Please include the graphs associated with the hydrant flow test information table
Please provide Professional Engineer's signature and stamp on the demand table
All required calculations must be submitted with the demand table submission.

APPENDIX D

Water Servicing

WATER DEMAND CALCULATIONS

Project Name: 42 Port
Municipality: City of Mississauga
Project No.: 22-292

Prepared by: J.P.O
Checked by:
Last Revised: 5-Dec-22

Fire Flow Calculations

Based on the *Water Supply for Public Fire Protection, 1999* by Fire Underwriters Survey

1 Estimate of Fire Flow

$$F = 220 C (A)^{1/2}$$

F = Fire Flow (L/min)

C = Construction Type Coefficient

= 0.6 ,for fire-resistive construction (fully
protected frame, floors, roof)

A = Total flow area (m²)

= If vertical openings and exterior vertical communications are properly
protected (one hour rating),
Largest Floor + 25% of two immediately adjoining floors

Building 1

Floor	Area (m ²)	%
Level 4	2,261	25%
Level 5	2,261	100%
Level 6	2,261	25%

$$= 3392 \text{ m}^2$$

$$F = 7687 \text{ L/min}$$

$$= 8000 \text{ L/min, rounded to the nearest 1000 L/min}$$

WATER DEMAND CALCULATIONS

Project Name: 42 Port
Municipality: City of Mississauga
Project No.: 22-292

Prepared by: J.P.O
Checked by:
Last Revised: 5-Dec-22

2 Occupancy Reduction

F = 15% for low hazard occupancies (apartments)
 6800 L/min

3 Sprinkler Reduction

F = 30% for adequately designed sprinkler protection
 conforming to NFPA 13 and other NFPA sprinkler
 standards
 4760 L/min

4 Separation Charge

Direction	Separation (m)	Charge
North	31.0	5%
West	33.0	5%
South		
East	0.0	25%

Total Charge = 35%
 F = 2380 L/min

Required Fire Flow

F = 7140 L/min
 = 7000 L/min, rounded to the nearest 1000 L/min

Fire Flow Demand =	116.7 L/s
=	1849 USGPM

WATER DEMAND CALCULATIONS

Project Name: 42 Port
Municipality: City of Mississauga
Project No.: 22-292

Prepared by: J.P.O
Checked by:
Last Revised: 5-Dec-22

Domestic Flow Calculations

Residential Population =	491 persons, from Sanitary Calculations
Residential Average Day Demand =	280 L/person/day, from Region of Peel design criteria
=	1.6 L/s
Commercial Population =	9 persons, from Sanitary Calculations
Commercial Average Day Demand =	300 L/employee/day, from Region of Peel design criteria
=	0.03 L/s

Use Peaking Factor the Greater of

Residential Max Daily Demand PF =	2 , from Region of Peel design criteria
Commercial Max Daily Demand PF =	1.4 , from Region of Peel design criteria
Max Daily Demand =	3.23 L/s

or

Max Peak Hour PF =	3 , from Region of Peel design criteria
Max Peak Hour Demand =	4.87 L/s


Domestic Flow Demand =	4.87 L/s
=	77 USGPM

CITY OF MISSISSAUGA

42 PORT STREET EAST

91-93, 99 LAKESHORE ROAD EAST & 42 PORT STREET EAST

ISSUED FOR 1ST SUMBISSION - DEC. 06, 2022



LIST OF DRAWINGS

- NOTES
100 - GENERAL NOTES
- GENERAL PLANS
101 - SERVICING PLAN
102 - REMOVAL PLAN
- GRADING PLANS
201 - GRADING PLAN
- DRAINAGE PLANS
301 - STORM DRAINAGE PLAN
302 - SANITARY DRAINAGE PLAN
- STANDARD DETAILS
401 - DETAILS
- COMPOSITE UTILITY PLANS
XXX
- EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PLANS
701 - EROSION & SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN
702 - EROSION & SEDIMENT CONTROL DETAILS

LEGEND:

- LIMIT OF PROPERTY
- GRADING PLAN

CITY FILE No. :



Urbantech® Consulting
A Division of Leighton-Zec West Ltd.
2030 Bristol Circle, Suite 105
Oakville, ON. L6H 0H2
TEL 905.829.8818 • urbantech.com



OWNER :
CENTRE CITY CAPITAL FRAM +
SLOKKER KILMER INFRASTRUCTURE

GENERAL NOTES

STORM SEWERS:

- ALL CONCRETE PIPE SMALLER THAN 450mm DIAMETER SHALL BE C-14, CLASS 2, CONCRETE PIPE 450mm DIAMETER AND LARGER SHALL BE C-76, CLASS 65-D, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
- ALL POLYVINYL CHLORIDE (PVC) PIPE SHALL MEET THE C.S.A. REQUIREMENTS AS NOTED WITHIN OPSS. 1841. THE PIPE MATERIAL SHALL HAVE A CELL CLASSIFICATION OF 12454-B OR 12454-C OR ASTM. STD. D-3034 & OPSS. 1841.
- ALL CONCRETE SEWER PIPES SHALL HAVE RUBBER GASKET JOINTS.
- CLASS "B" BEDDING IS TO BE USED AS PER CITY STANDARD 2112.08 SEWER BEDDING AND COVER MATERIAL SHALL CONFIRM WITH CITY STANDARDS 2112.09 AND 2112.10. IF WATER IS PRESENT IN THE TRENCH EXCAVATION THEN 19mm. CLEAR STONE IS TO BE USED FOR BEDDING IN ACCORDANCE WITH CITY STANDARD 2112.11 AND 2112.14 RESPECTIVELY. WHERE WET OR SOFT TRENCH SUBGRADE CONDITIONS ARE ENCOUNTERED, FURTHER ON-SITE GEOTECHNICAL ASSESSMENT MAY BE REQUIRED TO DETERMINE THE APPROPRIATE BEDDING IN ORDER TO STABILIZE THE SUBGRADE FOR SEWER CONSTRUCTION.
- MANHOLE STEPS SHALL BE AS PER OPSD. 405.010.
- MANHOLE COVERS AND FRAMES SHALL BE AS PER OPSD. 401.010.
- SINGLE CATCHBASINS WITHIN ROAD ALLOWANCES SHALL BE AS PER OPSD. 705.010, WITH A 250mm DIAMETER LEAD, DOUBLE CATCHBASINS WITHIN ROAD ALLOWANCES SHALL BE AS PER OPSD. 705.020, WITH A 300mm DIAMETER LEAD.
- ALL CATCHBASIN FRAME AND GRATES SHALL BE AS PER OPSD. 400.020.
- THE TRENCH WIDTH AT THE TOP OF PIPE SHALL BE AS PER STD. 2112.08. IF THE MAXIMUM TRENCH WIDTH IS EXCEEDED, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR SUPPLYING EXTRA BEDDING AND/OR STRONGER PIPE AS REQUIRED.
- ALL STORM SEWER AND APPURTENANCES SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH CURRENT CITY OF MISSISSAUGA STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS.
- STORM SERVICE CONNECTION IS TO BE ON THE LEFT OF SANITARY SERVICE FACING THE HOUSE. (EXCEPT AS NOTED)
- SERVICE CONNECTION AT THE STREET LINE IS TO BE HIGHER THAN THE SANITARY CONNECTION AT THAT POINT.
- ALL CATCHBASINS ARE TO BE PLACED ON GRANULAR BEDDING (MINIMUM DEPTH 150mm).
- TRENCH BACKFILLING ON PROPOSED ROADS SHALL WITH CITY'S ENGINEERING POLICY STATEMENT AS PROVIDED IN THE "DEVELOPMENT REQUIREMENTS MANUAL" (SECTION 4.02.06-TRENCH BACKFILLING ON ROADS). TRENCH BACKFILL SHALL BE COMPACTED TO A MINIMUM OF 95% S.P.D. WITHIN 2.0% OF THE OPTIMUM CONTENT.
- SAND BACKFILLING IS REQUIRED ADJACENT TO MANHOLES, CATCHBASINS AND SERVICE CROSSING.

GENERAL:

- ANY RELOCATION OF EXISTING UTILITIES REQUIRED BY THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE SUBJECT LANDS, IS TO BE UNDERTAKEN AT DEVELOPER'S EXPENSE.
- ALL UNDERGROUND SERVICE CONNECTIONS WITHIN PAVED PORTION OF ANY EXISTING ROAD TO BE BACKFILLED WITH UNSHRINKABLE FILL TO THE LATEST CITY OF MISSISSAUGA OR REGION OF PEEL SPECIFICATIONS.
- SNOW FENCE AND SEDIMENT TRAP CONTROL FENCE ARE TO BE INSTALLED PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF ANY SITE CONSTRUCTION AND SHALL REMAIN IN PLACE AND IN GOOD REPAIR THROUGHOUT THE CONSTRUCTION AND GRADING PHASES.
- PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION, SNOW FENCING IS TO BE ERECTED ALONG THE PROPERTY BOUNDARIES ADJACENT TO ALL EXISTING RESIDENTIAL LOTS, PARKS AND ALL EXISTING SCHOOL BLOCKS.
- THE LOCATION AND ELEVATION OF ALL EXISTING SERVICES AND UTILITIES ARE TO BE VERIFIED IN THE FIELD BY THE CONTRACTOR. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE RESTORATION TO THE REPAIR OF EXISTING UTILITIES DISTURBED DURING CONSTRUCTION.
- ALL AREAS BEYOND THE PLAN OF SUBDIVISION WHICH ARE DISTURBED DURING CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE RESTORED TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE AUTHORITY HAVING JURISDICTION AT THE CONTRACTOR'S EXPENSE.
- ALL CONSTRUCTION SIGNING MUST CONFORM TO THE M.T.O. MANUAL OF "UNIFORM TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES".
- ALL WORK SHALL BE COMPLETED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE "OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY ACT". THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR SHALL BE DEEMED TO BE THE CONSTRUCTOR AS DEFINED IN THE ACT.

BOREHOLES:

- BOREHOLE LOGS SHOWN ARE FOR GENERAL INFORMATION ONLY AND LOCATIONS ARE APPROXIMATE. CONTRACTOR IS TO VERIFY AND SATISFY HIMSELF AS TO THE NATURE OF THE SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS.

ROADWORKS:

- ALL FILL WITHIN ROAD ALLOWANCE TO BE COMPACTED TO A MINIMUM OF 95% STANDARD PROCTOR DENSITY. THE SUITABILITY AND COMPACTION OF ALL FILL MATERIALS ARE TO BE CONFIRMED BY A RECOGNIZED SOIL CONSULTANT TO THE CITY ENGINEER PRIOR TO THE INSTALLATION OF ANY ROAD BASE MATERIALS.
- ALL CONNECTIONS WITHIN PAVED PORTION OF ANY EXISTING ROAD TO BE BACKFILLED WITH GRANULAR MATERIAL AND/OR UNSHRINKABLE FILL AS PER THE LATEST OF CITY OF MISSISSAUGA STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS.
- TRENCH BACKFILLING ON PROPOSED ROADS SHALL COMPLY WITH THE CITY'S ENGINEERING POLICY STATEMENTS PROVIDED IN THE "DEVELOPMENT REQUIREMENTS MANUAL" (SECTION 4.02.06 - TRENCH BACKFILLING ON ROADS).
 - ALL BACKFILL FOR SEWERS, WATERMAINS AND UTILITIES WITHIN ROAD ALLOWANCE SHALL BE COMPACTED TO 95% STANDARD PROCTOR DENSITY WITHIN 2% OF THE OPTIMUM MOISTURE CONTENT.
 - THE TOP 100mm OF THE SUB-GRADE IS TO BE COMPACTED TO A MINIMUM 98% STANDARD PROCTOR DENSITY WITHIN 2% OF THE OPTIMUM MOISTURE CONTENT.
- ALL ROADWORKS SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CITY OF MISSISSAUGA STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS.
- ALL INTERSECTING ROADS SHALL BE PROVIDED WITH AN ADDITIONAL 150mm THICKNESS OF OPSS. GRANULAR "B". THIS EXTRA DEPTH SHALL EXTEND FOR A MINIMUM OF 15m BEYOND PROPERTY LINE OF INTERSECTING STREET , AS NOTED.
- SUB-DRAINS ARE TO BE INSTALLED AS PER CITY STANDARD 2220.04 ALONG THE ENTIRE LENGTH OF THE ROAD.

- PAVEMENT THICKNESS AND COMPOSITION TO BE AS SHOWN ON INDIVIDUAL PLAN AND PROFILE DRAWINGS.
- CONCRETE CURB & GUTTER OPSD. 600.070.
- SAND BACKFILL IS TO BE USED ADJACENT TO MANHOLES, CATCHBASINS AND SERVICE CROSSINGS.

EXISTING WATERCOURSE/GREENBELT:

- PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF ANY GRADING OR CONSTRUCTION, TEMPORARY SNOW FENCE AND SILT FENCE TO BE ERECTED ALONG ALL LOTS AND BLOCKS ADJACENT TO THE EXISTING WATERCOURSE/GREENBELT, PARKS AND MAINTAINED UNTIL COMPLETION OF CONSTRUCTION.
- NO STOCKPILES OF FILL MATERIAL ARE TO BE PLACED WITHIN 10.0m OF THE EXISTING WATERCOURSE BLOCK.

TOPSOIL STOCKPILE PROTECTION:

ALL TOPSOIL STOCKPILE CONTAINING MORE THAN 100m³ OF MATERIAL SHALL BE LOCATED A MINIMUM OF 10m AWAY FROM A ROADWAY, DRAINAGE CHANNEL OR AN OCCUPIED RESIDENTIAL LOT. THE MAXIMUM SLOPE SLOPES FOR TOPSOIL STOCKPILES SHALL BE 1.5 HORIZONTAL TO 1.0 VERTICAL.

RUNOFF FROM ALL TOPSOIL STOCKPILES SHALL BE CONTROLLED BY A SEDIMENT CONTROL FENCE OR OTHER APPROVED DEVICES. IF REMAINING FOR MORE THAN 30 DAYS, TOPSOIL STOCKPILES SHALL BE STABILIZED BY VEGETATIVE COVER, OR OTHER MEANS.

REGION OF PEEL

GENERAL NOTES:

- THE APPLICANT, APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE, CONSULTANT, CONTRACTOR AND SUB CONTRACTORS ARE RESPONSIBLE TO ENSURE THAT THEIR DESIGN MATERIALS AND CONSTRUCTION PRACTICES CONFORM TO THE LATEST REGION OF PEEL'S WEBSITE (www.peelregion.ca/pw/standards). IN THE ABSENCE OF REGION SPECIFICATIONS, THE ONTARIO PROVINCIAL STANDARDS SPECIFICATIONS (OPSS) SHALL APPLY.
- ALL WORKS SHALL BE COMPLETED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE "OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY ACT". THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR SHALL BE DEEMED TO BE THE CONSTRUCTOR AS DEFINED IN THE ACT.
- THE CONTRACTOR AT THEIR EXPENSE SHALL VERIFY THE LOCATION, DIMENSION AND ELEVATION OF ALL EXISTING SERVICES AND UTILITIES IN THE FIELD.
- PRIOR TO EXCAVATION OR BORING CONTRACTOR AT THEIR EXPENSE SHALL EXPOSE AND VERIFY THE LOCATION AND ELEVATION OF ALL EXISTING UTILITIES AND SERVICES TO BE CROSSED AND MUST NOTIFY THE DESIGN ENGINEER AND THE AGENCY FIELD INSPECTOR AND/OR PROJECT MANAGER IMMEDIATELY, IN WRITING, OF ANY CONFLICTS OR DISCREPANCIES. CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR EXPOSING THE EXISTING UTILITIES FAR ENOUGH IN ADVANCE OF CONSTRUCTION TO MAKE NECESSARY DESIGN MODIFICATIONS FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL. IF REQUIRED, WITHOUT DELAYING THE WORK. THE CONTRACTOR, AT THEIR EXPENSE AND TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE REGION OF PEEL, SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE RESTORATION AND THE REPAIR OF THE EXISTING UTILITIES AND ALL AREAS BEYOND THE PLAN OF SUBDIVISION DISTURBED DURING CONSTRUCTION.
- THE SUPPORT OF ALL UTILITIES SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE AUTHORITY HAVING JURISDICTION.
- ALL BACKFILL FOR SEWERS, WATERMAINS AND UTILITIES ON THE ROAD ALLOWANCE MUST BE MECHANICALLY COMPACTED.
- ALL BOREHOLES SHOWN ON DRAWING ARE FOR INFORMATION ONLY. REFER TO GEOTECHNICAL REPORT.
- ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN METRES UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED.

WATERMAIN NOTES:

- THE REGION OF PEEL SHALL CONDUCT THE OPERATION OF EXISTING VALVES AND HYDRANTS IF REQUIRED.
- CONTRACTOR MUST USE BATTER BOARD OR ROD-AND-LEVEL METHOD FOR WATERMAIN INSTALLATION.
- ALL WATERMAINS SHALL HAVE 1.70m MINIMUM COVER FOR URBAN ROAD DESIGN AND 2.1m MINIMUM COVER FOR RURAL ROAD DESIGN.
- ALL WATERMAINS SHALL MAINTAIN A MINIMUM 1.5m CLEARANCE FROM ALL MANHOLES AND CATCH BASINS, WHERE APPLICABLE.
- FOR WATERMAIN CROSSING OVER OR UNDER SEWERS A MINIMUM 0.5m VERTICAL CLEARANCE SHALL BE PROVIDED.
- FOR WATERMAIN CROSSING A SANITARY SEWER, WATERMAIN JOINTS ARE TO BE OFFSET A MINIMUM OF 2.5m HORIZONTALLY FROM THE CENTERLINE OF THE SANITARY SEWER.
- WATERMAIN BEDDING SHOULD BE AS PER TRENCH DETAIL ON THE PLAN AND PROFILE DRAWING AND COMPACTED TO 100% SPD.
- WATERMAINS TO BE INSTALLED TO GRADES AS SHOWN ON APPROVED PLANS, COPY OF GRADE SHEET MUST BE SUPPLIED TO THE REGION OF PEEL INSPECTOR PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORK.
- ANY JOINT DEFLECTION SHALL BE 50% OF MANUFACTURER'S SPECIFICATIONS. PIPE BARREL DEFLECTION IS PROHIBITED.
- FIRE HYDRANTS TO BE INSTALLED AS PER REGION STD. DWG. 1-6-1 AND 1-6-2 WITH FLANGE SET BETWEEN 50mm AND 150mm ABOVE FINISHED GRADE.
- ALL HYDRANTS SHALL HAVE 1.2m MINIMUM HORIZONTAL CLEARANCE FROM ALL OTHER UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES MEASURED FROM THE NEAREST POINT OF THE STRUCTURE.
- MECHANICAL RESTRAINTS ARE REQUIRED FOR ALL FITTINGS, VALVES, DEAD ENDS, CAPS AND HYDRANTS ON ALL PVC WATERMAINS; MINIMUM RESTRAINED PIPE LENGTH AS PER REGION'S STANDARD DRAWING 1-5-9.
- STAINLESS STEEL NUTS AND BOLTS ARE TO BE USED ON ALL METALLIC FITTINGS AND JOINT RESTRAINTS.
- ALL METALLIC VALVES, FITTINGS, THROUGH WALL METAL PIPING AND JOINT RESTRAINTS TO BE C/W. DENSIO PASTE, DENSIO MASTIC & DENSIO TAPE OR APPROVED EQUAL APPLIED TO MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS.
- WHERE PLASTIC PIPE IS USED, INSTALL A 12 GAUGE TWO STRANDED COPPER, LIGHT COLOURED, PLASTIC COATED TRACER WIRE ATTACHED TO THE PIPE WITH APPROVED WIRE SPLICE. THE WIRE SHOULD BE BROUGHT TO THE SURFACE AT EACH SERVICE & VALVE BOX AND HYDRANT VALVES.
- 50mm DIAMETER WATERMAIN SHALL BE TYPE K SOFT COPPER. WATERMAIN INSTALLATION IN CUL-DE-SACS TO BE INSTALLED AS PER REGION STD. DWG. 1-7-4.
- A PHYSICAL SEPARATION MUST BE MAINTAINED AT ALL CONNECTION POINTS OF NEW WATERMAIN TO THE EXISTING SYSTEM UNTIL BACTERIOLOGICAL TESTS HAVE PASSED, AS PER STD. DWG. 1-7-7 AND 1-7-8.
- PROVISION FOR FLUSHING OF NEW WATERMAINS PRIOR TO TESTING MUST BE PROVIDED WITH AT LEAST A 50mm OUTLET ON WATERMAINS SMALLER THAN 300mm IN DIAMETER, AND MINIMUM 100mm OUTLET ON WATERMAINS 300mm AND LARGER. COPPER WATERMAINS ARE TO HAVE FLUSHING POINTS AT THE END, THE SAME SIZE AS THE WATERMAIN, AS PER STD. DWG. 1-7-7 AND 1-7-8.
- ALL SERVICE CONNECTIONS TO PVC PIPES ARE TO BE MADE USING APPROVED WIDE BAND SERVICE SADDLE. DIRECT TAPPING IS NOT ALLOWED.

- ALL WATER SERVICES SHALL BE MINIMUM 25mm DIA NOMINAL COPPER PIPE SIZE OR 32mm DIA. POLYETHYLENE PIPE. IN GENERAL, NON METALLIC SERVICES SHALL BE ONE SIZE LARGER THAN THE NOMINAL COPPER PIPE SIZE AS PER LATEST APPROVED REGIONAL PRODUCT LIST AND SIZES C/W. TRACER WIRE.
- THE MINIMUM LATERAL DISTANCE BETWEEN WATER SERVICES AND OTHER UTILITIES SHALL BE 1.2m.
- ALL RESIDENTIAL WATER SERVICE BOXES/CURB STOPS SHALL BE INSTALLED WITHIN SODDED AREAS WITH MINIMUM DISTANCE OF 1.0 METRES FROM THE EDGE OF THE DRIVEWAY, BE FLUSH WITH GRADE AND ACCESSIBLE AT ALL TIME. VALVE AND BOXES SHALL BE CAST IRON SLIDING TYPE, COMPLETED WITH VALVE GUIDE PLATES INSTALLED AS PER REGION STD. 1-3-8 AND BOXES SHALL BE INSTALLED AS PER REGIONAL STD. 1-3-8. MAINLINE VALVES TO BE RESTRAINED AS PER REGION STD. 1-3-3A. VALVES SHALL OPEN TO THE LEFT (COUNTER-CLOCKWISE).
- ALL WATER SERVICES BOXES SHOULD BE "LEAD FREE" AS PER REGION'S MATERIAL SPECIFICATIONS.
- THE REGION WILL COMPLETE THE NECESSARY WATER TESTING (PRESSURE TEST, FLUSHING, CHLORINATION AND SAMPLING). CONTRACTOR MAY PROCEED WITH HIS OWN PRESSURE TEST AND FLUSHING PRIOR TO REGION'S TESTING.
- ALL METALLIC WATER PIPES INCLUDING 'K' COPPER WATER SERVICES, INSTALLED OR REPAIRED, SHALL HAVE ZINC ANODE AS PER REGION OF PEEL STANDARD 1-7-1, OPS422 AND OPSD 1109.01.1 AND TO CONFORM TO ASTM B-418 TYPE.
- WATERMAIN SERVICE SHALL BE BROUGHT ON SITE WITH MANUFACTURERS PLUGS AND STORED SO NO DEBRIS ENTER THE PIPE. THE CONTRACTOR IS NOT ALLOWED TO INSTALL ANY WATERMAIN UNTIL HE HAS A NIGHT PLUG ON SITE. THE NIGHT PLUG IS TO BE USED EVERY TIME WHEN WORK IS STOPPED.

WATERMAIN IN FILL AREA NOTES:

- NO WATERMAIN TO BE LAID ON FILL UNTIL THE FIELD DENSITY TEST REPORTS HAVE BEEN SUBMITTED TO AND APPROVED BY THE REGION OF PEEL OR THE CONSULTING ENGINEER.
- PIPE JOINTS DEFLECTIONS ARE NOT ALLOWED IN FILL AREA.
- JOINTS SHALL BE MECHANICALLY RESTRAINED THE WHOLE LENGTH.
- ALL HYDRANTS, TEE BRANCH VALVES AND HORIZONTAL BENDS ARE TO BE MECHANICALLY RESTRAINED WITH TIE RODS.
- IN EXISTING MUNICIPAL RIGHT-OF-WAY OR EASEMENT, FILL TO BE PLACED TO 600mm MINIMUM ABOVE THE OVERT OF THE WATERMAIN AND TO 300mm LIFTS; AND THEREAFTER, FOR EVERY 300mm LIFT ALONG THE CENTERLINE, AND 1.5m TO EITHER SIDE, OF WATERMAIN AT MAXIMUM INTERVAL OF 30.0m. TEST RESULTS MUST BE SUBMITTED TO AND APPROVED BY THE CONSULTANT OR AGENCY.

SANITARY SEWER NOTES:

- ALL SANITARY SEWER BEDDING AS PER STD. 2-3-1.
- MAINLINE SANITARY SEWER PIPE SIZE SHALL BE MINIMUM 250mm DIAMETER INSTALLED AT THE APPROVED DESIGN GRADE. PIPE CLASS AND APPURTENANCES AS PER REGION'S SPECIFICATIONS.
- ALL SEWERS CONSTRUCTED WITH GRADES 0.5% OR LESS SHALL BE APPROVED BY THE ENGINEER AND THE AGENCY PROJECT MANAGER OR DESIGNATED AND BE INSTALLED WITH LASSER AND CHECKED PRIOR TO BACKFILL.
- MINIMUM SANITARY SEWER PIPE SLOPE FOR LAG LASSER BE 1% AND DESIRABLE SLOPE 2%.
- ALL MANHOLES SHALL BE AS PER REGION STD. DWG. 2-5-2, 2-5-3, 2-5-4, 2-5-5 AND 2-5-6 AND BENCHING AS PER STD. DWG. 2-5-20.
- FRAME AND COVERS SHALL BE AS PER REGION STD. DWG. 2-5-13, 2-6-1 TO 2-6-8.
- MANHOLE STEPS OR LADDERS TO BE AS PER REGION STD. DWG. 2-6-9 TO 2-6-11.
- MANHOLES DEEPER THAN 5.0m MUST BE EQUIPPED WITH SAFETY PLATFORMS, AS PER STD. 2-6-13 AND 2-6-14.
- MANHOLE DROP STRUCTURES SHALL BE AS PER REGION STD. DWG. 2-5-26 AND 2-5-27.
- SANITARY SERVICE DIAMETERS:
 - SANITARY SERVICE SHALL BE LOWER THAN AND TO THE RIGHT OF THE STORM SERVICE AT THE PROPERTY LINE WHEN FACING THE LOT FROM THE STREET.
 - CONNECTIONS TO SEWERS SHALL BE MADE WITH MANUFACTURED TEES OR WYES WHERE APPLICABLE AND SHALL BE COLOUR CODED AS NON-WHITE, AS PER STD. DWG. 2-4-1, TO 2-4-7.

REGIONAL ROADS NOTES:

- CONSTRUCTION AND DETOUR SIGNAGE MUST CONFORM TO "MANUAL OF UNIFORM TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES" AND LATEST REVISION OF THE ONTARIO MINISTRY OF TRANSPORTATION "TRAFFIC CONTROL MANUAL FOR ROADWAY WORK OPERATIONS".
- ALL TEMPORARY SIGNAGE AND TRAFFIC CONTROL MEASURES SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS OF ONTARIO TRAFFIC MANUAL, BOOK 7 "TEMPORARY CONDITIONS" AND OPS SPECIFICATIONS AND STANDARD DRAWINGS.
- PAVEMENT MARKINGS MUST BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE ONTARIO TRAFFIC MANUAL, BOOK II "PAVEMENT HAZARD AND DELINEATION MARKINGS".
- THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY IN ADVANCE, AS REQUIRED, THE APPROPRIATE AUTHORITY HAVING JURISDICTION FOR THE ROAD PRIOR TO COMMENCING ANY WORK AND SHALL ACQUIRE THE REQUIREMENTS OF APPROPRIATE PERMITS (FEES, INSPECTIONS, SIGNAGE, TRAFFIC, MAINTENANCE, DIVERSION, ETC.).
- REGIONAL ROAD CLOSURE IS NOT PERMITTED AT ANY TIME UNLESS APPROVAL FROM REGIONAL COUNCIL WAS OBTAINED FOR THE WORKS, WHERE A MINIMUM TWO MONTH LEAD TIME IS REQUIRED, AS PER REGIONAL POLICY W30-12.
- WORK OPERATIONS THAT REQUIRE DIVERTING TRAFFIC TO ONE LANE ARE SUBJECT TO TIME RESTRICTIONS AND /OR NIGHT TIME OPERATIONS AS SPECIFIED IN ROAD OCCUPANCY PERMIT. THROUGH LANES MUST BE MINIMUM 3.5m, UNLESS OTHERWISE APPROVED.
- FOR TEMPORARY DELINEATION OF TRAFFIC IN OPPOSITE DIRECTIONS A YELLOW CENTRE LINE ON PAVEMENT MUST BE PAINTED. TRAFFIC CONTROL BARRELS (CONES) ARE NOT PERMITTED FOR THIS USE ON REGIONAL ROADS.
- NEW JERSEY BARRIERS (NJB) WITH CRASH ATTENUATION DEVICES MUST BE USED ON LONG TERM PROJECTS AS OPPOSED TO TRAFFIC CONTROL DELINEATORS (BARRELS).
- ACCESS TO EXISTING ENTRANCES AND SIDE STREETS, INCLUDING PEDESTRIAN ACCESS, SHALL BE MAINTAINED. ACCESS REQUIREMENTS MUST COMPLY WITH REGION OF PEEL CONTROLLED ACCESS BY-LAW.
- LOCATION OF EXISTING UTILITIES TO BE ESTABLISHED BY THE CONTRACTOR. ALL EXISTING UTILITY ELEVATIONS (SANITARY AND WATERMAIN) INCLUDING CENTRE LINE OF THE ROAD ELEVATIONS HAVE TO BE VERIFIED BY CONTRACTOR PRIOR TO COMMENCING ANY WORK ON SITE. ANY DISCREPANCIES SHALL BE REPORTED TO THE REGION IMMEDIATELY.
- THE CONTRACTOR(S) SHALL BE SOLELY RESPONSIBLE FOR LOCATING, SUPPORTING AND PROTECTING ALL UNDERGROUND AND OVERHEAD UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES EXISTING AT THE TIME OF CONSTRUCTION IN THE AREA OF HIS WORK, WHETHER SHOWN ON THE PLANS OR NOT, AND FOR ALL REPAIRS AND CONSEQUENCES RESULTING FROM DAMAGE TO SAME.
- THE CONTRACTOR(S) SHALL BE SOLELY RESPONSIBLE TO GIVE 72 HOURS WRITTEN NOTICE TO UTILITY AUTHORITY PRIOR TO CROSSING SUCH UTILITIES FOR THE PURPOSE OF INSPECTION. THIS INSPECTION WILL BE FOR THE DURATION OF CONSTRUCTION WITH THE CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL COSTS ARISING FROM SUCH INSPECTIONS.
- ALL ROAD BASE SHALL BE AS PER REGION OF PEEL STD. DWG. 5-1-1 AND 5-1-2.
- ASPHALT PRESERVATIVE SEALER SUCH AS RE-CLIMATE OR APPROVED EQUIVALENT SHALL BE APPLIED AFTER THE ONE-YEAR MAINTENANCE PERIOD FOR THE TOP COURSE ASPHALT.
- ALL EXISTING PAVEMENTS, CURBS, SIDEWALKS AND BOULEVARDS, AND OTHER AREAS DISTURBED BY THE WORK, TO BE REINSTATED EQUAL TO EXISTING AND TO THE SATISFACTION OF APPLICABLE AUTHORITY HAVING JURISDICTION OVER THE ROAD ALLOWANCE. EXISTING PAVEMENT AND CURBS TO BE SAW-CUT TO PROVIDE A SMOOTH JOINT.
- EROSION CONTROL MEASURES TO BE IMPLEMENTED AS REQUIRED.
- FOR ROAD PROJECTS THAT WILL NOT BE COMPLETED PRIOR TO THE END OF THE CONSTRUCTION SEASON, THE FOLLOWING WILL NEED TO BE CONSIDERED IN ORDER TO WINTERIZE THE CONSTRUCTION PROJECT TO ENSURE SAFE CONDITIONS DURING WINTER:
 - WHERE APPLICABLE, CURB AND GUTTER SECTIONS ARE TO BE COMPLETED, THE BASE COURSE ASPHALT SHALL BE IN PLACE.
 - CATCH BASINS AND MAINTENANCE HOLES SET TO EXISTING BASE GRADE.
 - STEEL PAVING NOT PERMITTED.
 - HOT MIX ASPHALT (HMA) ONLY.
 - LANE DELINEATION AND PAVEMENT MARKING COMPLETED.
 - WHERE NEW JERSEY BARRIERS USED, OFFSET NO LESS THAN 4.25m FROM EDGE OF TRAVELED LANE.
 - ROAD AND BOULEVARD MUST BE FREE OF OBSTRUCTIONS AND ACCOMMODATE SAFE SNOW PLOW OPERATION CONSIDERING THAT A WING AND PLOW IS 6m WIDE AND 1.52m SNOW STORAGE MINIMUM REQUIRED.
 - ALL CATCH BASIN GRATES SHALL BE SIDE INLET, OPSD 400.081 (LATEST VERSION) UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
 - WINTER SHUT-DOWN MEETINGS WITH THE REGION OF PEEL ROAD MAINTENANCE STAFF ARE REQUIRED PRIOR TO SEASONAL SHUT-DOWN AND SHALL BE ORGANIZED BY THE CONSULTANT OR PROJECT MANAGER OR DESIGNATE.

TRAFFIC SIGNS AND SIGNALS ON REGIONAL ROADS:

- ALL REQUIRED TRAFFIC SIGNS, WHETHER REGULATORY, WARNING, TEMPORARY OR GUIDE/DIRECTIONAL IN NATURE SHALL BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE STANDARDS SPECIFICATIONS AND LEGISLATION CONTAINED IN THE OTM MANUALS, THE HTA AND REGION OF PEEL TRAFFIC BY-LAW.
- ELECTRICAL WORKS SHALL CONFORM TO THE ONTARIO PROVINCIAL STANDARD DRAWINGS AND REGION OF PEEL STANDARD DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS.
- TRAFFIC CONTROLLERS MUST BE INSTALLED AS PER APPROVED LOCATIONS. EQUIPMENT MUST NOT ENCROACH ON PRIVATE PROPERTY WITHOUT PERMISSION TO ENTER, EASEMENT, PERMANENT OR TEMPORARY UNDERTAKINGS.

GENERAL REFERENCES FOR DRAWINGS:
REGION OF PEEL STANDARD DRAWINGS DELETED - NOVEMBER 2011 REVISION AND APRIL 2014 REVISION


DRAWING NUMBER	DRAWING TITLE	ISSUED DATE	REGION OF PEEL STANDARD DRAWING	DELETED DATE
1-1-1	CIRCULAR PRECAST CHAMBER	MAY 2009	1-1-5, 1-7-7	
1-1-2	SMALL CAST-IN-PLACE CHAMBER	MAY 2009	1-1-6	
1-1-3	TRAPPIED IN-PAV. VALVE AND CHAMBER (CAST-IN-PLACE)	MAY 2009	1-1-6, 1-3-2 TO 1-3-40	
1-1-4	RECTANGULAR PRECAST CHAMBER	MAY 2009	1-1-6	
1-2-1	STANDARD HEAVY DUTY FRAME AND COVER	MAY 2009		401.020
1-2-2	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		405.000
1-3-2	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009	1-4-8	
1-3-3	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-4	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-5	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-6	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-7	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-8	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-9	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-10	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-11	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-12	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-13	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-14	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-15	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-16	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-17	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-18	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-19	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-20	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-21	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-22	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-23	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-24	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-25	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-26	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-27	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-28	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-29	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-30	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-31	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-32	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-33	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-34	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-35	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-36	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-37	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-38	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-39	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-40	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-41	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-42	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-43	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-44	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-45	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-46	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-47	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-48	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-49	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-50	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-51	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-52	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-53	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-54	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-55	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-56	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-57	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-58	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-59	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-60	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-61	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-62	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-63	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-64	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-65	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-66	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-67	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-68	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-69	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-70	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-71	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-72	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-73	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-74	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-75	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-76	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-77	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-78	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-79	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-80	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-81	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-82	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-83	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-84	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-85	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-86	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-87	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-88	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-89	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-90	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-91	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-92	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-93	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM	MAY 2009		
1-3-94	STANDARD CHAMBER STEPS ALUMINUM			

EXISTING SANITARY STRUCTURE TABLE	
STRUCTURE:	INVERTS:
EX.MH 1A	SE 77.364
EX.MH 2A	NW 76.714 E 76.654
EX.MH 3A	N 76.594
EX.MH 4A	NE 77.634
EX.MH 5A	NE 77.054
EX.MH 6A	NE 77.054


EXISTING STORM STRUCTURE TABLE	
STRUCTURE:	INVERTS:
EX.CB1	SE 78.524 W 78.564
EX.CB2	NW 77.694 S 77.694
EX.MH 1	N 77.694 SW 77.694
EX.MH 2	NE 76.784 SW 73.074
EX.MH27	NW 77.294 SE 77.294

SANITARY STRUCTURE TABLE				
STRUCTURE:	DETAILS:	STANDARD:	TOP ELEV.:	INVERTS:
MH2A	1200 mm MH	(OPSD 701.010)	TOP = 80.14	NE 77.25 SW 77.27
MH3A	1200 mm MH	(OPSD 701.010)	TOP = 78.27	NE 77.21 SE 77.09 NW 77.09
PLUG1A				SW 77.35

STORM STRUCTURE TABLE				
STRUCTURE:	DETAILS:	STANDARD:	TOP ELEV.:	INVERTS:
EX.CB2			TOP = 79.22	N 77.70
MH1	1200 mm MH	(OPSD 701.010)	TOP = 79.58	NE 78.03 NW 77.95 NW 77.98 SW 77.80
MH3	1200 mm MH	(OPSD 701.010)	TOP = 79.54	NE 77.78 S 77.73
OGS2	1200 mm MH	(OPSD 701.010)	TOP = 79.61	SE 77.94 SE 77.89
PLUG1				SW 78.04



NOTE: FINAL DRAWING TO BE
UPDATED BASED ON FINAL SITE
PLAN AND LANDSCAPE CONCEPT



LEGEND	
	STORM SEWER AND MANHOLE
	SANITARY SEWER AND MANHOLE
	EXISTING STORM SEWER AND MANHOLE
	EXISTING SANITARY SEWER AND MANHOLE
	SINGLE CATCH BASIN
	EXISTING SINGLE CATCH BASIN
	DETECTOR CHECK VALVE IN CHAMBER (AS PER R.S.D. 1-3-1)
	CHECK VALVE IN CHAMBER (AS PER R.S.D. 1-1-5)
	VALVE AND BOX
	EXISTING VALVE AND BOX
	EXISTING MONITORING WELL
	LIMIT OF UNDERGROUND LEVELS
	LIMIT OF BUILDING GROUND FLOORS
	SHAFT
	PROPOSED ASPHALT SAW CUT (TYP.) (REFER TO DWG. 401)
	EXISTING OVERHEAD WIRES
	EXISTING FENCE
	EXISTING CENTERLINE OF ROAD
	EXISTING UNDERGROUND HYDRO
	EXISTING UNDERGROUND TELEPHONE LINE
	EXISTING TREE
	LIMIT OF PROPERTY
	PROPOSED CURB CUT
	PROPOSED TRANSFORMER
	CONCRETE SIDEWALK / WALKWAY
	UNIT PAVERS
	SPECIAL PATIO PAVING
	BOLLARD

ELEVATION NOTE
ELEVATIONS ARE GEODETIC AND ARE REFERRED TO CITY OF MISSISSAUGA BENCHMARKS
No. 379 ELEVATION = 82.77m
No. 153 ELEVATION = 78.34m

BENCHMARK NOTE
#1 IN WALL LOCATED ON THE SOUTH SIDE OF PORT STREET OPPOSITE THE SOUTH EAST CORNER OF THE SUBJECT PROPERTY.
ELEVATION = 78.34m
#2 IN WALL LOCATED AT THE SOUTH WEST INTERSECTION OF PORT STREET AND ELIZABETH STREET.
ELEVATION = 78.34m

TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY PREPARED BY J.D. BARNES LIMITED, AUGUST 30, 2022.

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ISSUED FOR OPA & REZONING SUBMISSION DATE DEC. 06, 2022	<input type="checkbox"/> SECOND DATE	<input type="checkbox"/> THIRD DATE	<input type="checkbox"/> FINAL DATE
--	--------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	-------------------------------------



URBANTECH® Consulting
A Division of Leighton Zee West Ltd.
2030 Bristol Circle, Suite 105
Oakville, ON L6H 0H2
TEL 905.829.8818 • urbantech.com

42 PORT STREET EAST & 91-93, 99 LAKESHORE ROAD EAST




Region of Peel
working with you

MISSISSAUGA















SERVICING PLAN

REGION FILE No. XXX		CITY FILE No. XXX	
SCALE: 1:300	AREA: Z-08-CS/D	PROJECT No. 22-292	
DRAWN BY: X.S.	CHECKED BY: R.M./R.B.T.M	PLAN No. 101	
DATE: DEC. 06, 2022	SHEET OF C-		

File: P:\PROJECTS\22-292 - 42 PORT ST. (PRAM)\DRAWINGS\100 - COVER & GENERAL\101 SERVICING PLAN.DWG



LEGEND

- | | |
|---|---|
|  | EXISTING STORM SEWER AND MANHOLE |
|  | EXISTING SANITARY SEWER AND MANHOLE |
|  | EXISTING SINGLE CATCHBASIN |
|  | EXISTING VALVE AND BOX |
|  | EXISTING MONITORING WELL |
|  | EXISTING OVERHEAD WIRES |
|  | EXISTING FENCE |
|  | EXISTING CENTERLINE OF ROAD |
|  | EXISTING UNDERGROUND HYDRO |
|  | EXISTING UNDERGROUND TELEPHONE LINE |
|  | EXISTING TREE |
|  | EXISTING TREE TO BE REMOVED |
|  | LIMIT OF PROPERTY |
|  | PROPOSED ASPHALT SAW CUT (TYP.)
(REFER TO DWG-401) |

ELEVATION NOTE

ELEVATIONS ARE GEODETIC AND ARE REFERRED TO CITY OF MISSISSAUGA BENCHMARKS

BENCHMARK NOTE

#1 PK NAIL LOCATED ON THE SOUTH SIDE OF PORT STREET OPPOSITE THE SOUTH EAST CORNER OF THE SUBJECT PROPERTY.

#2 PK NAIL LOCATED AT THE SOUTH WEST INTERSECTION OF PORT STREET AND ELIZABETH STREET.

TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY PREPARED BY J.D. BARNES LIMITED, AUGUST 30, 2022.

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ISSUED FOR OPA & REZONING SUBMISSION DATE DEC. 06, 2022	<input type="checkbox"/> SECOND DATE	<input type="checkbox"/> THIRD DATE	<input type="checkbox"/> FINAL DATE
--	--------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	-------------------------------------



Urbantech® Consulting
A Division of Leighton-Zec West Ltd.
2030 Bristol Circle, Suite 105
Oakville, ON, L6H 0H2

TEL 905.829.8818 • urbantech.com

TEL 905.829.8818 • urbantech.com

**42 PORT STREET EAST &
91-93, 99 LAKESHORE ROAD EAST**





REMOVAL PLAN


REGION FILE No. XXX		CITY FILE No. XXX	
SCALE:	1:300	AREA	Z-08/C5/D
		PROJECT No.	22-292
DRAWN BY:	X.S.	CHECKED BY:	R.M./R.B.T.M
		PLAN No.	102
DATE:	DEC. 06, 2022	SHEET	OF
		C-	

File: F:\PROJECTS\22-292W - 42 FORT ST. (FRAM)\DRAWINGS\100 - COVER & GENERAL\102-REMOVAL PLAN.DWG

File: F:\PROJECTS\22-292W - 42 PORT ST. (FRAM)\DRAWINGS\100 - COVER & GENERAL\102-REMOVAL PLAN.DWG



NOTE: FINAL DRAWING TO BE
UPDATED BASED ON FINAL SITE
PLAN AND LANDSCAPE CONCEPT



LEGEND	
	EXISTING CONTOUR AND ELEVATION
	EXISTING ELEVATION
	EXISTING GUTTER ELEVATION
	PROPOSED ELEVATION
	TOP OF CURB ELEVATION
	PROPOSED SWALE
	MAXIMUM 3:1 SLOPE (UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)
	PROPOSED OVERLAND FLOW DIRECTION
	EXISTING OVERLAND FLOW DIRECTION
	EXISTING CENTERLINE OF ROAD
	EXISTING TREE
	LIMIT OF PROPERTY
	LIMIT OF UNDERGROUND LEVELS
	FINISHED FLOOR ELEVATION
	FINISHED FLOOR ELEVATION SPLIT
	LIMIT OF BUILDING GROUND FLOORS
	PROPOSED CURB CUT
	STORM MANHOLE
	SANITARY MANHOLE
	EXISTING STORM MANHOLE
	EXISTING SANITARY MANHOLE
	SINGLE CATCHBASIN
	EXISTING SINGLE CATCHBASIN
	DETECTOR CHECK VALVE IN CHAMBER (AS PER S.S.D. 1-3-1)
	CHECK VALVE IN CHAMBER (AS PER S.S.D. 1-1-5)
	VALVE AND BOX
	EXISTING VALVE AND BOX
	EXISTING MONITORING WELL
	CONCRETE SIDEWALK / WALKWAY
	UNIT PAVERS
	SPECIAL PATIO PAVING
	SHAFT
	BOLLARD

ELEVATION NOTE
ELEVATIONS ARE GEODETIC AND ARE REFERRED TO CITY OF MISSISSAUGA BENCHMARKS
No. 379 ELEVATION = 82.27m
No. 732 ELEVATION = 78.13m

BENCHMARK NOTE
#1: PK NAIL LOCATED ON THE SOUTH SIDE OF PORT STREET OPPOSITE THE SOUTH EAST CORNER OF THE SUBJECT PROPERTY. ELEVATION = 79.02m.
#2: PK NAIL LOCATED AT THE SOUTH WEST INTERSECTION OF PORT STREET AND ELIZABETH STREET. ELEVATION = 78.08m.

TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY PREPARED BY J.D. BARNES LIMITED, AUGUST 30, 2022.

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ISSUED FOR OPA & RECORDING SUBMISSION DATE DEC. 06, 2022	<input type="checkbox"/> SECOND DATE	<input type="checkbox"/> THIRD DATE	<input type="checkbox"/> FINAL DATE
---	--------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	-------------------------------------




Urbantech® Consulting
A Division of Leighton-Zec West Ltd.
2030 Bristol Circle, Suite 105
Oakville, ON L6H 0H2
TEL. 905.829.8818 • urbantech.com

42 PORT STREET EAST & 91-93, 99 LAKESHORE ROAD EAST


Region of Peel
working with you

MISSISSAUGA

<



LEGEND



ELEVATION NOTE

ELEVATIONS ARE GEODETIC AND ARE REFERRED TO CITY OF MISSISSAUGA BENCHMARKS
No. 379 ELEVATION = 82.77m
No. 133 ELEVATION = 78.34m

BENCHMARK NOTE

#1: PK WALL LOCATED ON THE SOUTH SIDE OF PORT STREET OPPOSITE THE SOUTH EAST CORNER OF THE SUBJECT PROPERTY.
ELEVATION: 79.00m
#2: PK WALL LOCATED AT THE SOUTH WEST INTERSECTION OF PORT STREET AND ELIZABETH STREET.
ELEVATION: 78.50m

TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY PREPARED BY J.D. BARNES LIMITED, AUGUST 30, 2022.

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ISSUED FOR OPA & ZONING SUBMISSION DATE DEC. 06, 2022	<input type="checkbox"/> SECOND DATE	<input type="checkbox"/> THIRD DATE	<input type="checkbox"/> FINAL DATE
--	--------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	-------------------------------------






Urbantech® Consulting
A Division of Leighton Zee West Ltd.
2030 Bristol Circle, Suite 105
Oakville, ON, L6H 0H2
TEL: 905.829.8818 • urbantech.com

42 PORT STREET EAST & 91-93, 99 LAKESHORE ROAD EAST



STORM DRAINAGE PLAN

REGION FILE No. XXX	CITY FILE No. XXX
SCALE: 1:300	AREA: Z-08-CS/D
DRAWN BY: X.S.	CHECKED BY: R.M./R.B.T.M.
DATE: DEC. 06, 2022	SHEET OF C-
PROJECT No. 22-292	PLAN No. 301



- LEGEND**
- SANITARY SEWER AND MANHOLE
 - EXISTING SANITARY SEWER AND MANHOLE
 - 0.32ha-200 720
 - DRAINAGE AREA (ha)
 - POPULATION
 - UNIT COUNT
 - DRAINAGE AREA BOUNDARY
 - LIMIT OF PROPERTY
 - LIMIT OF UNDERGROUND LEVELS
 - LIMIT OF BUILDING GROUND FLOORS
 - SHAFT
 - PROPOSED TRANSFORMER
 - EXISTING CENTERLINE OF ROAD
 - PROPOSED CURB CUT
 - CONCRETE SIDEWALK / WALKWAY
 - UNIT PAVES
 - SPECIAL PATIO PAVING
 - BOLLARD

ELEVATION NOTE
ELEVATIONS ARE GEODETIC AND ARE REFERRED TO CITY OF MISSISSAUGA BENCHMARKS
No. 379 ELEVATION = 82.77m
No. 132 ELEVATION = 78.34m

BENCHMARK NOTE
#1 BM LOCATED ON THE SOUTH SIDE OF PORT STREET OPPOSITE THE SOUTH EAST CORNER OF THE SUBJECT PROPERTY.
ELEVATION=78.34m
#2 BM LOCATED AT THE SOUTH WEST INTERSECTION OF PORT STREET AND ELIZABETH STREET.
ELEVATION=78.34m
TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY PREPARED BY J.D. BARNES LIMITED, AUGUST 30, 2022.

ISSUED FOR OPA & REZONING SUBMISSION DATE DEC. 06, 2022	SECOND DATE	THIRD DATE	FINAL DATE
--	-------------	------------	------------



URBANTECH® Consulting
A Division of Leighton-Zoe West Ltd.
2030 Bristol Circle, Suite 105
Oakville, ON, L6H 0H2
TEL 905.829.8818 • urbantech.com











42 PORT STREET EAST & 91-93, 99 LAKESHORE ROAD EAST

Region of Peel
working with you

MISSISSAUGA

SANITARY DRAINAGE PLAN

REGION FILE No. XXX	CITY FILE No. XXX
SCALE: 1:300	AREA Z-08-CS/D PROJECT No. 22-292
DRAWN BY: X.S.	CHECKED BY: R.M./R.B.T.M. PLAN No. 302
DATE: DEC. 06, 2022	SHEET OF C-



EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL


- 1.1. CONTRACTOR TO INSTALL EROSION CONTROL MEASURES AS SHOWN PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTAIN IN GOOD CONDITION UNTIL CONSTRUCTION IS COMPLETED AND ALL DISTURBED GROUND SURFACES HAVE BEEN RESTABILIZED EITHER BY PAVING OR RESTORATION OF VEGETATIVE COVER.
- 1.2. ALL SILT FENCING TO BE INSTALLED PRIOR TO ANY AREA GRADING, EXCAVATING OR DEMOLITION COMMENCING.
- 1.3. EROSION CONTROL FENCING TO BE INSTALLED AROUND BASE OF ALL LONG TERM STOCKPILES. ALL STOCKPILES TO BE KEPT 2.5M MINIMUM FROM PROPERTY LINE.
- 1.4. EROSION PROTECTION TO BE PROVIDED AROUND ALL STORM CBS.
- 1.5. ADDITIONAL EROSION CONTROL MEASURES MAY BE REQUIRED AS SITE DEVELOPMENT PROGRESSES. CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE ALL ADDITIONAL EROSION CONTROL STRUCTURES.
- 1.6. EROSION CONTROL STRUCTURES TO REMAIN IN PLACE UNTIL ALL DISTURBED GROUND SURFACES HAVE BEEN RESTABILIZED.
- 1.7. NO ALTERNATE METHODS OF EROSION PROTECTION SHALL BE PERMITTED UNLESS APPROVED BY THE ENGINEER AND THE CITY.
- 1.8. CONTRACTOR TO CLEAN ROADWAY AND SIDEWALKS OF SEDIMENTS RESULTING FROM CONSTRUCTION TRAFFIC FROM THE SITE EACH DAY.
- 1.9. CONTRACTOR MUST REMOVE EROSION AND SEDIMENTATION FENCING PRIOR TO COMPLETION OF PROJECT. CONTRACTOR TO HAVE EROSION AND SEDIMENTATION FENCE INSPECTED WHEN VEGETATION HAS ESTABLISHED, BUT PRIOR TO FENCE BECOMING OVERGROWN. ENGINEER'S REPRESENTATIVE TO DETERMINE IF VEGETATION HAS REACHED THE CRITICAL POINT AND WILL THEN INSTRUCT CONTRACTOR TO REMOVE FENCE.
- 1.10. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL ALSO BE RESPONSIBLE TO CONTROL DUST IN THE PROJECT AND SHALL PROVIDE, AT HIS OWN EXPENSE, CONTROLLING MEASURES AS DIRECTED BY THE ENGINEER AND THE CITY.
- 1.11. SHOULD EXCESSIVE MUD TRACKING BE NOTED ON THE CITY/REGION ROADS, IT MAY BE DIRECTED BY THE CITY/REGION ENGINEER TO INSTALL A WHEEL WASHING DEVICE WHICH WILL BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR.
- 1.12. ALL SEDIMENT CONTROLS MUST BE MONITORED ON A WEEKLY BASIS BY THE THIRD PARTY AND A REPORT WILL BE SUBMITTED TO CVC, MNRF AND THE CITY OF MISSISSAUGA. DURING OR IMMEDIATELY AFTER A SIGNIFICANT RAINFALL EVENT AN INSPECTION MUST BE DONE, AND THE RECEIVING SYSTEM SHOULD BE INSPECTED FOR EXCESS SEDIMENT LOAD. IF EXCESS SEDIMENT LOAD IS NOTED, THE SEDIMENT EROSION CONTROL PLAN SHOULD BE ADJUSTED TO CONTROL EXCESS SEDIMENT TO THE EXTENT FEASIBLE AS SOON AS POSSIBLE. MODIFICATIONS & MAINTENANCE MAY BE REQUIRED AS SITE CONDITIONS WARRANT. THE CVC AND CITY OF MISSISSAUGA APPROVAL IS REQUIRED PRIOR TO MODIFICATIONS.
- 1.13. ALL EXTERNAL AREAS DISTURBED DUE TO CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE RESTORED TO THEIR ORIGINAL CONDITION OR BETTER TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE CITY OF MISSISSAUGA OR REGION OF PEEL.

MAINTENANCE RECOMMENDATIONS


- 2.1. REMOVE SEDIMENT AND CONTAMINANTS ANNUALLY AND REINSTATE STORM WATER MANAGEMENT FACILITY ACCORDING TO THE DESIGN OUTLINED ON THIS PLAN.
- 2.2. EROSION CONTROL STRUCTURES TO BE MONITORED REGULARLY AND ANY DAMAGE REPAIRED IMMEDIATELY. SEDIMENTS TO BE REMOVED WHEN ACCUMULATIONS REACH A MAXIMUM OF 1/3 THE HEIGHT OF THE FENCE.
- 2.3. OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE TO MONITOR EROSION CONTROL STRUCTURES TO ENSURE FENCING IS INSTALLED AND MAINTENANCE IS PERFORMED TO CITY REQUIREMENTS.

LAKESHORE ROAD EAST

PIN 13463-0076 (LT)



KEY PLAN
N.T.S.



LEGEND

- STORM MANHOLE
SANITARY MANHOLE
EXISTING STORM MANHOLE
EXISTING SANITARY MANHOLE
SINGLE CATCHBASIN
EXISTING SINGLE CATCHBASIN
DETECTOR CHECK VALVE IN CHAMBER (AS PER R.S.D. 1-3-1)
CHECK VALVE IN CHAMBER (AS PER R.S.D. 1-1-5)
VALVE AND BOX
EXISTING VALVE AND BOX
EXISTING MONITORING WELL
LIMIT OF UNDERGROUND LEVELS
LIMIT OF BUILDING GROUND FLOORS
SHAFT
PROPOSED CURB CUT
CONCRETE SIDEWALK / WALKWAY
UNIT PAVERS
SPECIAL PATIO PAVING
BOLLARD
- PROPOSED OVERLAND FLOW DIRECTION
EXISTING OVERLAND FLOW DIRECTION
EXISTING CONTOUR AND ELEVATION
EXISTING CENTERLINE OF ROAD
LIMIT OF PROPERTY
EXISTING TREE
PROPOSED SEDIMENT CONTROL FENCE (AS PER CITY STD. 2940.010)
PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION ACCESS STONE PAD (AS PER CITY STD. 2970.010) (REFER TO DETAIL ON DWG. 702)
CATCHBASIN SILT PROTECTION (REFER TO DETAIL ON DWG. 702)
PROPOSED TRANSFORMER

ELEVATION NOTE

ELEVATIONS ARE GEODETIC AND ARE REFERRED TO CITY OF MISSISSAUGA BENCHMARKS
No. 379 ELEVATION = 82.77m
No. 153 ELEVATION = 79.13m

BENCHMARK NOTE

#1 BM LOCATED ON THE SOUTH SIDE OF PORT STREET OPPOSITE THE SOUTH EAST CORNER OF THE SUBJECT PROPERTY.
ELEVATION=79.00m
#2 BM LOCATED AT THE SOUTH WEST INTERSECTION OF PORT STREET AND ELIZABETH STREET.
ELEVATION=79.00m

TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY PREPARED BY J.D. BARNES LIMITED, AUGUST 30, 2022.

ISSUED FOR OPA & REZONING SUBMISSION DATE DEC. 06, 2022	SECOND DATE	THIRD DATE	FINAL DATE
--	-------------	------------	------------



URBANTECH® Consulting
A Division of Leighton-Zoe West Ltd.
2030 Bristol Circle, Suite 105
Oakville, ON, L6H 0H2
TEL 905.829.8818 • urbantech.com

42 PORT STREET EAST &
91-93, 99 LAKE SHORE ROAD EAST



Region
of Peel
working with you

MISSISSAUGA

EROSION & SEDIMENT
CONTROL PLAN

REGION FILE No. XXX	CITY FILE No. XXX
SCALE: 1:300	AREA: Z-08-CS/D
DRAWN BY: X.S.	CHECKED BY: R.M./R.B.T.M.
DATE: DEC. 06, 2022	SHEET: OF
PROJECT No. 22-292	PLAN No. 701


FILE: P:\PROJECTS\22-292\42 PORT ST. (P\RAV)\DRAWINGS\701 - EROSION\701 EROSION SEDIMENT PLAN.DWG




MAINTENANCE SCHEDULE

- INSPECT AFTER EVERY MAJOR RAIN EVENT.
- INSPECT EVERY 3 WEEKS MINIMUM.
- SILTSACK SHOULD NEVER BE OVER HALF FULL.
- FULL BAG CAN BE REMOVED, DUMPED, CLEANED AND REUSED (TO REMOVE INSERT 25mm REBAR INTO REMOVAL FLAP POCKETS)
- (TO DUMP INSERT 25mm REBAR INTO BOTH DUMPING STRAPS)

SILTSACK DETAIL
N.T.S.



DEVELOPMENT & DESIGN CONSTRUCTION HOARDING



ELEVATION NOTE
ELEVATIONS ARE GEODETIC AND ARE REFERRED TO CITY OF MISSISSAUGA BENCHMARKS
No. 379 ELEVATION = 82.77m
No. 153 ELEVATION = 78.34m

BENCHMARK NOTE
#1 P.N. WALL LOCATED ON THE SOUTH SIDE OF PORT STREET OPPOSITE THE SOUTH EAST CORNER OF THE SUBJECT PROPERTY.
ELEVATION=79.04m
#2 P.N. WALL LOCATED AT THE SOUTH WEST INTERSECTION OF PORT STREET AND ELIZABETH STREET.
ELEVATION=78.58m

TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY PREPARED BY J.D. BARNES LIMITED, AUGUST 30, 2022.

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ISSUED FOR OPA & ZONING SUBMISSION DATE DEC. 06, 2022	<input type="checkbox"/> SECOND DATE	<input type="checkbox"/> THIRD DATE	<input type="checkbox"/> FINAL DATE
--	--------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	-------------------------------------



URBANTECH® Consulting
A Division of Leighton-Zac West Ltd.
2030 Bristol Circle, Suite 105
Oakville, ON, L6H 0H2
TEL 905.829.8818 • urbantech.com

**42 PORT STREET EAST &
91-93, 99 LAKESHORE ROAD EAST**

Region of Peel
working with you

MISSISSAUGA

**EROSION & SEDIMENT
CONTROL DETAILS**

REGION FILE No. XXX	CITY FILE No. XXX
SCALE:	AREA Z-08-CS/D PROJECT No. 22-292
DRAWN BY: X.S.	CHECKED BY: R.M./R.B.T.M PLAN No. 702
DATE: DEC. 06, 2022	SHEET OF C-

File: P:\PROJECTS\22-292N - 42 PORT ST. (PRK)\DRAWINGS\00 - EROSION\02 EROSION & SEDIMENT CONTROL DETAILS.DWG